

1 Greendale Drive, Caledonia, ON, N3W 2J3 Tel: (905) 765-5344 Fax: (905) 765-5316

January 7, 2011

Delivered By Courier

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 27<sup>th</sup> Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Kirsten Walli Board Secretary

#### Re: Haldimand County Hydro Inc. (EB-2010-0086) 2011 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rate Application Responses to Interrogatories

Dear Ms. Walli:

Haldimand County Hydro Inc. filed an application with the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") on October 29, 2010 seeking approval for changes to rates that Haldimand County Hydro may charge for electricity distribution to be effective May 1, 2011.

Pursuant to the *Notice of Application and Hearing for an Electricity Distribution Rate Change*, issued November 15, 2010, Board Staff filed interrogatories on December 1, 2010. Haldimand County Hydro was required to file responses by January 7, 2011.

Two hard copies of Haldimand County Hydro's responses to the Board Staff interrogatories are now enclosed. An electronic copy in PDF format will be submitted through the Board's *Regulatory Electronic Submission System* ("RESS").

In addition, an electronic copy in PDF format will be forwarded via email to the intervenors of record in the previous cost of service rate application proceeding EB-2009-0265.

Page 2 Ontario Energy Board January 7, 2011

These responses to Board Staff interrogatories relating to the 2011 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rate Application are respectfully submitted for the Board's consideration.

Yours truly, HALDIMAND COUNTY HYDRO INC.

Original signed by

Jacqueline A. Scott Finance Manager

Haldimand County Hydro Inc. EB-2010-0086 Board Staff Interrogatory Responses Filed: January 7, 2011 Page 1 of 14

#### Haldimand County Hydro Inc. 2011 Electricity Distribution Rates EB-2010-0086 **Board Staff Interrogatories**

#### 1. Tax Change Rate Rider

Ref: Rate Generator Model Ref: Tax Model

A portion of Sheet J2.7 from the Rate Generator Model is reproduced below.

Tax Change Rate Rider					
Rate Rider	Tax Change				
Sunset Date	30/04/2012				
Metric Applied To	All Customers				
Method of Application	Distinct Volumetric				
Rate Class	Applied to Class	Fixed Amount	Fixed Metric	Vol Amount	Vol Metric
Residential	No	0.000000	Customer per 30 days	0.000000	kWh
General Service Less Than 50 kW	No	0.000000	Customer per 30 days	0.000000	kWh
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	No	0.000000	Customer per 30 days	0.000000	kW
Unmetered Scattered Load	No	0.000000	Connection -12 per year	0.000000	kWh
Sentinel Lighting	No	0.000000	Connection -12 per year	0.000000	kW
Street Lighting	No	0.000000	Connection -12 per year	0.000000	kW
	No	0.000000	Customer -12 per year	0.000000	k₩

A portion of Sheet F1.3 from the Tax Model is reproduced below.

Haldimand County Hydro Inc. EB-2010-0086 Board Staff Interrogatory Responses Filed: January 7, 2011 Page 2 of 14

#### Total Z-Factor Distribution Distribution Total Revenue \$ Total Revenue % Tax Change\$ by Volumetric Rate Volumetric Rate Rate Class Billed kWh Billed kW by Rate Class by Rate Class Rate Class kWh Rate Rider kW Rate Rider А B = A / \$H C = \$I \* B D F = C / DG = C / EЕ \$8,462,726,0008 Residential 66.41% -\$23,605 171.936.412 General Service Less Than 50 kW \$2,057,321 \$5,739 61,801,919 16.14% -\$0.0149 General Service 50 to 4,999 kW \$1,593,550 12.50% -\$4,445 110,031,720 298,148 Unmetered Scattered Load Sentinel Lighting \$21,976 0.17% -\$61 482.264 \$129,003 1.01% -\$360 418,928 -\$0.3083 1,167 Street Lighting \$306,666 2.41% -\$855 2.328.757 6.475 -\$0.1321 Embedded Distributo 83,184,875 \$172,350 -\$481 276,949 1.35% \$12,743,592 100.00% I. Enter the above value onto She "J2.7 Tax Change Rate Rider 111 IRM3

#### Calculate Tax Change Rate Rider Volumetric

a. The amounts in the "Vol Amount" column in the former do not match the amounts in columns F and G in the latter. Please reconcile these discrepancies. If this is an error, Board Staff will make the relevant corrections.

#### <u>Response</u>

Haldimand County Hydro considers this tax change as a Z-factor adjustment, and as such, the amounts does not meet the materiality threshold for potential recovery / refund as noted in the Manager's Summary at 3 (d) on page 7. The materiality threshold calculates to \$68,678 and the 50% sharing of tax savings in 2011 only calculates to a credit of \$35,546.

Haldimand County Hydro further proposes to defer this credit amount for disposition in a future rate application. Accordingly, the rate riders on sheet J2.7 Tax Change Rate Rider in the "Vol Amount" column are stated correctly as \$0.00.

#### 2. Rate Adjustment to GS 50 to 4,999 kW rate class

Ref: Rate Generator Model Ref: Manager's Summary

A portion of Sheet H1.1 from the Rate Generator Model is reproduced below.

## **Rationalization of Rates Worksheet**

Residential	After Price Cape Base A	Re-based Billed Customers or Connection B	Re-based Billed kWh	Revenue Requiremen t from Rates D = A ^ B ^ 12	Total % Revenue F=D/E	Target Total % Revenue G	Adjusted Revenue Requirement from Rates H=G^E	Adjusted Rates I = H / B /12	Adjustment To Rates J=I-A
Monthly Service Charge	\$ 12.25	18,534		\$ 2,724,946	32.1%	37.0%	\$ 3,136,837	\$ 14.10	\$ 1.85
	А		с	D = A * C	F = D / E	G	H = G * E	I = H / B	J = I - A
Volumetric Distribution Charge	\$ 0.0335		171,936,412	\$5,752,992 \$8,477,938 E	67.9%	63.0%	<u>\$ 5,341,101</u> <u>\$ 8,477,938</u>	\$ 0.0311	-\$ 0.0024
	After Price Cape Base A	Re-based Billed Customers or Connection B	Re-based Billed kW	Revenue Requiremen t from Rates D = A ' B ' 12		Target Fixed Rate of \$102.48 + Price Cap G	Adjusted Revenue Requirement from Rates H=G^B'12	Adjusted Rates J = H / B /12	Adjustment To Rates L = I - A
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW Monthly Service Charge	\$ 92.99	143		\$ 159.566		\$ 102.66	\$ 176.172	\$ 102.66	\$ 9.68
	A		с	D = A * C		. 102.00	I = ( E - H )	K = I / B	L = I - A
Volumetric Distribution Charge	\$ 4.8193		298,148	\$ 1,436,852 \$ 1,596,418 E			\$ 1,420,246 \$ 1,596,418	\$ 4.7636	-\$ 0.0557

a. With respect to the GS 50 to 4,999 kW rate class:

Both the Monthly Service Charge and Volumetric Distribution Charge appear to be adjusted in the Rationalization of Rates Worksheet shown above.

Page 6 of the Manager's Summary states adjustments are "applied to the distribution volumetric rate component only".

Please provide an explanatory note in support of the adjustments provided in the Rationalization of Rates Worksheet and confirm that they are correct.

#### <u>Response</u>

*Pursuant to Board's decision with respect to Haldimand County Hydro's 2010 Cost of Service Electricity Distribution Rate Application (EB-2009-0265), the beneficiary of the revenue to cost ratio*  adjustments arising from the adjustments to the Sentinel Lighting and Street Lighting rate classes is the General Service 50 to 4,999 kW rate class. This adjustment is to be applied to the distribution volumetric component only of the distribution rates for this rate class. The base service charge component is to remain at the current Board-approved ceiling level of \$102.48 per month plus or minus the price cap adjustment in each IRM rate year.

In order to accomplish this rate adjustment, the 2011 IRM3 Rate Generator model had to be adjusted by Board Staff as stated in the Manager's Summary at 3 (b) on page 6. The monthly service charge appears to have been adjusted in H1.1 Rationalization of Rates Worksheet; however, in actual fact it has only been adjusted by the Price Cap Adjustment of 0.18%. (\$102.48 x 0.18% = \$102.66)

The 2011 IRM3 Revenue Cost Ratio Adjustment model was not adjusted to incorporate this requirement of EB-2009-0265 for the General Service 50 to 4999 kW rate class. Instead, this rate adjustment was incorporated into the 2011 IRM3 Rate Generator model after the revenue to cost ratio adjustments from sheet C1.9 were transferred to sheet D1.2 of this model. The Rationalization of Rates worksheet forces the calculation of the base monthly service charge to be \$102.66 (column O - "Target Fixed Rate of \$102.48 + Price Cap") with a total adjusted revenue requirement of \$176,172 from the fixed component. The variable component of the revenue requirement from this rate class is the difference between the total Board-approved revenue requirement of \$1,596,418 and the fixed component of \$176.172. The variable component, in the amount of \$1,420,246, is divided by the Board-approved Billed kW of 298,148 to determine the adjusted distribution volumetric rate of \$4.7636 per kW.

Accordingly, the base service charge of \$102.66 / month and the distribution volumetric rate of \$4.7636 / kW are correct for the General Service 50 to 4999 kW rate class.

Haldimand County Hydro Inc. EB-2010-0086 Board Staff Interrogatory Responses Filed: January 7, 2011 Page 5 of 14

#### 3. Revenue Offsets Allocation

Ref: Revenue Cost Ratio Model

A portion of Sheet C1.2 from the Revenue Cost Ratio Model is reproduced below.

### **Revenue Offsets Allocation**

The purpose of this sheet is to allocate the Revenue Offsets (miscellaneous revenue) found in the last COS to the various rate classes in proportion to the allocation from the Cost Allocation informational filing.

Rate Class	Informational Filing Revenue Offsets A	Percentage Split C= A / B	Allocated Revenue Offsets E = D * C
Residential	781,920	71.79%	781,920
General Service Less Than 50 KW	177,248	16.27%	177,248
General Service 50 to 4,999 KW	93,209	8.56%	93,209
Unmetered Scattered Load	2,659	0.24%	2,659
Sentinel Lighting	14,131	1.30%	14,131
Street Lighting	19,396	1.78%	19,396
Embedded Distributor	581	0.05%	581
	1,089,144	100.00%	1,089,144
	В		D
			Enter revenue offsets as found in Cell F47 on sheet "C1.2 Revenue Offsets Allocation" of the 2010 IRM3 Supplemental Filing Module or from 2010 COS RRWF

 Board Staff has been unable to verify the figures in column A (Informational Filing Revenue Offsets) for the various rate classes. Please provide evidence supporting these amounts.

#### <u>Response</u>

The above Board-approved Revenue Offsets by rate class are sourced from sheet O1 "Revenue to Cost / RR" of Haldimand County Hydro's 2010 Cost Allocation Informational Filing submitted August 28, 2009 as part of EB-2009-0265, with further adjustments as a result of the Board-approved Settlement Proposal, section 3 c) pages 8 to

# 9, and the Board's Decision and Order issued March 31, 2010 – a copy of which is reproduced below.



2010 COST ALLOCATION STUDY HALDIMAND COUNTY HYDRO INC.

EB-2009-0265

August 28, 2009

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - Second Run 2010 COS Rate Appltn-per Board Decision

			1	2	3	7	8	9	10
Rate Base Assets		Total	Residential	General Service less than 50 kW	General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	Street Lighting	Sentinel Lighting	Unmetered Scattered Load	Embedded Distributor - Hydro One
crev	Distribution Revenue (sale) Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$12,646,747 \$1,089,144	\$8,461,082 \$781,920	\$2,057,572 \$177,248	\$1,829,897 \$93,209	\$84,904 \$19,396	\$18,953	\$21,999 \$2,659	\$172,340
mi	Total Revenue	\$13,735,891	\$9,243,002	\$177,248	\$93,209	\$19,396	\$14,131 \$33,084	\$2,659	\$581 <b>\$172,921</b>
di	(\$1,089,144) Expenses Distribution Costs (di)	\$3,649,561 \$1,637,482	\$2,452,036	\$564,247	\$415,867	\$152,906	\$55,939	\$8,567	\$0
cu ad	Customer Related Costs (cu) General and Administration (ad)	\$2,011,567	\$1,191,447 \$1,385,083	\$308,600 \$331,586	\$99,111 \$196,885	\$1,226 \$59,444	\$25,472 \$30,923	\$3,505 \$4,590	\$8,121 \$3,054
dep	Depreciation and Amortization (dep)	\$2,813,465	\$1,893,610	\$442,733	\$313,728	\$113,034	\$41,352	\$6,324	\$2,685
INPUT	PILs (INPUT)	\$699,379	\$470,669	\$110,637	\$77,486	\$28,028	\$10,254	\$1,567	\$739
INT	Interest Total Expenses	\$1,186,871 \$11,998,325	\$798,741 \$8,191,587	\$187,754 <b>\$1,945,556</b>	\$131,496 \$1,234,573	\$47,564 \$402,202	\$17,401 \$181,341	\$2,659 \$27,213	\$1,255 <b>\$15,854</b>
	Total Expenses	\$11,330,323	\$0,151,507	\$1,343,330	\$1,234,373	φ402,202	\$101,541	φ21,215	\$13,034
	Direct Allocation	\$155,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,394
NI	Allocated Net Income (NI)	\$1,582,173	\$1,064,772	\$250,288	\$175,293	\$63,406	\$23,196	\$3,545	\$1,673
	Revenue Requirement (includes NI)	\$13,735,892	\$9,256,358	\$2,195,844	\$1,409,865	\$465,608	\$204,538	\$30,758	\$172,921
		Revenue Re	quirement Input ea	uals Output					
	Rate Base Calculation								
	Net Assets								
dp	Distribution Plant - Gross General Plant - Gross	\$50,558,436 \$8,669,510	\$34,021,550 \$5,842,850	\$7,984,649 \$1,369,317	\$5,618,709 \$950,351	\$2,027,067 \$350,428	\$741,579 \$128,200	\$113,374 \$19,573	\$51,508 \$8,792
gp accum dep	Accumulated Depreciation	(\$21,784,473)	\$5,842,850 (\$14,629,227)	(\$3,439,908)	(\$2,464,510)	(\$864,003)	(\$316,086)	(\$48,411)	(\$22,328)
со	Capital Contribution	(\$2,790,019)	(\$1,909,502)	(\$433,295)	(\$270,714)	(\$123,121)	(\$45,042)	(\$6,808)	(\$1,537)
	Total Net Plant	\$34,653,454	\$23,325,672	\$5,480,763	\$3,833,837	\$1,390,370	\$508,651	\$77,727	\$36,435
	Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets	\$43,617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$43,617
COP	Cost of Power (COP)	\$29,342,259	\$14,185,435	\$5,098,903	\$9,078,053	\$192,132	\$34,563	\$39,789	\$713,384
	OM&A Expenses	\$7,298,610	\$5,028,567	\$1,204,432	\$711,863	\$213,576	\$112,335	\$16,662	\$11,175
	Directly Allocated Expenses	\$146,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$146,750
	Subtotal	\$36,787,619	\$19,214,002	\$6,303,335	\$9,789,915	\$405,708	\$146,898	\$56,451	\$871,310
	Working Capital	\$5,518,143	\$2,882,100	\$945,500	\$1,468,487	\$60,856	\$22,035	\$8,468	\$130,696
	Total Rate Base	\$40,215,214	\$26,207,772	\$6,426,263	\$5,302,324	\$1,451,226	\$530,685	\$86,195	\$210,748
		Rate B	ase Input equals (	Dutput					
	Equity Component of Rate Base	\$16,086,086	\$10,483,109	\$2,570,505	\$2,120,930	\$580,490	\$212,274	\$34,478	\$84,299
	Net Income on Allocated Assets	\$1,582,172	\$1,051,416	\$289,264	\$688,533	(\$297,902)	(\$148,257)	(\$2,555)	\$1,673
	Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets	\$2,307	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,307
	Net Income	\$1,584,478	\$1,051,416	\$289,264	\$688,533	(\$297,902)	(\$148,257)	(\$2,555)	\$3,979
	RATIOS ANALYSIS								
	REVENUE TO EXPENSES %	100.00%	99.86%	101.78%	136.40%	22.40%	16.18%	80.17%	100.00%
	EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$1)	(\$13,356)	\$38,976	\$513,241	(\$361,308)	(\$171,454)	(\$6,100)	\$0
	RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE	9.85%	10.03%	11.25%	32.46%	-51.32%	-69.84%	-7.41%	4.72%

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

#### 4. Loss Adjusted Metered kWh

Ref: RTSR Model (Excludes HONI) Ref: RTSR Model (HONI) Ref: Tariff of Rates and Charges, effective May 1, 2010

A portion of Sheet B1.2 from the RTSR Model (Excludes HONI) is reproduced below.

#### 2009 Distributor Billing Determinants

Enter the most recently reported RRR billing deter	minants					
oss Adjusted Metered KWh oss Adjusted Metered KW	Yes No	Update Sheet				
Rate Class	Vol Metric	Metered kWh I A	Metered kW B	Applicable Loss Factor C	Load Factor D = A / (B * 730)	Loss Adjusted Billed kWh E = A ^ C
lesidential	k/\/h	168,226,691	0	1.0565		177,731,499
eneral Service Less Than 50 KW	k///h	57,269,262	0	1.0565		60,504,975
eneral Service 50 to 4,999 KW	KW	29,526,000	96,852	1.0565	41.78%	31,194,219
eneral Service 50 to 4,999 KW – Interval Metered	KW	80,244,756	220,380	1.0565	49.91%	84,778,585
Inmetered Scattered Load	k/Wh	481,502	0	1.0565		508,707
entinel Lighting	KW	467,767	1,293	1.0565	49.58%	494,196
treet Lighting	KW	2,312,050	6,501	1.0565	48.75%	2,442,681

A portion of Sheet B1.2 from the RTSR Model (HONI) is reproduced below.

Enter the most recently reported RRR bil	ling determinants				
Loss Adjusted Metered KWh	Yes	Update Sheet			
Loss Adjusted Metered KW	No				
Rate Class	Vol Metric	Metered kWh Metered kW A B	Applicable Loss Factor C	Load Factor D = A / (B * 730)	Loss Adjusted Billed kWh E = A * C

a. Please confirm that the kWh volumes in column A in both cases are not loss adjusted, i.e. losses are not included in these volumes.

#### <u>Response</u>

#### The kWh volumes reported in column A "Metered kWh" on Sheet B1.2 of the RTSR Model (Excludes HONI) and the RTSR Model (HONI) are actual kWh; that is, losses are not included in these volumes.

b. Board Staff notes that the applicable loss factors used for the various rate classes captured in the two exhibits above do not align with the corresponding loss factors in the current tariff sheet, i.e. Tariff of Rates and Charges, effective May 1, 2010. If this is an error, Board Staff will make the relevant corrections.

#### <u>Response</u>

The User Instructions provided for completion of the 2011 RTSR Adjustment Work Form instruct as follows for Sheet B1.2;

"User will input most recently reported RRR billing determinants and applicable loss factors for each rate class..."

Haldimand County Hydro's most recently reported RRR billing determinants are the 2009 metered kWh and kW. Similarly, Haldimand County Hydro understood that the loss factor required in accordance with these instructions (as noted above) referred also to the 2009 Board-approved loss factor on Haldimand County Hydro's "Tariff of Rates and Charges, effective May 1, 2009".

If Haldimand County Hydro has misunderstood these instructions, and in fact the loss factor in column C "Applicable Loss Factor" should be the loss factors approved in Haldimand County Hydro's current tariff sheet, "Tariff of Rates and Charges, effective May 1, 2010", then Board Staff should revise Sheet B1.2 as follows:

Customer Rate Class	Loss Factor (effective May 1, 2010)
Residential	1.0680
General Service Less Than 50 kW	1.0680
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	1.0680
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW –	
Interval Metered	1.0680
Unmetered Scattered Load	1.0680
Sentinel Lighting	1.0680
Street Lighting	1.0680
Embedded Distributor	1.0305

With respect to the RTSR Model (HONI), when Board Staff revise Sheet B1.2 with the Board-approved 2010 loss factor of 1.0305, <u>both</u> the metered kWh and kW are to be loss-adjusted pursuant to EB-2009-0265.

c. With respect to the Embedded Distributor rate class captured in the 2<sup>nd</sup> exhibit, Board Staff has been unable to verify the figures in columns A (Metered kWh) and B (Metered kW). Please provide evidence supporting these amounts.

#### <u>Response</u>

In March 2009, HONI de-registered 8 metering points from the IESO Wholesale Market and became a retail customer of Haldimand County Hydro at all of these 8 metering points. Haldimand County Hydro is the wholesale market participant and pays the IESO all electricity related charges and settles with HONI using Haldimand County Hydro's retail billing process. For further details, refer to Haldimand County Hydro's response to Board Staff Interrogatory #23 from EB-2009-0265. The kWh associated with these 8 points for 10 months of 2009, that is March to December, was reported in Haldimand County Hydro's annual RRR filing with the OEB in section 2.1.5, Performance Based Regulation "Outputs and Revenues" as part of the total Wholesale kWh and the total Retail kWh; that is, it was not required to be separately identified, since this embedded distributor rate class did not exist prior to May 1, 2010.

Further, in April 2009, HONI's customer Air Products Canada Ltd. became an additional embedded point within Haldimand County Hydro's service territory but remained a wholesale market participant at the IESO-registered meter connected to the Haldimand County Hydro-owned feeder. Haldimand County Hydro is the IESOtransmission connected customer and pays to the IESO all transmission and network connection related charges. This embedded point for 9 months of 2009, that is April to December, wheeled power through Haldimand County Hydro's distribution system.

Accordingly, the Metered kWh and Metered kW in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Exhibit above for the Embedded Distributor – HONI represent the actual consumption billed to HONI in 2009.

#### 5. Recovery of RTSR Network and Connection Charge

Ref: RTSR Model (Excludes HONI)

Ref: Deferral/Variance Account Model (Excludes HONI)

A portion of Sheet D1.1 from the RTSR Model (Excludes HONI) is reproduced below.

#### Adjust RTSR-Network to Current Network Wholesale

Rate Class	Vol Metric		ent RTSR - letwork	Loss Adjusted Billed kWh	Billed kW	в	illed Amount	Billed Amount %	Curr	ent Wholesale Billing
		(A) Cal	umn H Shoot B1.1	(B) Culumn O Shoot B1.2	(C) Caluma I Sheet B1.2	(D) -	(A) * (B) =r (A) * (C)	(F) - (D) / (E)		(H) - (G) " (F)
Residential	ki/Vh	\$	0.0052	177,731,499	0	\$	924,204	49.72%	\$	1,056,802
General Service Less Than 50 kW	k/Wh	\$	0.0047	60,504,975	0	\$	284,373	15.30%	\$	325,173
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	KVV	\$	1.9253	31,194,219	96,852	\$	186,469	10.03%	\$	213,222
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW - Interval Metered	KVV	\$	2.0423	84,778,585	220,380	\$	450,082	24.21%	\$	514,656
Unmetered Scattered Load	k/Wh	\$	0.0047	508,707	0	\$	2,391	0.13%	\$	2,734
Sentinel Lighting	KVV	\$	1.4592	494,196	1,293	\$	1,887	0.10%	\$	2,157
Street Lighting	KAV	\$	1.4519	2,442,681	6,501	\$	9,439	0.51%	\$	10,793
				357,654,862	325,026	\$	1,858,845	100.00%	\$	2,125,538
							(E)		(G) Ce	II G73 Sheet C1.2

A portion of Sheet D1.2 from the RTSR Model (Excludes HONI) is reproduced below.

#### Adjust RTSR-Connection to Current Connection Wholesale

Rate Class	Vol Metric		rent RTSR - onnection	Loss Adjusted Billed kWh	Billed kW	Bil	led Amount	Billed Amount %	Cur	rent Wholesale Billing
		(A) C.	lumn J Shoot B1.1	(B) Culuma O Sheet B1.2	(C) Culuma I Sheet B1.2	(D) - (	A) " (B) =r (A) " (C)	(F) - (D) / (E)		(H) - (G) " (F)
Residential	k/Vh	\$	0.0046	177,731,499	0	\$	817,565	49.43%	\$	883,133
General Service Less Than 50 KW	ki/vh	\$	0.0042	60,504,975	0	\$	254,121	15.36%	\$	274,501
General Service 50 to 4,999 KW	KVV	\$	1.6749	31,194,219	96,852	\$	162,217	9.81%	\$	175,227
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW - Interval Metered	KVV	\$	1.8512	84,778,585	220,380	\$	407,967	24.66%	\$	440,686
Unmetered Scattered Load	k/Wh	\$	0.0042	508,707	0	\$	2,137	0.13%	\$	2,308
Sentinel Lighting	K/V	\$	1.3220	494,196	1,293	\$	1,709	0.10%	\$	1,846
Street Lighting	KVV	\$	1.2950	2,442,681	6,501	\$	8,419	0.51%	\$	9,094
				357,654,862	325,026	\$	1,654,135	100.00%	\$	1,786,797
							(6)		(G) C	ell Q73 Sheet C1.2

A portion of Sheet D1.6 from the Deferral/Variance Account Model (Excludes HONI) is reproduced below.

Haldimand County Hydro Inc. EB-2010-0086 Board Staff Interrogatory Responses Filed: January 7, 2011 Page 11 of 14

	Account Number	Total Claim
Account Description		J = C + I
LV Variance Account	1550	(173,010)
RSVA - Wholesale Market Service Charge	1580	(262,269)
RSVA - Retail Transmission Network Charge	1584	(39,361)
RSVA - Retail Transmission Connection Charge	1586	(83,957)
RSVA - Power (Excluding Global Adjustment)	1588	47,136
RSVA - Power (Global Adjustment Sub-account)		(375,436)
Recovery of Regulatory Asset Balances	1590	(0)
Residual Balance Disposition and recovery of Def/Var Balances Account (2008)	1595	0
Total	-	(886,898)

a. In the 1<sup>st</sup> exhibit (Network), column D is less than column H which indicates an under-recovery of the RTSR Network charge. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> exhibit, Account 1584 shows an over-recovery as of December 31, 2009. Please explain this apparent discrepancy.

#### <u>Response</u>

In the RTSR model (Excludes HONI), Sheet D1.1 column H, "Current Wholesale Billing", calculates the historical (2009) transmission units at current 2010 Uniform Transmission and Hydro One Sub-Transmission (collectively "UTR") rates. When this calculation is performed, it does indicate an under-recovery of the RTSR Network charge when compared to the 2009 metered kWh or kW at the Boardapproved 2010 customer RTSR rates.

In the Deferral/Variance Account model (Excludes HONI), Sheet D1.6 shows an over-recovery of Account 1584, "RSVA – Retail Transmission Network Charge", as of December 31, 2009. This overrecovery is a result of 2009 customer billed amounts compared to 2009 wholesale charge amounts, which is a different calculation than the one completed on Sheet D1.1 of the RTSR model (Excludes HONI) as noted above.

Accordingly, the RTSR model is calculating the wholesale billing using the 2010 UTR rates, as compared to the Deferral/Variance

Account model, which provides the wholesale billing as it actually occurred in 2009 at 2009 UTR rates. There will also be a difference in customer billed amounts between these two models if Board Staff modify Sheet B1.2 in the RTSR models as per Haldimand County Hydro's response to IR #4 b.; that is, with any adjustment to the loss factors. The RTSR model will be calculating the customer billed amounts using the 2010 Board-approved loss factors applied to 2009 metered kWh, and the Deferral/Variance Account model will reflect the customer billed amounts as they actually occurred in 2009 with 2009 Board-approved loss factors applied.

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> exhibit (Connection), column D is less than column H which indicates an under-recovery of the RTSR Network charge. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> exhibit, Account 1586 shows an over-recovery as of December 31, 2009. Please explain this apparent discrepancy

#### <u>Response</u>

Refer to the response in #5 a. above, as the same rationale applies.

#### 6. Embedded Distributor Metered kWh and kW

Ref: Deferral/Variance Account Model (HONI) Ref: RTSR Model (HONI)

A portion of Sheet B1.3 from the Deferral/Variance Account Model (HONI) is reproduced below.

					2010 COS	Forecast	
Rate Group	Rate Class	Fixed Metric	Vol Metric	N	Metered kWh	Metered kW	Billed kWh for Non- RPP customers
EMB	Embedded Distributor	Customer	kW		83,184,875	276,949	83,184,875

A portion of Sheet B1.2 from the RTSR Model (HONI) is reproduced below.

2009 Distributor Billing Det	terminants					
Enter the most recently reported RRR billing	determinants	]				
Loss Adjusted Metered kWh	Yes	Update Sheet				
Loss Adjusted Metered kW	No					
Rate Class	Vol Metric	Metered kWh	Matarad kW	Applicable Loss Factor	Load Factor	Loss Adjusted Billed kWh
Kate class	Vormedic	A	B	C	D = A / (B * 730)	E = A * C
Embedded Distributor	kW	56,044,835	334,545	1.0565	22.96%	59,211,368
Total		56,044,835	334,545			59,211,368

 Board Staff has been unable to verify the figures in the "Metered kWh" and "Metered kW" in the 1<sup>st</sup> exhibit. Please provide evidence supporting these amounts. If this is an error, Board Staff will make the relevant corrections.

#### <u>Response</u>

The 2010 forecasted load for HONI is sourced from EB-2009-0265 at Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 4 of 24 and Page 24 of 24, Table 26 "Summary of Forecast Data". Pursuant to the Board's Decision and Order on this rate application, Haldimand County Hydro's Draft Rate Order submitted on April 14, 2010 was approved by the Board with the final Rate Order issued April 26, 2010. The above figures for the Embedded Distributor – HONI 2010 COS load forecast may also be sourced from Appendix E of this Board-approved Draft Rate Order.

 Further, Board Staff notes that these figures are significantly different from the equivalent figures in columns A and B in the 2<sup>nd</sup> exhibit. Please explain.

#### <u>Response</u>

Refer to response to interrogatory #4 c., where Haldimand County Hydro has explained the embedded distributor situation with HONI that commenced in March 2009 for 8 metering points that contributed to the metered kWh and metered kW and an additional 9<sup>th</sup> point in April 2009 that contributed to the metered kW only. Columns A and B represent a partial year in 2009 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> exhibit, as compared to a full 12 month load forecast for 2010 that was supplied directly from HONI for the 9 metering points as provided for in EB-2009-0265 at Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 4 of 24.