

**INTERROGATORIES FOR HYDRO ONE NETWORKS
EB-2010-0332**

**CDM STRATEGY AND APPLICATION FOR BOARD -APPROVED CDM PROGRAMS
FROM THE CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF CANADA**

1. Ex. B/T1/S1/p. 2

Please recast Figure 1 - "Annual Peak and Energy Savings from OPA-Contracted and Board-Approved CDM Programs" and separate out the projected savings related to Board-Approved Programs and OPA-contracted programs.

2. Ex. B/T1/S1/p. 3

The forecasted budget for OPA-contracted programs is \$181 million. The budget for Board-approved programs is \$32 million. Please explain the process that HON undertook with the OPA to arrive at an overall \$181 million budget for OPA-Contracted programs? Please explain how HON determined that \$32 million was an appropriate budget for its Board-approved programs? Why not \$16 million? Why not \$100 million?

3. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 2

Please file the consultant's report on HON's CDM potential. What was the cost of the study and how are those costs to be recovered?

4. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 3

HON has indicated its programs achieved annualized savings of 284 GWh and 67 MW in peak demand over the period to March 2008. Please provide a schedule setting out how those savings were derived. Were these results audited by an independent third party? If not, why not?

5. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 3

Please provide a list, by year, for the period 2005-2010 of all CDM programs undertaken by HON, the cost of those programs and the results achieved.

6. Ex. B/T1/S2/pp. 4-5

HON indicates that all current Board-approved programs in the Application have the flexibility built in to allow uptake by distributors and other agencies. Please elaborate on what is intended. How would HON facilitate that uptake? Would HON be compensated by these other distributors or agencies in any way? If not, why not? If so what arrangements are contemplated?

7. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 4

The evidence states that HON considered opportunities to maximize administrative efficiencies and synergies - e.g. working with gas distributors, electricity distributors, social service agencies etc. Please explain how HON intends to work with the gas distributors over the 4- year period. What types of arrangements are contemplated?

8. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 6

HON has developed an ongoing tracking and review process in order to provide early detection of differences between program plans and actual experience. What are the costs of developing that process? What will be the annual costs of tracking results? How are those costs to be recovered?

9. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 6

Please explain the statement, "Hydro One will implement design adjustment plans, leveraging existing tools, to promptly address any differences between program plans and actual experience."

10. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 6

The evidence indicates that HON is developing other potential Board -approved CDM programs that can be implemented if required. Please provide an estimate of the costs incurred to develop these additional programs. How are those costs to be recovered? If the programs never materialize how will the costs be recovered?

11. Ex. B/T1/S2/pp. 8-9

Please provide a breakdown of the \$18.2 million for the OPA- Contracted residential programs.

12. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 11

What was the overall cost incurred by HON in developing its Board-Approved program portfolio? Please provide all cost details including the cost of outside consultants. Please explain how those costs have been, or are expected to be recovered.

13. Ex. B/T1/S2/p. 11

For each of the Board- approved programs please explain, in detail how the budget was developed. Please explain how each of the savings targets were calculated. Please provide all of the TRC and PAC calculations. Please include all assumptions

14. Ex. B/T2/S1/p. 1

HON indicates that it intends to implement a fully-allocated costing methodology in accordance with Appendix A of the CDM Code for all of its CDM programs. Please file any internal memos, documents, manuals etc. that describe HON's proposed fully allocated costing methodology.

15. Ex. C/T1/S2

Please explain the process HON intends to use regarding program specific assumptions. Will they be updated annually? If not, why not? How will HON develop assumptions for measures which are not part of the OPA measure inventory?

16. Ex. C/T1/S2

Please explain why all of the proposed individual program budgets are redacted.

17. Ex. C/T1/S2

For each of the proposed programs please identify the free-ridership rates used. Please provide all studies used to develop those free-ridership rates.

18. Ex. C/T1/S2

For all of the proposed programs please indicate how EM&V costs will be accounted for. What is the total annual projected cost for EM&V?

19. Ex. C/T1/S2

Please provide a description of the process HON undertook to determine which residential programs it would adopt. Please provide a list of the programs that were considered and then rejected. Please explain why those programs were rejected.

20. Ex. C/T1/S2/p. 6

With respect to the Community Education Program how does HON intend to measure results? How does HON intend to count participants? How were the savings targets set for this program?

21. Ex. C/T1/S2/p. 14

Please provide a list of the 20 US utilities that have undertaken a similar program. To the extent possible please a summary of the program results.