

VINCENT J. DEROSE  
T 613.787.3589  
vderose@blg.com

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen St, Suite 1100  
Ottawa, ON, Canada K1P 1J9  
T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842



**By Electronic Filing and By Email**

January 7, 2011

Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
27<sup>th</sup> floor – 2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli

**EGD Application for Exemption from the Affiliate Relationships Code for Gas Distributors**

**Board File No.: EB-2010-0232**

**Our File No.: 339583-000097**

Please find enclosed the Interrogatories of Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME") for EGD.

Yours very truly

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'VJ DeRose', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Vincent J. DeRose  
VJD/kt  
Encl.

- c. Norm Ryckman (EGD)  
Tania Persad (EGD)  
Paul Clipsham

OTT01\4336148\1

**IN THE MATTER OF** the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S. O. 1998, c. 15, Sched. B, as amended.

**AND IN THE MATTER OF** an Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc., for exemptions from section 2.2.4 of the *Affiliate Relationships Code for Gas Utilities* (the "Code").

## **INTERROGATORIES OF CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS ("CME")**

**Reference: Operational Services shared with Gazifère**

1. In this application, Enbridge Gas Distribution ("EGD") requests that the Board grant it a permanent exemption from section 2.2.4 of the Code, and any other applicable Code provisions, to permit the sharing of operational employees between EGD and Gazifère Inc. ("Gazifère"). Are the exemptions sought in this application exactly the same as the exemptions approved in EB-2008-0275? If not, please identify the differences between the exemptions sought in this application and those previously approved in EB-2008-0275.
2. EGD has filed a draft Inter-corporate Services Agreement ("ISA") which, when executed, will govern the relationship between EGD and Gazifère. Is this ISA the exact same as the ISA approved in EB-2008-0275? If not, please identify the differences, and provide an explanation as to why the ISA has been modified.

**Reference: Extended Provision of Services to Enbridge Wind Farms**

3. Please confirm that the exemption which EGD seeks for the Talbot wind farm and the Greenwich wind farm is exactly the same as the exemption previously approved by the Board for the Kincardine wind farm in EB-2008-0275. If not, please set out the differences between the exemptions sought in this application and those previously provided in EB-2008-0275.
4. EGD is also requested that the Board grant a generic form of exemption to allow EGD to provide the Control Services for any affiliated wind farm operation. At this time, is EGD able to identify any such wind farm operations?
5. Currently, when approving exemptions specific to a particular wind farm operation, the Board reviews the draft ISA, which includes proposed hourly rates and SCADA fees for EGD's services. By doing so, the Board and interested parties are provided an opportunity to ensure that EGD charges Enbridge Inc. for the Control Services on a fully allocated basis. If the Board were to grant a generic form of exemption to allow EGD to provide Control Services to any affiliated wind farm operation, would the Board and interested parties still have an opportunity to undertake such a review? If the answer is yes, please set out the process under which the Board and interested parties would have an opportunity to review the ISA provided to a particular wind farm operation under the auspices of the generic exemption.