

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto ON M4P 1E4
Telephone: 416- 481-1967
Facsimile: 416- 440-7656
Toll free: 1-888-632-6273

Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario
C.P. 2319
27^e étage
2300, rue Yonge
Toronto ON M4P 1E4
Téléphone: 416- 481-1967
Télécopieur: 416- 440-7656
Numéro sans frais: 1-888-632-6273



BY EMAIL

December 3, 2010

To: All registered parties in proceeding EB-2010-0008

**Re: Ontario Power Generation Inc.
2011-2012 Payment Amounts for Prescribed Generation Facilities
Board File Number EB-2010-0008**

On December 2 and 3, 2010, the Board received correspondence from the Canadian Manufacturers and Exporters (“CME”), the School Energy Coalition (“SEC”), and the Energy Probe Research Foundation (“Energy Probe”) requesting that the filing date for argument be extended from December 6, 2010 to December 8, 2010.

In a letter filed with the Board dated December 3, 2010, Ontario Power Generation (“OPG”) indicated its opposition to the requested extension, noting that the schedule for this proceeding was known by all parties for some time.

Also on December 2, 2010, the Consumers Council of Canada (“CCC”) filed a letter stating that it will file its submission on December 7, 2010 and that it had advised OPG’s counsel of this matter in advance.

In another letter filed on December 3, 2010, OPG noted that it did not oppose the CCC filing by December 7, 2010 on the grounds that legal counsel to the CCC had previously informed OPG of a personal matter outside of his control.

The Board has considered CME’s, SEC’s and Energy Probe’s requests, and CCC’s letter. The Board will not grant the requested extensions. The argument schedule in the OPG proceeding, which was developed in consultation with all the parties, has provided a significant amount of time for intervenors to prepare their arguments, both since the end of the hearing and since the filing of argument in chief. It is the responsibility of the intervenors to make arrangements as necessary to meet the approved schedule. The Board would note that the Union Gas Limited matter, referred to by CME, has also been known for some time.

If an intervenor files its argument late, the argument will be considered by the Board, but there may be a reduction in the intervenor’s cost claim commensurate with the length of the delay in the filing of the argument and depending upon the specific circumstances

related to the delay. If an argument is filed late, OPG may take an equivalent additional amount of time to file its reply argument.

Yours truly,

Original signed by

Kirsten Walli

CC: Barbara Reuber, OPG
Carlton Mathias, OPG
Charles Keizer, Torys