



372 Bay Street
Suite 1702
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 2W9

November 28, 2007

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319, Suite 2700
2300 Yonge Street, 26th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2007-0710 Oshawa Public Utility Corporation Networks Inc. Application for 2008
Electricity Distribution Rates**

Dear Ms. Walli:

Please find attached AMPCO's written interrogatories in the above proceeding.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Adam White', with a stylized flourish extending to the right.

Adam White
President

Copy: All participants in EB-2007-0710

**IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15,
(Schedule B);**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Oshawa PUC Networks Inc. for an
Order or Orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other
charges for the distribution of electricity commencing May 1, 2008.**

**Interrogatories of the
Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario**

Revenue

1. Reference Exhibit 3/Tab 2, Schedule 4/Page 3

Please confirm or deny if the single streetlight customer of UPUCN is the Corporation of the City of Oshawa.

2. Reference Exhibit 3/Tab2/Schedule 9/ Pages1- 3

These charts of average historical consumption are difficult to understand and reconcile. For example, the weather normalization factor for each year appears constant within a customer class, yet logically weather normalization should vary each year. Also, three classes show the same numbers for every year (within the class). Finally, the residential numbers do not seem to match with the data in the preceding charts, such as the summary in Schedule 8. Please reconcile or explain.

3. Reference Exhibit 3/Tab3/Schedule 3/page 2

This chart appears to calculate normalized consumption and the resulting normalized revenue, by customer class. Yet, for residential customers, normalized consumption is higher than actual by about 1%, while normalized revenue is lower than actual revenue by about 4%. Similarly, normalized consumption and actual consumption for large users are identical, yet normalized revenue is shown as lower than actual. Please explain the derivation of the calculated normalized values for revenue and why they differ from consumption normalization.

Rate Base

4. Reference Appendix D – Asset Condition Assessment

Please provide any previous asset condition assessment study that may have been conducted for Oshawa PUC Networks.

Cost Allocation

5. Reference Appendix E – Cost Allocation

Please indicate how OPUCN intends to implement the Board decision on application of cost allocation in EB-2007-0667.