

BY RESS and EMAIL

November 30, 2010 Our File No. 20100131

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 27th Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2010-0131 - Horizon 2011 Rates

We are counsel for the School Energy Coalition. In Submissions on the Preliminary Issue filed November 23rd, we gave a highly critical characterization of the argument of the Applicant in this matter. In doing so, we missed a key piece of evidence, and as a result our tone and statements were unjustified. For that, I personally apologize to the Applicant and the Board on behalf of myself and my client.

While we continue to believe that access to the new and higher ROE is an important driver for this Application, we considered the Application in light of statements by the Applicant in February, and concluded that the primary driver for the Application was cost of capital. We failed to identify the clear statements by the Applicant in September 2009 that a 2011 COS application would be filed. Those statements make clear that at least some of the rationale for this Application predated the new ROE.

We apologize for missing this relevant evidence, and reaching a conclusion that was unfair to the Applicant and its executives. In all other respects, our Submissions remain as filed, including our overall recommendations to the Board in this proceeding.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Yours very truly,

JAY SHEPHERD P. C.

Jay Shepherd

cc: Wayne McNally, SEC (email)
Interested parties (email)

Tel: (416) 483-3300 Cell: (416) 804-2767 Fax: (416) 483-3305

jay.shepherd@canadianenergylawyers.com

www.canadianenergylawyers.com