

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*,
being Schedule B to the *Energy Competition Act, 1998* S.O.
1998, c. 15;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Horizon Utilities
Corporation to the Ontario Energy Board for an Order or
Orders approving of fixing just and reasonable rates and
other service charges for the distribution of Electricity as of
January 1, 2011.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 1

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 1/Schedule 2, page 2

a) Please confirm whether the revenues reported in Table 3-1:

- Exclude revenues from the smart meter rate adder
- Exclude revenues for LV riders/adders
- Are net of any transformer allowance discount.

b) If not, please restate the values by customer class for 2010 and 2011 excluding these items.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities confirms that the revenues reported in Table 3-1 exclude revenues from the smart meter funding adder, exclude revenues for LV riders/adders and are net of any transformer allowance discount.

b) Not applicable.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 2

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, pages 3-4

Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, Appendix 3.1

a) Please provide the detailed documentation supporting the historical CDM savings values used in the regression analysis.

b) Have the historical savings values been updated to reflect the OPA’s most recent estimates of the CDM savings associated with various initiatives and technologies (e.g. lower savings values for CFLs)? If not, please restate the historical savings for 2005-2009 using the most recent estimates of unit savings for each technology/program.

c) Based on the revised data from part (b) please re-do the regression analysis and report the results in terms of:

- The resulting prediction model (per page 3)
- The model’s statistics (per page 4)

Response:

a) The annual values supporting the historical CDM Activity values used in the regression analysis are as follows. Please refer to Horizon Utilities’ response to Board Staff Interrogatory 12 for further details on the CDM Activity variable.

	3rd Tranche Activities	OPA Program Activities	Total	Accumulated Total
	MWhs			
2005	6,873	0	6,873	6,873
2006	26,934	15,387	42,321	49,194
2007	312	11,041	11,354	60,548
2008	0	11,340	11,340	71,887
2009 Est	0	10,000	10,000	81,887

1

2 The information shown under the 3rd Tranche Activities column is consistent with the
3 data provided in Horizon Utilities LRAM/SSM Application EB-2009-0192. On Page 6 of
4 17 of the Application, details supporting the 3rd Tranche Activities values are provided.
5 Further details on the 2006 to 2008 data provided under the column titled OPA Program
6 Activities are provided below.

2006 Every Kilowatt Counts (spring)	5,617
2006 Cool Savings Rebate Program	428
2006 Secondary Fridge Retirement Pilot	230
2006 Every Kilowatt Counts (fall)	9,112
2006 total	15,387

2007 Great Refrigerator Roundup	507
2007 Cool Savings Rebate	1,224
2007 Every Kilowatt Counts	5,355
2007 Summer Savings	3,196

2007 Affordable Housing – Pilot	193
2007 Social Housing – Pilot	483
2007 Energy Efficiency Assistance for Houses – Pilot	13
2007 Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program	71
2007 total	11,041

2008 Great Refrigerator Roundup	1,744
2008 Cool Savings Rebate	935
2008 Summer Sweepstakes	1,650
2008 Every Kilowatt Counts Power Savings Event	4,800
2008 peaksaver®	40
2008 Electricity Retrofit Incentive	1,071
2008 High Performance New Construction	16
2008 Power Savings Blitz	1,084
2008 total	11,340

1

2 The source of the information for the above table is a report from the OPA on final 2006-
3 2008 Conservation Results for Horizon Utilities for OPA programs. For 2009, the
4 estimated amount was based on expected results to be achieved in 2009 by Horizon
5 Utilities at the time of preparing this Application.

6 **b)** The updated historical savings for 2005-2009 using the most recent estimates of unit
7 savings for each technology/program are as follows.

	3rd Tranche Activities	OPA Program Activities	Total	Accumulated Total
--	------------------------------	------------------------------	-------	----------------------

	MWhs			
2005	6,173		6,173	6,173
2006	15,333	15,506	30,839	37,012
2007	312	10,657	10,970	47,982
2008	0	11,346	11,346	59,327
2009	0	22,746	22,746	82,074

1

2 The information shown under the 3rd Tranche Activities has been updated to for the
3 Board's Decision on Horizon Utilities LRAM/SSM Application EB-2009-0192. The lower
4 values reflect lower savings values for technologies such as CFLs. Further details on
5 the 2006 to 20009 data provided under the column titled OPA Program Activities are
6 provided below.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2006 Secondary Refrigerator Retirement Pilot	230
2006 Cool & Hot Savings Rebate	567
2006 Every Kilowatt Counts	14,710
2006 total	15,506

2007 Great Refrigerator Roundup	511
2007 Cool & Hot Savings Rebate	885
2007 Every Kilowatt Counts	5,306
2007 Summer Savings	3,196
2007 Affordable Housing Pilot	193
2007 Social Housing Pilot	482
2007 Energy Efficiency Assistance for Houses Pilot	13
2007 Electricity Retrofit Incentive	71
2007 total	10,657

2008 Great Refrigerator Roundup	1,744
2008 Cool Savings Rebate	945
2008 Every Kilowatt Counts Power Savings Event	4,796
2008 peaksaver®	40
2008 Summer Sweepstakes	1,650
2008 Electricity Retrofit Incentive	1,071
2008 High Performance New Construction	15
2008 Power Savings Blitz	1,084
2008 total	11,346

2009 Great Refrigerator Roundup	2,224
2009 Cool Savings Rebate	1,163
2009 Every Kilowatt Counts Power Savings Event	2,023

2009 peaksaver®	3
2009 Electricity Retrofit Incentive	4,454
2009 High Performance New Construction	416
2009 Power Savings Blitz	8,586
2009 Demand Response 1	362
2009 Demand Response 2	3,448
2009 Demand Response 3	66
2009 total	22,746

1

2 The source of the information for the above table is a report from the OPA on final 2006-
3 2009 Conservation Results for Horizon Utilities for OPA programs. Since this
4 information was recently provided to Horizon Utilities it is Horizon Utilities understanding
5 that these results reflect the OPA's most recent estimates of the CDM savings
6 associated with various initiatives and technologies

7 **c)** The regression analysis has been redone to reflect the revised data from part (b).
8 The following table provides the statistics and coefficients for the resulting prediction
9 model.

10

Statistic	Value
R Square	92.2%
Adjusted R Square	91.6%
F Test	151.5
Coefficient	
Intercept	(69,224,531)
Heating Degree Days	94,813
Cooling Degree Days	910,307
Number of Days in Month	8,805,499
Spring Fall Flag	(9,997,493)
CDM Activity	(0.38)
Ontario Real GDP Monthly %	1,014,366
T-stats by Coefficient	
Intercept	(1.1)
Heating Degree Days	12.7
Cooling Degree Days	16.1
Number of Days in Month	6.5
Spring Fall Flag	(3.2)
CDM Activity	(5.5)
Ontario Real GDP Monthly %	2.7

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 3

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, pages 3 and 5

a) Using the model coefficients’ for HDD and CDD along with the difference between the actual HDD and CDD values for each year (2003-2009) and the weather normal values as defined by Horizon, please provide a schedule that

- Estimates the impact of weather on each year’s actual purchases and
- Sets out each year’s actual purchases adjusted to normal weather.

b) Please provide any more recent forecasts of 2010 and 2011 Real Ontario GDP.

c) Please provide Horizon’s actual purchases for 2010. Please re-do the response to part (a) for 2010.

Response:

a) The requested information is provided in the following table for 2003 to 2010

Year	Actual	Predicted	Predicted Weather Normal	Estimated Actual Weather Normal
Purchased Energy (GWh)				
2003	4,490.3	4,466.9	4,475.9	4,499.3
2004	4,462.3	4,451.2	4,525.0	4,536.1
2005	4,652.5	4,696.2	4,553.7	4,510.0
2006	4,479.1	4,468.1	4,494.1	4,505.1

2007	4,511.1	4,482.4	4,434.0	4,462.7
2008	4,398.4	4,371.4	4,410.2	4,437.1
2009	4,207.5	4,265.0	4,308.4	4,250.9
2010	4,296.1	4,302.1	4,261.4	4,255.4

- 1 **b)** Based on the 2010 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review dated
- 2 November 18, 2010 from the Ministry of Finance a more recent forecasts of 2010 and
- 3 2011 Real Ontario GDP is 3.2% and 2.2%; respectively. The forecast of 2010 and 2011
- 4 Real Ontario GDP used in the proposed load forecast is 2.7% and 3.2%; respectively
- 5 **c)** See Horizon Utilities' response to a) above.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 4

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, page 6 and Appendix 3-1

a) Please confirm that Horizon’s CDM target is 281.42 GWh of cumulative energy saving over the period 2011-2014.

b) Please provide a schedule that shows in detail the calculation of the CDM savings forecast for 2011 and how they are related to Horizon’s CDM target as set by the OEB.

Response:

a) Further to the Ontario Energy Board’s Decision and Order in EB-2010-0216 regarding the CDM Directive, released November 12, 2010, Horizon Utilities confirms that its CDM target is 281.42 GWh of cumulative energy saving over the period 2011-2014.

b) Please refer to Horizon Utilities’ response to Board staff interrogatory 12a).

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 5

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, page 7

a) Please clarify whether the forecast 2011 loss factor or the average historical loss factor was used to determine the billed kWh forecast for 2010 and 2011 – as reference in lines 2-5 is made to both values. Please explain why the value chosen was used.

Response:

The forecast 2011 loss factor and the 2005 to 2009 average historical loss factor are the same value, being 1.0407. As a result, 1.0407 was used to determine the billed kWh forecast for 2010 and 2011.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 6

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, pages 7-8

a) Are the customer connection data shown in Table 3-10 average annual values or year end values?

b) Please provide a table setting out the customer/connection values by class for 2010 – both average and year end values.

Response:

a) The customer connection data shown in Table 3-10 are average annual values.

b) A table setting out the customer/connection values by class for 2010 – both average and year end values is provided below.

Class	2010 - Average	2010 - Year End
Residential - Customers	213,404	213,722
General Service < 50 kW - Customers	17,982	18,021
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW – Customers	2,225	2,185
Large Use – Customers	12	12
Street Lighting - Connections	52,274	52,254
Sentinel Lighting - Connections	502	502
Unmetered Scattered Load - Connections	3,218	3,262
Total	289,617	289,957

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION ("HORIZON UTILITIES")
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24, 2011

Question 7

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 2/Schedule 2, page 14

a) This part of the Application states that Horizon is proposing to change the way the standby charge is applied. However, the 2011 Rate Schedule provided in Exhibit 8/Tab 1/Schedule 6 appears to use the same description for the rate as did the 2010 Rate Schedule. Please define how the billing determinant for 2011 has changed and how it will be established on a monthly basis.

b) Please provide Horizon's understanding as to why the amount of load displaced by these customers is less than the nameplate rating of their generators.

c) For each year 2007-2009 please provide a schedule that compares the total name plate rating of the generators for these customers and the total average load displaced when the generators are in operation.

Response:

a) When the standby charge was designed a number of years ago it was assumed the billing determinants were the generator name plate rating. Each month standby charges were rendered based on the name plate rating whether the standby service was used or not. This resulted in charging the same amount for standby service each month. Horizon Utilities' proposal is to apply the standby charge in each month based on the actual amount of standby power reserved (not used). The monthly bill for standby service will be the standby charge applied to the contracted amount for standby service minus the amount of standby service used. As a result, for this Application, it assumed

the billing determinants used to design the standby charge is the level of net standby service reserved (or not used) in each month as opposed to the name plate rating.

b) These customers initially installed the generation with the intention to operate the generators continuously at full output to displace as much of their existing power consumption as possible. In practice this has not happened, as there are often times when the generator is shut down for maintenance or it is operating at reduced output. For example, referring to the summary table provide in the response to part c) below, the amount of standby power contracted in 2007 was 20,185 kW while the actual monthly average amount of standby power reserved (not used) was only 5,398 kW.

c) The following table compares the total generator name plate rating for Horizon Utilities' customers with embedded generators to the average monthly displaced load.

Year	2007	2008	2009
Total name plate rating for load displacement generation (average monthly billed standby charge)	20,185 kW	20,185 kW	19,782 kW
Total average load displaced kW (average monthly standby reserved)	5,398 kW	5,244 kW	7,048 kW
Difference (name plate rating less load displaced)	14,787 kW	14,941 kW	12,734 kW

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 8

Reference: Exhibit 6/Tab 1/Schedule 2, Appendix 6-1, page 8

Exhibit 7/Tab 1/Schedule 2, page 5

a) Please provide a schedule that sets out, by customer class, the determination of the \$83,665,964 Distribution Revenue for 2011 at currently approved rates (i.e. show the loads and rates used by class).

b) Please confirm that the revenues at existing rate reported for Standby is based on the 2010 definition of the billing determinant.

c) Please confirm that the derivation:

- Excludes the smart meter rate adder/rider
- Excludes the LV rates
- Is net of the transformer ownership allowance.

If not, please provide revised response to part (a) with these adjustments.

Response:

a) Please see the table below that sets out by customer class the determination of the \$83,665,964 Distribution Revenue for 2011 at currently approved rates.

Forecast Class Billing Determinants for 2011 Test Year Based on Existing Class Revenue Proportions										
Revenue At Existing Rates										
Class	Annual kWh	Annual kW For Dx	Annualized Customers	Annualized Connections	Fixed Distribution Revenue	Variable Distribution Revenue	Dist. Rev. Including Transformer	Transformer Allowance	Dist. Rev. Excluding Transformer	Dist Rev At Existing Rates %
Residential	1,580,203,371		2,575,897		32,662,380	19,752,542	52,414,922		52,414,922	62.65%
GS < 50 kW	552,044,772		215,176		5,906,578	3,974,722	9,881,301		9,881,301	11.81%
GS >50	1,781,012,386	4,856,870	27,351		6,846,887	8,681,656	15,528,542	1,664,400	13,864,142	16.57%
Large Use	693,689,836	3,044,901	144		1,605,790	3,082,353	4,688,143	0	4,688,143	5.60%
Sentinel Lights	502,459	1,421		6,012	17,375	11,267	28,642		28,642	0.03%
Street Lighting	40,006,298	111,295		628,656	1,238,452	585,245	1,823,696		1,823,696	2.18%
USL	12,541,586			38,731	371,429	185,615	557,044		557,044	0.67%
Standby Power		199,012			0	408,074	408,074		408,074	0.49%
0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0.00%
	4,660,000,708	8,213,499	2,818,569	673,399	48,648,890	36,681,475	85,330,364	1,664,400	83,665,964	100%

- 1
- 2 **b)** Horizon Utilities confirms that the revenues at existing rate reported for Standby
- 3 is based on the 2010 definition of the billing determinant.
- 4 **c)** Horizon Utilities confirms that the derivation excludes the smart meter rate
- 5 adder/rider, excludes the LV rates and is net of the transformer ownership allowance.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 9

Reference: Exhibit 7/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 3

a) Please confirm that the 2009 and 2010 ratios reported in Table 7-1 are the ratios implemented for the respective years using the IRM model adjustments and are not “actual ratios” calculated using the “actual revenue and costs” for the years concerned.

Response:

Horizon Utilities confirms that the 2009 and 2010 ratios reported in Table 7-1 are the ratios implemented for the respective years using the IRM model adjustment, as per Decision and Order EB-2008-0183 page 5 and are not “actual ratios” calculated using the “actual revenue and costs” for the years concerned.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 10

Reference: Exhibit 7/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 3

Board Report EB-2007-0667, pages 5-7

Preamble: In establishing the revenue to cost ratio ranges for the various classes the Board cited current problems with both the accounting and load data. The Board stated that “Distributors should endeavour to move their revenue-to- cost ratios closer to one if this is supported by improved cost allocations” (emphasis added).

a) What improvements has Horizon made to either its load data or accounting data (since the 2006/07 informational filing) that would justify moving the ratios closer to one than indicated by the Board’s current ranges?

Response:

Although Horizon Utilities recognizes the comments made in Board Report EB-2007-0667, the comments and directions in recent Horizon Utilities’ rate decisions must also be considered. Horizon Utilities, in its current and its previous Cost of Service Applications, has indicated a desire to move closer to revenue-to-cost ratios of parity. In the Decision with Reasons in Horizon Utilities’ last Cost of Service Application (EB-2007-0697), at Page 28, with regard to Horizon Utilities’ rates effective May 1, 2008, the Board directed Horizon Utilities to move Sentinel and Unmetered Scattered Load classes to the bottom of the target ranges, and the Board further directed Horizon Utilities to move the Streetlighting class closer to the Board target range. In the subsequent rate decision on its 3GIRM Application for 2009 Electricity Distribution

1 Rates, for rates effective May 1, 2009 (EB-2008-0183), Horizon Utilities proposed
2 Revenue-to Cost Ratios continued the trend towards ratios of one. The Board approved
3 the approach taken, stating that 'The Board endorses the rate adjustments to achieve
4 the Ratios proposed by Horizon, as these follow the direction and intent of the 2008 cost
5 of service Decision(EB-2007-0697) and are reasonable'.

6 Horizon Utilities is not proposing to move to cost-to-revenue ratios of unity. Rather, it
7 proposes having a gradual movement towards one, in keeping with previous decisions
8 and with the Report of the Board - Application of Cost Allocation for Electricity
9 Distributors (EB-2007-0667).

10 Regarding the improvements that Horizon Utilities has made since the 2006/07
11 information filing, all the input data entered in the 2011 cost allocation study such as
12 load data and accounting data is 2011. The resulting revenue to cost ratios reflect
13 revenues and costs that are on a 2011 basis and provide more accurate revenue to cost
14 ratios than the method used in the 2008 Cost of Service Application (EB-2007-0697).

15 The revenue to cost ratio information used in the 2008 Cost of Service Application was
16 based on the original cost allocation informational filing submitted by Horizon Utilities to
17 the Board on March 30, 2007. The original cost allocation study was based on load data
18 and accounting data from the 2006 EDR application. The 2006 EDR application was
19 prepared using a historical test year approach which meant the 2004 cost structure was
20 used to determine the rates approved in the 2006 EDR application. As a result, the
21 revenue to cost ratio information which supported the 2008 Cost of Service Application
22 was based on the 2004 cost structure.

23 Updating the cost allocation study in this Application to reflect the 2011 information is
24 clearly an improvement over using a cost allocation study that was based on cost data
25 that occurred four years before the Test Year.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 11

Reference: Exhibit 8/Tab 1/Schedule 1, pages 4-9

a) Please confirm that the proposed Monthly Fixed Charges for Residential, GS<50 and GS>50 all exceed the “ceiling” value calculated by the Cost Allocation model for the respective customer class.

b) On page 4 Horizon cites the Board’s EB-2007-0667 Report that “it did not expect distributors to make changes to the MSC that would result in such exceeding the ceiling as defined by the methodology for the MSC”. In light of this expectation, please explain why Horizon is proposing to increase the MSC for each of these classes to a value that exceeds the ceiling for each class.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities confirms that the proposed Monthly Fixed Charges for Residential, GS<50 and GS>50 all exceed the ‘ceiling’ value calculated by the Cost Allocation model for the respective customer class.

b) Horizon Utilities is proposing to increase the MSC for each of these classes to a value that exceeds the ceiling for each class in order to maintain the current fixed variable split. The justification for this position is based on the evidence provided in Exhibit 8, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 5.

As discussed in the Application, the Board decided “to defer completion of the rate design project while staff conducts more research and expands the ability to model rate impacts. Stakeholders will be advised when the Board decides to resume development

1 of a policy and methodology for a new rate design". Until the Rate Review Proceeding is
2 completed and consistent with Norfolk Power Distribution Inc.'s 2008 Rate Decision
3 (EB-2007-0753), Horizon Utilities submits that an MSC ceiling has not been established
4 and that, with the exception of its Large Use class, it is appropriate for the purposes of
5 setting rates in this Application to maintain the current fixed and variable proportions of
6 its rates.

7 On March 22, 2010, the Board notified stakeholders that it "is initiating a consultation
8 process to examine the revenue adjustment and cost recovery mechanisms that are
9 currently available to electricity and natural gas distributors to address revenue erosion
10 resulting from unforecasted changes in the volume of energy sold. Such mechanisms
11 have now been in place for some time, and the Board has determined that a review is
12 appropriate at this time to enable the Board to confirm whether such remain adequate
13 and sufficient under current conditions." This is referred to as the Board's "Distribution
14 Revenue Decoupling" proceeding (EB-2010-0060).

15 As noted in the Executive Summary the Review of Distribution Revenue Decoupling
16 Mechanisms ("the Review"), commissioned by the Board and undertaken by Pacific
17 Economics Group ("PEG") in the Distribution Revenue Decoupling proceeding, "The
18 cost of energy distribution and customer care is driven, in the short run, chiefly by
19 customer growth and is largely fixed with respect to system use." (p.5, paragraph 2). On
20 January 18, 2011, the Board issued a letter¹ to parties interested in the Distribution
21 Revenue Decoupling proceeding, in conjunction with a staff report, also dated January
22 18th. In that letter, the Board wrote:

23 "In a letter issued October 27, 2010 the Board directed that the revenue
24 decoupling consultation would not proceed until the substantial completion of
25 three new priority policy initiatives the output of which will be considered in the
26 Board's future work on this subject. With a view to revisiting these matters as and
27 when appropriate, staff prepared a Report to the Board (the "Staff Report") to

¹ The letter is available at <www.oeb.gov.on.ca/OEB/_Documents/EB-2010-0060/Brdstaff_Report_cvrltr_20110118.pdf>

1 summarize the information and stakeholder views provided to date and advise
2 the Board on potential next steps. The Board's posting of the Staff Report should
3 not be construed as necessarily indicating the Board's agreement with any view,
4 conclusion or suggestion contained therein.

5 The Board is of the view that the issues and related matters raised in the course
6 of this consultation can be considered for inclusion in other ongoing and
7 prospective Board initiatives as appropriate. Accordingly, the present
8 consultation can be drawn to a close at this time."²

9 The Board has concluded the Distribution Revenue Decoupling proceeding, but it is
10 clear that the issues being considered in that proceeding are not yet resolved. The
11 January 18, 2011 Staff Report, for example, contemplates certain issues (such as the
12 application of advanced metering information to rate design) being considered in
13 advance of the Board's next electricity rate design consultation, and suggests that "The
14 Board's next electricity IRM framework review could also be informed by the results of
15 this investigation; experience with the new CDM regime; and the information and
16 stakeholder views garnered in the present consultation." Horizon Utilities submits that it
17 would not be prudent to adjust the fixed/variable split until the outcomes of the various
18 other relevant Board initiatives are known. Reducing the Fixed component otherwise
19 would increase its short-term exposure to volumetric risk with respect to electricity
20 consumption despite its costs being largely fixed, as PEG has provided in its Review.

² From the October 27th letter, the Board will re-examine its approach to network investment planning by transmitters and distributors; the Board will review its rate mitigation policy; and the Board will review its current rate-making policies in light of the enhanced approach to planning described above. The October 27th letter is available at:

<www.oeb.gov.on.ca/OEB/_documents/Documents/letter_Renewed_Reg_Framework_Electricity_20101027.pdf>

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 13

Reference: Exhibit 8/Tab 1/Schedule 3, pages 3-4

a) Please provide a schedule that sets out the actual kWh wholesale purchases by Horizon for 2010 and contrast the results with the forecast purchases for 2011.

b) Please update Tables 8-15 through 8-17 for the most recent 12 months of data.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities has provided a schedule below that sets out the actual kWh wholesale purchases by Horizon for 2010 and contrasts the results with the forecast purchases for 2011.

Actual kWh Wholesale Purchase 2010	Forecast kWh Wholesale Purchase 2011
4,931,905,362	4,955,066,101

b) Horizon Utilities has updated Tables 8-15 through 8-17 for the most recent 12 months of data.

1 **Table 8-15 – IESO Charges for Transmission**

	Line Connection Quantities	Line Connection Costs	Transformation Connection Quantities	Transformation Connection Costs	Network Charge Quantities	Network Charge Costs
Rate		\$ 0.73		\$ 1.71		\$ 2.97
Jan-10	788,686	\$ 575,740.78	857,140	\$ 1,465,709.40	793,857	\$ 2,357,755.29
Feb-10	747,313	\$ 545,538.49	807,792	\$ 1,381,324.32	775,502	\$ 2,303,240.94
Mar-10	717,039	\$ 523,438.47	776,338	\$ 1,327,537.98	714,271	\$ 2,121,384.87
Apr-10	686,038	\$ 500,807.74	748,300	\$ 1,279,593.00	676,705	\$ 2,009,813.85
May-10	889,288	\$ 649,180.24	959,753	\$ 1,641,177.63	888,354	\$ 2,638,411.38
Jun-10	878,141	\$ 641,042.93	940,655	\$ 1,608,520.05	892,933	\$ 2,652,011.01
Jul-10	990,804	\$ 723,286.92	1,061,505	\$ 1,815,173.55	1,015,434	\$ 3,015,838.98
Aug-10	972,989	\$ 710,281.97	1,046,791	\$ 1,790,012.61	990,667	\$ 2,942,280.99
Sep-10	948,404	\$ 692,334.92	1,015,539	\$ 1,736,571.69	974,398	\$ 2,893,962.06
Oct-10	671,185	\$ 489,965.05	734,485	\$ 1,255,969.35	664,296	\$ 1,972,959.12
Nov-10	674,314	\$ 492,249.22	730,525	\$ 1,249,197.75	700,247	\$ 2,079,733.59
Dec-10	742,293	\$ 541,874.06	802,539	\$ 1,372,341.90	687,631	\$ 2,042,264.68
Totals	9,706,494	\$ 7,085,740.79	10,481,362	\$ 17,923,129.23	9,774,295	\$ 29,029,656.76

2

3 **Table 8-16 – Hydro One Charges for Transmission**

	Connection Charge Quantities	Connection Charge Costs	Network Charge Quantities	Network Charge Costs
Rate		\$ 2.14		\$ 2.65
Jan-10	62,990	\$ 134,798.60	61,148	\$ 162,042.20
Feb-10	61,174	\$ 130,912.36	60,300	\$ 159,795.00
Mar-10	57,947	\$ 124,006.58	56,033	\$ 148,487.45
Apr-10	54,720	\$ 117,100.80	53,254	\$ 141,123.10
May-10	77,002	\$ 164,784.28	75,511	\$ 200,104.15
Jun-10	86,572	\$ 185,264.08	85,690	\$ 227,078.50
Jul-10	88,676	\$ 189,766.64	87,347	\$ 231,469.55
Aug-10	86,078	\$ 184,206.92	84,714	\$ 224,492.10
Sep-10	66,440	\$ 142,181.60	65,272	\$ 172,970.80
Oct-10	65,025	\$ 139,153.50	64,731	\$ 171,537.15
Nov-10	58,418	\$ 125,014.52	56,627	\$ 150,061.55
Dec-10	63,947	\$ 136,846.64	63,121	\$ 167,269.48
Totals	828,989	\$ 1,774,036.52	813,748	\$ 2,156,431.03

4

1 **Table 8-17 – Summary of Charges for Transmission**

Description		Amount
IESO One Line Connection Costs	\$	7,085,741
IESO Transformation Connection Costs	\$	17,923,129
Hydro One Connection Charge Costs	\$	1,774,037
Total Connection Costs	\$	26,782,907
IESO Network Charge Costs	\$	29,029,657
Hydro One Network Charge Costs	\$	2,156,431
Total Network Costs	\$	31,186,088

2

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 14

Reference: Exhibit 9/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 2 and Schedule 2, pages 2-3

a) For each of the three USOA #1508 sub-accounts for which Horizon is seeking disposition (per Table 9-5) please provide:

- A description of the activities underlying the costs claimed
- The period of time over which the costs were incurred
- The OEB’s approval to record the costs in a deferral account.

b) Please confirm that Horizon’s proposed rate base/revenue requirement do not include any smart meter costs.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities is seeking disposition of USOA #1508 sub-accounts as follows:

1. Other Regulatory Assets Deferred IFRS Transition Costs

- The costs recorded in this sub-account have been incurred for consulting costs for the transition to IFRS and include applicable carrying charges.
- These costs are for the period of January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009.
- The Accounting Procedures Handbook Frequently Asked Questions, October 2009, page 3, A1 states that ‘The Board has approved a

1 deferral account for a distributor to record one-time administrative
2 incremental IFRS transition costs, which are not already approved
3 and included for recovery in distribution rates. In such circumstances,
4 the incremental costs (see Q.3 below) will be recorded in a new and
5 separate sub-account of account 1508, Other Regulatory Assets,
6 “Sub-account Deferred IFRS Transition Costs”, in the Uniform System
7 of Accounts. Interest carrying charges, calculated on the monthly
8 opening principal balance of this sub-account at the Board’s
9 prescribed interest rates, are applicable to amounts recorded in this
10 sub-account. “

11 2. Other Regulatory Assets Incremental Capital Charges

- 12 • The costs recorded in this sub-account have been incurred for payments
13 made to Hydro One for their new incremental capital (“Rider 5”) charge
14 and include the applicable carrying charges.
- 15 • The costs are for the period June 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009.
- 16 • The Accounting Procedures Handbook Frequently Asked Questions,
17 October 2009, page 17; A18 states that ‘The Board has approved a sub-
18 account of account 1508, Other Regulatory Assets, “Sub-account
19 Incremental Capital Charges”, for distributors to record the charges arising
20 from the capital rate relief rider. Interest carrying charges, calculated on
21 the monthly opening principle balance of this sub-account at the Board’s
22 prescribed interest rates, are applicable for amounts recorded in this sub-
23 account. The distributor should include the balance in this sub-account for
24 review and disposition as part of its next cost of service rate application”

25 3. Other Regulatory Assets CDM Costs

- 26 • The costs recorded in this sub-account relate to the costs of maintaining a

1 small department and the applicable carrying charges.

- 2 • The costs are for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009.

- 3 • At Page 31 of the Board's decision on Horizon Utilities 2008 Electricity
4 Distribution Rate Cost of Service Application (EB-2007-0697), states that
5 "the proposed activities appear to be aligned with the intentions of the
6 new OPA program. As a result, \$265,000 will be removed from the
7 revenue requirement. Horizon may track the expenses in account 1508
8 for potential future disposition, at which time the Board will examine
9 whether the expenditures have been, or could have been, recovered
10 through OPA funding."

- 11 **b)** Horizon Utilities proposed rate base/revenue requirement does not include any
12 smart meter costs.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 15

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 1/Schedule 13

a) Please identify any amounts included in the revenue requirement associated with the Board of Directors of (i) the holding company or (ii) any of its affiliates.

b) Please provide the impact on the deficiency of removing all such costs in (a) from the revenue requirement.

Response:

a) There are no amounts included in the revenue requirement for 2011 with respect to the Board of Directors of Horizon Holdings Inc., the holding company of Horizon Utilities, and/or its affiliates' Board of Directors.

All costs related to the Board of Directors of Horizon Holdings Inc. or its affiliates are recorded directly in the accounts of the holding company or affiliate.

b) Not applicable.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 16

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 2/Schedule 1, page 4, lines 7-9

Preamble: The evidence states that “[a]s a result of more comprehensive analysis by Horizon Utilities of the condition of its distribution system assets, Horizon Utilities has determined that a dramatic increase in infrastructure investment is required in comparison to prior years.”

a) When was this comprehensive analysis started and completed?

b) What initiated the comprehensive analysis?

c) Please provide the presentation made to the utility’s Board of Directors along with any other materials provided to the Board of Directors.

d) Please provide the minutes from the Board of Directors meeting(s) at which the more comprehensive analysis was discussed.

e) Please provide any other documents associated with this analysis that are not included in the pre-filed evidence.

Response:

a) and b) Horizon Utilities has been in the practice of continuously analyzing its capital and operating requirements. The “comprehensive analysis” refers to more structured and comprehensive asset management planning, which commenced in 2007. An “Asset Management Plan” was developed in consultation with engineering consultants knowledgeable in industry leading practices and involved a review of Horizon Utilities’ current capital investment and maintenance planning practices against leading practices

1 and the development of a roadmap for the implementation more formal, structured, and
2 sophisticated planning. This work was initiated principally to support an objective of
3 Horizon Utilities to be a 'best performing' asset manager among other Ontario LDC's.
4 Additionally, Horizon Utilities also required improved asset planning documentation in
5 support of in support of new forward test year rate re-basing applications and, as
6 necessary, other regulatory requirements.

7 The Implementation Plan would also provide for the creation of:

- 8 • A solid knowledge-based decision support framework
- 9 • Facilitation of organic growth based on an asset centric approach utilizing
10 best available industry and company practices and methods

11 Most of the work completed in 2008 focused on performing 'Gap Analyses' to identify
12 where improvements were needed, design the approach that Horizon Utilities would
13 take to asset investment, and to begin to gather data for analysis. In 2009, as
14 discussed in the Asset Management Plan provided in the Application in Exhibit 2, Tab 3,
15 Schedule 2, Appendix 2-1, Horizon Utilities focused on the end-of-life 4kV and 8kV
16 distribution system and other items, such sub-station asset conditions, Paper Insulated
17 Lead Cable ("PILC") replacement, and Capacity and Security Analysis; with a view to
18 further enhance asset data collection in support of Horizon Utilities' Asset Condition
19 inventory. With Horizon Utilities' first formal Asset Management Plan being completed
20 in 2009, the utility was set on a course for an ongoing cycle of Asset Management
21 planning, which is underpinned by an ongoing assessment of asset conditions on an
22 annual basis.

23 **c) and d)** "As provided in a) and b), the Asset Management Plan was completed in
24 2009, and incorporated into the 2010 business and financial planning process. There
25 are no Board minutes, presentations, or materials specific to the Asset Management
26 Plan. The implications of the Asset Management Plan are generally reflected in the
27 annual Budget and Three Year Financial Plans ("Financial Plans") for Horizon Utilities.
28 The 2010 and 2011 Financial Plans, and related presentations, were reviewed and

- 1 approved by the Horizon Utilities Board of Directors and are being provided in
- 2 confidence for reasons which are outlined in the cover letter accompanying Horizon
- 3 Utilities Response to Consumers Council of Canada Interrogatory 8.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION ("HORIZON UTILITIES")
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 17

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 2/Schedule 1, page 6, lines 16-18

Preamble: The pre-filed evidence states that "[a]n urgent requirement to renew and increase skilled trades positions within the workforce and other administrative functions in support of growth and change in the electricity distribution business."

a) When did Horizon first notice this urgent requirement that needed addressing?

b) When did Horizon begin to address this problem?

c) Are ongoing OM&A savings related to the replacement of more senior personnel with more junior personnel expected and reflected in this application?

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities has been reviewing its pending skilled trades requirements since 2006, and such was reported in Horizon Utilities' 2008 Electricity Distribution Rate Application (EB-2007-0697). Given the changes in the industry over the past five years and the large number of pending retirements in the trades and technical areas, Horizon Utilities introduced a more sophisticated approach to reviewing such requirements, first in 2008 and since then by making enhancements in its 2010 Workforce Planning activities. Such activity has evolved to include the development of a model that not only takes into consideration retirements, but also general turnover, promotions, and the years required to become fully competent and proficient in the job. Such considerations are reflected in the Workforce Labour Strategy and Plan. Analysis for 2010 provides a more comprehensive outlook of future requirements and necessary advance hiring that

1 will ensure a fully competent workforce that delivers safe and reliable service to Horizon
2 Utilities' customers.

3 **b)** Please refer to 17a).

4 **c)** No, OM&A savings have not been achieved by hiring junior apprentices to
5 replace more senior lines people. Savings could only be achieved if a senior
6 linesperson left the organization and was replaced immediately with a more junior
7 linesperson. The Workforce Labour Strategy and Plan (Appendix 4-2 Exhibit 4, Tab 2)
8 demonstrates that Horizon Utilities is investing in advance hiring of apprentices to
9 provide sufficient time for such apprentices to become fully competent and proficient,
10 prior to senior lines people leaving the organization.

11 Consistent with the Workforce Labour Strategy and Plan, apprentices require a
12 minimum of 5 years practical and educational training in order to become competent
13 and proficient. This investment has been identified as a cost driver in Exhibit 4, Tab 2,
14 Schedule 5, page 2, Table 4-2.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 18

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 2/Schedule 1, page 13

Preamble:

The evidence states that a driver of OM&A increases is wage inflation: “Approximately \$3.7MM of wage and price inflation estimated at a cumulative growth rate of 3% per year. Wage inflation in collective agreements has exceeded such rate of growth.”

a) Please explain why the collective agreements have provided for wage inflation in excess of 3% annually.

Response:

In 2008, Horizon Utilities engaged in collective bargaining with the IBEW Local 636 which resulted in a three week labour disruption. After lengthy negotiations and in an effort to mitigate potential impacts to customers, an agreement was reached at slightly above the 3 per cent wage inflation level. The settled 3 year collective agreement included a wage adjustment of 3.35%, 3.35% and 3% respectively for 2008-2010. The current collective agreement expires on May 31, 2011.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION
DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011**

Question 19

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 2/Schedule 2, Appendix 1-9(a), Horizon Group of Companies Business Development Business Plan – Fiscal 2011

a) Please provide the allocation of costs for the BD unit among the Horizon Group for each year that the BD unit has existed.

b) Are there any metrics against which the BD unit’s actual performance can be judged in attaining its objectives as listed on page 5?

c) Are there any metrics against which the utility’s actual performance can be or are judged in attaining the Horizon Utilities Strategic Business Objectives as listed on page 6?

d) Please expand the tables shown on page 9 to (i) include budgeted and actual spending for each year that the Sustainability initiative and Business Development have existed and for which actual figures are known and (ii) add an extra row in each for “Salaries/Benefits.”

e) Please define and provide examples of “Distributable Expenses.” Are they included in Total Operating Expenses?

f) Please explain why salaries and benefits of \$43,601 were not utilized for the 2010 Sustainability Initiative.

g) With respect to the three-year plan summary on page 15, please explain why total operating expenses are forecast to increase by 6% in each of the three years.

h) On page 11, it states that Incremental Initiatives/Projects “have already been allocated for in budget.” Please break out the specific Incremental Initiatives/Projects that have already been allocated for in budget for each of the three years of the plan, i.e., what are the specific incremental initiatives/projects and how much are each of them forecasted to cost.

i) Please provide a copy of the most recent three-year plan that was superseded by the plan that was filed.

Response:

(a) The following is the allocation of costs for the Business Development unit among the Horizon Group for each year that the Business Development unit existed:

Allocation of Costs to Horizon Group of Companies

	<u>2007A</u>	<u>2008A</u>	<u>2009A</u>	<u>2010B</u>	<u>2011T</u>
Horizon Utilities Corporation					
Sustainability (note 1)	-	-	-	143	143
Business Development	244	552	-	-	344
	<u>244</u>	<u>552</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>143</u>	<u>487</u>
Horizon Holdings Inc.	-	-	572	677	351
Total Business Development	<u>244</u>	<u>552</u>	<u>572</u>	<u>820</u>	<u>838</u>

Notes:

- (1) Third party costs incurred to develop the Sustainability Report were recorded in Horizon Holdings Inc.
(2) Business Development costs for 2009 and 2010 were not allocated to Horizon Utilities as a result of the Board's decision in the 2008 EDR Cost of Service Application (EB-2007-0697 Decision, p 15).

b) Horizon Utilities does not have specific metrics on which actual performance can be judged in attaining Business Development objectives as listed on page. This is matter that maybe reviewed in future. However, the Business Development objectives align closely to the corporate objectives described in c). Business Development is

assessed more broadly relative to its contribution to the corporate objectives through direct contributions to specific underlying projects and enhancing the strategic capacity and corporate development expertise of the Executive Management Team. This need is a function of business scale and does not come at the expense of customers. Horizon Utilities' controllable costs per customer per year of \$165 compare well the sector average of \$257. (Source: OEB 2009 Yearbook).

c) Horizon Utilities' Strategic Objectives align to its corporate objectives, against which the corporation assesses its performance each year. Horizon Utilities measures its performance relative to the corporate objectives as presented in its Corporate Metrics, annually. For illustrative purposes, Horizon Utilities attaches its 2010 Corporate Metrics.

Horizon Utilities Corporation
2010 DRAFT Corporate Metrics

FINANCIAL

Return on Equity (ROE)

Objective ROE metric corresponds with the approved 2010 budget. A composite metric for measuring how well we manage the financial business overall including balance sheet, income, cash and our ability to deliver dividends.

ROE Employed Target = 6.46%

Threshold = 5.46%

Controllable Cost per Customer

Achievement of controllable cost per customer as provided in the 2010 budget, isolating for extraordinary one-time expenditures.

\$ CC per C Target = \$177.04

Threshold = \$182.04

CUSTOMER (VALUE PROPOSITION)

Ease of Doing Business

Target - 3% above the Ontario average (Horizon end of interview score)

Threshold - meet Ontario average (Horizon end of interview score)

Reliability & Service

A Composite Index based on a combination of reliability and service performance indicators to provide one index to assess reliability and customer service performance. The Composite Index is based on three contributing measurement indicator groups – short term system performance based on SAIDI and SAIFI, longer term system performance based on historic reliability trends benchmarked to a larger utility group, and current PBR Service Quality Measures.

2010 Target = 0.75

Threshold = 0.66

OPERATIONAL EXCELLENCE

Continuous Improvement:

Achievement of deliverables identified in the 2010 Process Improvement Initiatives. Continue with improving the *new* customer power connection process to be timelier, less costly and a better experience for the customer.

- a. Ninety percent of new customer capital projects under \$100,000 (recoverable work) estimated within 10% of actual costs, or \$1000, whichever is greater. Threshold within 12.5%.
- b. Ninety percent of new customer projects reconciled, closed and invoiced within 60 business days of service completion. Threshold within 70 business days.
- c. The five current Customer Connection Process KPIs reported by 7th business day of month. Threshold - by 7th business day for 10 of 12 months.
- d. Implementation of additional KPIs identified in the 2009 process improvement work. Reporting commence in Q3.

Customer Service Excellence:

Achievement of deliverables as identified in Business Planning process with associated targets and thresholds.

1. Implementation of Time-of-Use Rates
2. E-Mobile Services (Automation of Meter Service Orders):
3. Telephone System Upgrade:

LEARNING AND GROWTH

Leading Indicator Safety Program

Continuous improvement in program initiatives, training and matrix results and implementation of multi-year Safety Plan.

Target: 88%

Threshold: 84%

Labour/Employee Relations

Demonstrated commitment to engage employees, develop consultative action plans and execute on plans, both corporately and departmentally

2010 – Conduct two mini-engagement surveys (at CEO Updates) to gauge employee morale based on 'improvements' in the right direction.

Target: Discretionary and based on evidence of a 'material' move towards improvement in employee morale

Threshold: Discretionary and based on at a minimum a 'marginal' move towards improvement in employee morale

d) The following is a summary of the budgeted and actual spending for the Sustainability initiative and Business Development for the years 2007 through 2011, where applicable.

2007

	2007A				2007B			
	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>
Horizon Utilities Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sustainability (note 1)	190	29	25	244	-	-	-	-
Business Development	190	29	25	244	-	-	-	-
Horizon Holdings Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Business Development	190	29	25	244	-	-	-	-

1 Note: Sustainability and Business Development were not separated in 2007 and 2008.

2 2008

	2008A				2008B			
	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>
Horizon Utilities Corporation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sustainability (note 1)	373	172	7	552	561	287	6	854
Business Development	373	172	7	552	561	287	6	854
Horizon Holdings Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Business Development	373	172	7	552	561	287	6	854

3 Note: Sustainability and Business Development were not separated in 2007 and 2008.

4

1 **2009**

	2009A				2009B			
	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Salaries & Benefits</u>	<u>Operating Exp</u>	<u>Distributables</u>	<u>Total</u>
Horizon Utilities Corporation								
Sustainability (note 1)	-	-	-	-	54	89	-	143
Business Development	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	54	89	-	143
Horizon Holdings Inc.	411	143	18	572	392	267	16	675
Total Business Development	411	143	18	572	446	356	16	818

2
3
4 **e)** Distributable expenses are OM&A expenditures that are incurred by other cost
5 centres that provide a direct service to other internal cost centres. Examples include:

- 6 • The Information Systems Technology department which provides support to all
7 departments within the organization;
- 8 • The Facilities Maintenance department which provides support to all of the
9 distribution centres and office buildings.

10
11 The total OM&A expenditures of the support department is distributed to other internal
12 cost centers based on identified cost drivers (e.g. square footage, number of personal
13 computers, etc.).

14 Yes, distributable expenses are included in total operating expenses.

15 **f)** The amount of \$43,601 for salaries and benefits in 2010 represents a budget for
16 contract labour, which is not anticipated to be utilized for 2010.

17 **g)** As noted on Page 14 of the Business Plan, total operating expenses are forecast
18 to increase by 3% per year for wage and benefit inflation, and an additional 3% for
19 incremental expenditures.

20 **h)** There were no specific expenditures included in the 2011 budget for incremental
21 initiatives or projects. The projects referred to in the Business Plan reflect those

- 1 activities that are considered core activities to this department. The 2011 Budget
- 2 expenditures are expected to be similar to 2010 Budget expenditures.
- 3 i) The plan filed in the Application is the most recent and has not been superseded.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 20

Reference: Exhibit 1/Tab 2/Schedule 2, Appendix 1-9(b),

Corporate Communications Business Plan – 2011

a) Please provide the cost of producing the Corporate Communications Business Plan and also the allocation of this cost among the Horizon Group of Companies.

b) The figures for the 2010 and 2011 budgets shown on pages 16 and 17 appear to be the total amounts for the Horizon Group. Are all of the 2011 costs included in the utility revenue requirement? If not, please provide the allocation of the 2010 and 2011 budgets to non-utility entities.

c) Please define and provide examples of “Distributable Expenses.” Are they included in Total Operating Expenses?

d) For the table at the top of page 17, please add (i) an extra row breaking out Salaries/Benefits, and (ii) actual and budgeted information for all other years that Horizon has had a Corporate Communications Business Plan.

e) Please explain how each of the line items for the table requested in d) have been allocated among the Horizon Group.

f) For the Project/Initiative described on page 17, please indicate the amounts of the \$335K allocated to 2010 and 2011.

Response:

a.) The Corporate Communications Business Plan was developed internally by Horizon Utilities' staff and therefore there were no external costs to create this business plan. The Plan is a Horizon Utilities Corporation Plan and contains only costs related to the regulated utility.

b) The referenced figures on pages 16 and 17 are costs related to the regulated utility. Further to the response to a) above, any communications costs specifically related to the non-regulated businesses, such as advertising, media relations, etc. are borne directly by the non-regulated businesses.

c) Distributable expenses are expenditures incurred by support cost centres which provide a direct service to other internal departments. For example, Information Services and Technology provides support to operations and administration departments. The Facilities Maintenance department provides support to the building and offices. The expenditure of those support departments is distributed to other cost centers/departments, within Horizon Utilities, that derive a benefit from the support cost centres, based on identified cost drivers. Yes, distributable expenses are included in total operating expenses.

d)

Budget Summary 2008

	Actual	Budget
Salaries and benefits	323,852	285,326
Operating expenses	378,263	352,350
Distribution cost	128,263	211,558
Total cost	830,378	849,234

Budget Summary 2009

	Actual	Budget
Salaries and benefits	332,214	284,998
Operating expenses	391,363	497,200
Distribution cost	86,089	70,316
Total cost	809,666	852,514

Budget Summary 2010

	Forecast	Budget
Salaries and benefits	294,440	371,909
Operating expenses	492,700	557,229
Distribution cost	111,610	118,728
Total cost	898,750	1,047,866

Budget Summary 2011

		Budget
Salaries and benefits		322,425
Operating expenses		847,575
Distribution cost		139,688
Total cost		1,309,398

- 1 **e)** As per Horizon Utilities' response to b) Corporate Communication costs are
2 related to Horizon Utilities, the regulated utility and therefore there is no allocation to
3 other Horizon Group of Companies.
- 4 **f)** For the project described on page 17 of the Communications Business Plan, the
5 full amount is allocated to 2011.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION ("HORIZON UTILITIES")
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 21

Reference: Exhibit 2/Tab 2/Schedule 2

a) Please indicate how Horizon treats sale/salvage/trade-in proceeds from the disposal of assets such as transportation equipment and how any such amounts are reflected in the revenue requirement.

b) Please provide the sale/salvage proceeds from the disposal of transportation equipment for each year 2007-2010 and provide vehicular details of the transportation equipment disposed of (type, vintage, model, mileage, etc.)

c) Please explain why no disposals are shown for any assets in 2010 and 2011, including transportation equipment.

d) Please explain why Contributions and Grants are expected to be lower in 2011 than in 2010.

Response:

a) Any gains on disposals (proceeds received less net book value) are recorded as Other Revenue and reflected in account 4355, Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property. There are no such gains projected in 2011, thus there is no impact to Horizon Utilities' revenue requirement.

- 1 **b)** The table below summarizes the sale proceeds from the disposal of transportation
2 equipment for each year from 2007 to 2010.

Horizon Utilities Corporation - Transportation Equipment Sales Report 2007 to 2010								
Description	Reason for Selling Vehicle	Mileage (km)	Date Sold	Remaining Book Value	Net Sell Price	Agency Fee / Commission	Sale Price	Sold By
1994 GMC Top Kick Tandem Axle Cab & Chassis c/w	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #269	75,958	22-Mar	\$0	\$40,280	\$4,028	\$36,252	RBS Fleet Services
1989 International Model 4900 single bucket	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #354	133,689	18-Apr	\$0	\$24,380	\$2,438	\$21,942	RBS Fleet Services
1991 GMC Top Kick single bucket boom truck	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	103,387	24-Apr	\$0	\$23,320	\$2,332	\$20,988	RBS Fleet Services
1997 International Model 4900 single axle cab	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #358	188,987	01-May	\$0	\$12,720	\$1,272	\$11,448	RBS Fleet Services
1989 Chev 3500 Dump Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #204	175,792	08-May	\$0	\$7,000	\$735	\$6,265	Ritchie Bros Auction
1994 Jeep Cherokee Sport Utility 4 x 4	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #280	126,570	18-Sep	\$0	\$3,417	\$377	\$3,040	Ritchie Bros Auction
1994 Jeep Cherokee Sport Utility 4 x 4	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #317	115,897	18-Sep	\$0	\$3,417	\$377	\$3,040	Ritchie Bros Auction
1995 Jeep Cherokee Sport Utility 4 x 4	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #316	130,582	18-Sep	\$0	\$3,417	\$377	\$3,040	Ritchie Bros Auction
1997 Freightliner Model FL80 single bucket	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	67,107	12-Nov	\$0	\$39,220	\$3,922	\$35,298	RBS Fleet Services
Pole Trailer	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #402	n/a	31-Oct	\$0	\$424	\$42	\$382	RBS Fleet Services
2007 Total				\$0	\$157,594	\$15,899	\$141,695	
Chev 3500 Cheyenne Utility Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #223	181,379	04-Mar	\$0	\$2,860	\$390	\$2,470	Ritchie Bros Auctions
92 GMC P/U	Written off by Insurance Company-Replaced with new Truck #266	241,932	Apr-23	\$0	\$125	\$0	\$125	Gordon Eaton Enter prized Ltd
International Digger Derrick	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #342	220,673	Sep-17	\$0	\$5,175	\$575	\$4,600	Ritchie Bros Auctions
Western Star 3864S T/A Cab & Chasis	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #271	213,119	Dec-08	\$0	\$13,500	\$1,209	\$12,291	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 1954 S/A Digger Derrick Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #215	120,923	Dec-08	\$0	\$8,000	\$1,209	\$6,791	Ritchie Bros Auctions
2008 Total				\$0	\$29,660	\$3,382	\$26,278	
International 2654 T/A Bucket Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #399	106,110	May-09	\$0	\$18,000	\$842	\$17,158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International F2654 T/A Vacuum Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #230	382,208	May-09	\$0	\$23,000	\$842	\$22,158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
Chev Lumina Automobile	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	92,931	May-09	\$0	\$1,000	\$842	\$158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 4900 S/A Bucket Truck	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	46,105	May-09	\$0	\$7,000	\$842	\$6,158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 1954 S/A Reel Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #351	489,545	May-09	\$0	\$2,500	\$842	\$1,658	Ritchie Bros Auctions
AB Chance 14x4 Ft. Utility Trailer	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	n/a	May-09	\$0	\$200	\$842	(\$642)	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC 2500 SL Extended Cab 4x4 Pickup	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	181,657	May-09	\$0	\$1,000	\$842	\$158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 2654 T/A Bucket Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #224	23,954	May-09	\$0	\$14,000	\$842	\$13,158	Ritchie Bros Auctions
AB Chance 14x4 Ft. Utility Trailer	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	n/a	May-09	\$0	\$200	\$842	(\$642)	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC Safari Cargo Van	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #111	138,540	Dec-09	\$0	\$1,000	\$283	\$717	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC 3500 SL Crew Cab 4x4 Cab & Chassis	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #385	106,829	Dec-09	\$0	\$1,500	\$283	\$1,217	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC Safari Cargo Van	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #352	158,978	Dec-09	\$0	\$1,000	\$283	\$717	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 4900 S/A Tension Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #208	237,680	Dec-09	\$0	\$4,000	\$283	\$3,717	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC Safari Cargo Van	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #356	143,288	Dec-09	\$0	\$1,250	\$283	\$967	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 4900 S/A Bucket Truck w/Asplundh	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #258	154,798	Dec-09	\$0	\$3,000	\$283	\$2,717	Ritchie Bros Auctions
T/A Pole Trailer	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	n/a	Dec-09	\$0	\$900	\$283	\$617	Ritchie Bros Auctions
2009 Total				\$0	\$79,550	\$9,561	\$69,989	
2003 Ford F550 XL Super Duty 4x4 Bucket Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #270	245,578	May-10	\$0	\$19,000	\$2,120	\$16,880	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC P30 Step Side Utility Van Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #243	180,944	May-10	\$0	\$1,750	\$195	\$1,555	Ritchie Bros Auctions
GMC P30 Step Side Utility Van Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #260	153,927	May-10	\$0	\$4,500	\$205	\$4,295	Ritchie Bros Auctions
International 4900 S/A Dogger Derrick Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #348	137,358	May-10	\$0	\$9,500	\$1,357	\$8,143	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1991 Cat VC60D 5,900 lb Forklift	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #S128	4,774	Dec-10	\$0	\$6,000	\$689	\$5,311	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1995 GMC 3500 SL Crew Cab & Chassis	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #378	129,070	Dec-10	\$0	\$2,500	\$339	\$2,161	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1986 International 1954 w/Asplundh Bucket Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #207	176,614	Dec-10	\$0	\$4,500	\$539	\$3,961	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1992 Ford F450 Utility/Dump Truck	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #278	125,495	Dec-10	\$0	\$4,250	\$514	\$3,736	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1997 GMC P30 Step Side Utility Van	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #251	157,872	Dec-10	\$0	\$5,500	\$639	\$4,861	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1997 GMC P30 Step Side Utility Van	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Truck #210	176,270	Dec-10	\$0	\$5,500	\$639	\$4,861	Ritchie Bros Auctions
2001 Moffat Fab T/A Dump Utility Trailer	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	n/a	Dec-10	\$0	\$3,500	\$439	\$3,061	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1998 Lode-King 38 ft T/A Step Deck Trailer	Met Replacement Criteria - Replaced with new Trailer #430	n/a	Dec-10	\$0	\$1,750	\$264	\$1,486	Ritchie Bros Auctions
1986 Trail mobile 48 ft 5/Axel Hiboy	De-commissioned-Not Replaced	n/a	Dec-10	\$0	\$8,000	\$889	\$7,111	Ritchie Bros Auctions
2010 Total				\$0	\$76,250	\$8,828	\$67,422	
Grand Total				\$0	\$343,054	\$37,670	\$305,384	

- 1 **c)** There are no disposals shown for any assets in 2010 and 2011 because Horizon
2 Utilities does not project disposals for end-of-life assets. Any retirements of fully
3 depreciated assets would have no impact on the revenue requirement. Horizon Utilities
4 has not projected any gains on the sale of transportation equipment in 2010 or 2011.
- 5 **d)** The Contributions and Grants are expected to be lower in 2011 than in 2010 because
6 they are driven by customer demand projects and Horizon Utilities is forecasting less
7 customer demand projects in 2011. Further, there are no large user projects scheduled
8 for 2011 compared to 2010 where there were new feeders required for two hospitals.
9 Such projects contribute larger amounts towards Contributions and Grants.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS IN CANADA INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 22

Reference: Exhibit 2/Tab 3/Schedule 1, pages 94-95

a) For the nine vehicles that Horizon plans to buy in 2011 that are not shown in Table 2-48, please confirm that the average cost per vehicle is over \$71.7K.

b) For each of the twelve vehicles that are being replaced, please provide the estimated sale/salvage/trade-in value and indicate how these proceeds have been recognized in the 2011 revenue requirement. Please provide a brief description of the nine vehicles, not shown in table 2-48, that are to be replaced.

c) Please provide a brief description of the nine vehicles, not shown in table 2-48, that are to be replaced.

d) Please confirm that all vehicles referred to are to be used solely for use in providing electricity distribution service in Horizon’s service area. If unable to so confirm, please explain.

e) Please provide details of the fleet in rate base (type, model, vintage, mileage, purpose) for each year 2008-2011 inclusive.

1 **Response:**

2 **a)** The average cost per vehicle of the 9 units not shown in Table 2-48, that Horizon
3 Utilities is planning to purchase in 2011, is approximately \$63K.

4 **b)** The estimated sale values and brief description of all twelve Transportation
5 Equipment being replaced in 2011 are listed in Table 1. Please see Horizon Utilities'
6 response to Vulnerable Energy Coalition Interrogatory 21a) on the recognition of
7 disposal proceeds in the revenue requirement.

1 **Table 1**

Horizon Utilities Corporation - 2011 Replacement & Additional Transportation Equipment Report			
Replacement & Additions	Estimated Costs	Transportation Equipment Description	Estimated Sales Value
Additional Crew Support	\$85,000	F550 4X4 with aluminum box and storage cabinets	Additional
Replacing 364 O/H Pickup	\$65,000	2001 Ford Ranger	\$1,500
Additional Pickup	\$50,000	4X4 Full size extended Cab Pickup	Additional
Replacing 383 O/H Digger	\$320,000	1991 International 4900	\$4,500
Trailer Replacement / Refurbish	\$50,000	Sand blast, paint and rewire	\$500
Additional Crew Support	\$85,000	F550 4X4 with aluminum box and storage cabinets	Additional
Replacing 202 Single Bucket	\$260,000	1996 Freightliner FL80	\$5,000
Replacing 219 Knuckle Crane	\$220,000	1992 International F2654	\$4,500
Replacing 246 O/H Pickup	\$65,000	1998 Ford F250 Pickup	\$1,000
Replacing 262 U/G Pickup	\$65,000	1999 GMC Sonoma Pickup	\$1,000
Replacing 264 Meter Van	\$50,000	1997 GMC Safari	\$1,000
Replacing 316 Meter Van	\$50,000	2000 GMC Safari	\$1,000

2

3

c) The nine vehicles (all less than \$200,000), not shown in Table 2-48, are provided Table 2.

Table 2

Horizon Utilities Corporation - 2011 Replacement & Additional Transportation Equipment Report	
Replacement & Additions	Transportation Equipment Description
Additional Crew Support	F550 4X4 with aluminum box and storage cabinets
Replacing 364 O/H Pickup	2001 Ford Ranger
Additional Pickup	4X4 Full size extended Cab Pickup
Trailer Replacement / Refurbish	Sand blast, paint and rewire older trailers
Additional Crew Support	F550 4X4 with aluminum box and storage cabinets
Replacing 246 O/H Pickup	1998 Ford F250 Pickup
Replacing 262 U/G Pickup	1999 GMC Sonoma Pickup
Replacing 264 Meter Van	1997 GMC Safari
Replacing 316 Meter Van	2000 GMC Safari

d) All Transportation Equipment purchased during 2011 are be used solely for the provision of electricity distribution services in Horizon Utilities service areas.

e) Table 3 below summarizes the details of the fleet inventory in rate base for each year, 2008-2011, inclusive.

1 **Table 3**

Horizon Utilities Vehicle Inventory from 2008 to 2010							
UNIT #	MILEAGE 2008	MILEAGE 2009	MILEAGE 2010	YEAR	MAKE / MODEL	DESCRIPTION	DEPT
110	N/A	1911	7036	2009	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
111	N/A	3418	11805	2009	CHEV EXPRESS	CARGO VAN	METER-HAM
112	N/A	5798	24131	2009	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	CAP PROJ
114	N/A	N/A	6412	2010	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
202	182649	189409	195845	1996	F/LIN FL80	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
203	19643	22761	28229	2006	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZED EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	SUBSTATIONS
204	21098	31167	44170	2006	FORD F550	19,000 lb. GVW MINI DUMP 4WD	U/G-HAM
206	167341	178479	189111	1997	F/LINER FL80	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
207	3824	8037	11291	2007	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 LB. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
208	N/A	5433	15146	2007	F/LINER M2-106	35,000 LB GVW T/A AUTO	U/G-HAM
209	77416	137069	177404	2006	FORD F350	10,400 lb. GVW EXT. CAB TRBL TRK 4WD	O/H-HAM
211	150476	162319	174616	1998	DODGE B250	FULL SIZED VAN C/W RAISED ROOF	O/H-HAM
213	128709	138661	148423	2000	CHEV S10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-HAM
214	35682	50651	58746	2006	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	LOGISTICS-HAM
215	N/A	2757	5457	2007	F/LINER M2-106	62,000 LB GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
216	160671	174918	182368	1995	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	O/H-S/C
217	87606	91175	99183	2000	F/LINER FL80	64,000 lb. GVW T/A STD	O/H-S/C
219	179594	189351	199736	1992	INTL F2654	64,000 lb. GVW T/A STD./DUMP BODY	U/G-HAM
220	78316	87694	98123	1997	F/LINER FL80	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
223	18445	27021	38756	2006	FORD F550	19,000 Lb. GVW FLAT DECK 4WD/LIFTGAT	LOGISTICS-HAM
224	18252	28063	38176	2006	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
226	39369	48367	55901	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	U/G-HAM
227	43384	50461	59152	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	FACILITIES
228	38180	50721	62891	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	FLEET
230	2483	12283	20885	2006	F/LINER M2-112	66,000 lb. GVW T/A STD	U/G-HAM
234	72614	78171	82273	1999	GMC SAVANNA	FULL SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
236	279178	283003	289440	1999	FORD F 350	9900 lb. GVW EXT. CAB/SNOW PLOUGH	O/H-S/C
237	16408	43877	69553	2006	F/LINER M2-106	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
241	109214	119281	128823	1998	PLYM VOYAGER	7 PASSENGER MINI VAN	O/H-HAM
243	N/A	2332	13046	2010	FREIGHTLINER MT-55	STEP VAN UNDG SPLICER TRUCK	U/G-HAM
244	44388	59018	72554	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	CAP PROJ
245	36071	41087	48191	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	METER

2

3

4

246	198845	220588	244099	1998	FORD F 250	7200 lb. GVW EXT. CAB PICK UP 4WD	O/H-HAM
247	21556	29917	37296	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	METER-S/C
248	114186	118567	130197	1997	F/LINER FLD112SD	66,000 lb. GVW T/A STD. TRACTOR	U/G-HAM
250	N/A	N/A	3121	2011	F/LINER MT55	STEP VAN UND G SPLICER TRUCK	U/G-HAM
251	N/A	N/A	3085	2011	F/LINER MT55	STEP VAN UND G SPLICER TRUCK	U/G-HAM
252	31433	46969	63246	2006	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	METER-S/C
253	254049	264150	278598	1986	INTL 1954	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	U/G-HAM
257	64015	65596	66892	1999	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb. GVW T/A STD.	O/H-HAM
258	2152	3690	6025	2007	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 LB GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
259	9973	17777	23782	1997	F/LINER FL80	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
260	N/A	N/A	12490	2010	F/LINER MT-55	STEP VAN UND G SPLICER TRUCK	U/G-HAM
261	129021	140378	149949	2000	CHEV S10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-HAM
262	196344	215386	234699	1999	GMC SONOMA	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	U/G-HAM
264	121294	130060	135969	1997	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	METER-S/C
265	34065	51059	67569	2006	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZED VAN	METER-S/C
266	4541	13759	23055	2008	CHEV SILVERADO	9200 lb. GVW REG CAB PICK UP 4WD	O/H-HAM
267	109541	121380	133646	1999	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 6 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
268	45024	59591	76148	2006	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	CAP PROJ
269	N/A	3883	10935	2007	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 LB GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
270	N/A	N/A	49475	2009	F/LINER M2-106	17,000 LB GVW S/A AUTO TROUBLE TRUCK	O/H-HAM
271	N/A	6758	19076	2007	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 LB GVW T/A AUTO	U/G-HAM
273	50845	64868	81196	2006	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-S/C
275	186319	194933	203157	1996	F/LINER FL80	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
277	88212	92026	96097	2000	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb. GVW T/A STD.	O/H-HAM
279	94916	108948	120319	2001	F/LINER FCCC MT55	STEP VAN UND G SPLICER VAN	U/G-HAM
280	73833	85646	95787	2001	TOYOTA PRIUS	4 DR PASS SEDAN HYBRID ELECTRIC	CAP PROJ
281	75803	88862	102108	2001	F/LINER FCCC MT55	STEP VAN UND G SPLICER VAN	U/G-HAM
282	119949	139652	160843	2002	CHEV S10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-HAM
283	86647	96677	106856	2002	CHEV ASTRO	5 PASSENGER MID SIZED VAN	METER-HAM
284	45900	53744	60362	2002	CHEV ASTRO	5 PASSENGER MID SIZED VAN	METER-HAM
285	126016	147533	169689	2002	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
286	111950	129494	143103	2002	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
287	93631	113349	135673	2002	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
288	62166	68768	73674	2001	F/LINER FL80	64,000 lb. GVW T/A STD	O/H-HAM
289	45995	51579	57166	2002	F/LINER FL80	6 MAN CREW CAB C/W BODY	U/G-HAM
291	62657	74683	88932	2003	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 6 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
292	132500	152892	171283	2002	CHEV S10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	U/G-HAM
293	75857	87708	100321	2000	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 3 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
294	53295	63415	74966	2003	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW EXT. CAB FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
295	61976	74356	85804	2003	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 6 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
296	105182	122208	143238	2002	CHEV ASTRO	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
297	55551	67676	79948	2003	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW EXT. CAB FLAT DECK 4WD	U/G-HAM
298	78690	91586	105288	2000	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 3 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
307	31877	40148	46923	2005	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	SUBSTATIONS
308	35733	42779	51975	2004	F/LINER FL80	6 MAN CREW CAB C/W BODY	U/G-HAM
309	244273	250993	267751	2002	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW TROUBLE TRUCK 4WD	O/H-HAM
310	153471	162284	176922	1995	GMC 2500	8600 lb GVW REG CAB PICKUP	U/G-HAM
314	28333	35310	42820	2005	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN / CABLE TEST SET	U/G-HAM
316	230642	257044	272801	2000	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
317	60346	68018	75149	2001	F/LINER FL80	62,000 lb GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
318	93486	97729	99502	1995	F/LINER FL80	56,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
319	152807	159309	165886	1999	FORD F 150	6600 lb GVW EXT. CAB PICKUP	O/H-S/C

321	146163	155642	161530	1998	GMC SAFARI	5 PASSENGER MID SIZE VAN	U/G-HAM
322	168939	190412	199339	1996	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
323	138339	152781	171662	1999	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
324	159709	177863	196824	2000	CHEV. ASTRO	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
325	38453	55725	73460	2006	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZED EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-S/C
326	72458	80245	87777	2002	KIA SPORTAGE	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE 4WD	CAP PROJ
327	63865	72136	80726	2002	KIA SPORTAGE	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE 4WD	CAP PROJ
330	39158	46410	56241	2003	FORD F 550	UNDG DIGGING CREW REG CAB 4WD	U/G-HAM
331	11987	17957	25801	2006	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN C&DM EVENTS UNIT	C.D.M
332	57965	70722	83283	2004	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	SUBSTATIONS
333	42027	49351	59574	2003	F/LINER FL80	66,000 lb. GVW T/A STD	U/G-HAM
334	69033	75628	88932	2003	DODGE CARAVAN	7 PASSENGER MINI VAN	FLEET
335	64938	87080	112483	2006	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZED EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	O/H-S/C
337	19900	25562	31164	2006	F/LINER M2-106	35,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
338	103532	122941	143380	2003	CHEV S-10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	U/G-HAM
340	39305	47872	53924	2004	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	SUBSTATIONS
342	5151	12608	18439	2005	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
345	52525	61821	72346	2003	FORD F 550	17,500 lb. GVW 6 MAN FLAT DECK 4WD	O/H-HAM
346	31604	38581	45134	2004	F/LINER FL80	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
347	43265	54039	68608	2004	CHEV ASTRO	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
348	2737	6894	11550	2006	F/LINER M2-106	56,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
349	42561	57590	72190	2005	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	FACILITIES
350	48821	62094	74005	2004	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	FACILITIES
351	11133	20287	30244	2005	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	U/G-HAM
352	66175	77553	90579	2004	CHEV ASTRO	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
353	79691	99516	134028	2003	CHEV S-10	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	U/G-HAM
354	2261	11499	21410	2006	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM
355	66434	78740	91482	2004	CHEV EXPRESS	FULL SIZE VAN	SUBSTATIONS
356	58500	69950	77223	2004	CHEV ASTRO	MID SIZE VAN	METER-HAM
357	86864	111803	139479	2005	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZED EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	CONTRACTORS
358	39958	48451	59950	2003	FORD F 550	UNDG DIGGING CREW REG CAB 4WD	U/G-HAM
359	68311	83088	105065	2005	CHEV COLORADO	MID SIZE EXT. CAB PICKUP 4WD	CONTRACTORS
360	53962	62985	69634	2005	FORD ESCAPE HYBRID	MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE HYBRID 4WD	CAP PROJ
361	32408	38379	44303	2006	F/LINER M2-106	37,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H- S/C
364	160473	177712	192976	2001	FORD RANGER	MID SIZE PICK-UP	O/H- S/C
365	57500	62543	71015	2004	F/LINER M2-106	25,500 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H- S/C
366	75518	82390	88591	2001	FORD E 150 VAN	FULL SIZE VAN	METER-S/C
368	59540	69928	86162	2004	GMC SAFARI	MID SIZE VAN	O/H-S/C
369	41566	46310	51263	2004	DODGE 2500	FULL SIZE PICK-UP	FLEET
371	35582	43832	51116	2004	FORD E 350 CUBE VAN	CUBE VAN	U/G-S/C
372	31424	34173	39473	2004	FORD E 350 CUBE VAN	CUBE VAN	U/G-S/C
374	N/A	N/A	4633	2009	F/LINER HYBRID	40,000 LB GVW TANDEM AXLE	O/H-S/C
378	N/A	N/A	2405	2011	FORD F550	17,500 lb. GVW EXT. CAB FLAT DECK 4W	O/H-S/C
380	69169	75397	81807	2001	GMC SIERRA	FULL SIZE PICK-UP	METER-S/C
383	148378	151231	154544	1991	INTL 4900	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
386	46556	51670	56744	2001	FORD F 250	FULL SIZE PICK-UP	LOGISTICS-S/C
387	108263	115998	122971	1998	GMC 3500 SIERRA	4X4 DUMP BODY C/W SNOW PLOW	O/H-S/C
389	140354	144232	144788	1986	INTL 1954	28,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
392	94602	102568	108669	2002	FORD F 150	FULL SIZE PICK-UP	O/H-S/C
393	101034	105223	107887	1991	INTL 4900	35,000 lb. GVW S/A AUTO	O/H-S/C
397	N/A	N/A	1997	2011	FORD F550	19,000 lb. GVW MINI DUMP 4X2 WD	O/H-S/C
399	N/A	7230	16828	2007	F/LINER M2-106	64,000 lb. GVW T/A AUTO	O/H-HAM

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 23

Reference: Exhibit 2/Tab 3/Schedule 1, page 96

a) How old are the two-way radio systems that Horizon proposed to replace? Please provide their original cost, age of equipment, and accumulated depreciation.

b) Please provide details of the enterprise fleet communications equipment to be purchased and indicate how the cost estimate was determined, e.g., third-party RFP, sole-source, etc.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities’ two-way radio systems are estimated to be over 25 years old. Purchasing documentation of the two-way radio systems used by Horizon Utilities is not available as the systems were procured prior to the mergers between St. Catharines Hydro and Hamilton Hydro. The current two-way radio systems are fully depreciated.

b) Sourcing for the replacement of Horizon Utilities current two-way radio system was conducted by Horizon Utilities’ Procurement group by issuing a Request for Information (“RFI”) to qualified 3rd party providers and within the terms of Horizon Utilities’ Procurement Policies and Procedures. The selected enterprise fleet communication solution and supplier for implementation and support of the solution was determined by a selection team of Horizon Utilities’ resources from the Procurement, Fleet, Construction, Operations and IT groups. The Motorola Connect Plus enterprise fleet communication solution was selected to better support Horizon Utilities’ current and future requirements.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 24

Reference: Exhibit 2

a) Please provide the capital budgets as approved by the utility’s Board of Directors for each year 2008-2011 inclusive, indicating the date on which each was approved.

b) Did Horizon apply for any federal or provincial infrastructure loans to finance capital projects?

Response:

a) The following table shows the capital budgets for the rate-regulated operations, approved by Horizon Utilities’ Board of Directors:

**2008-2011 BUDGET CAPITAL EXPENDITURES
(\$000s)**

	Budget			
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
<i>Approval Date</i>	<i>13-Dec-07</i>	<i>26-Feb-09</i>	<i>10-Dec-09</i>	<i>28-Jul-10</i>
Total Capital Expenditures Approved (Electricity Distribution)	40,131	42,446	38,294	45,749
Less: Smart Meters	(10,573)	(8,082)	(701)	(1,578)
Less: Non-regulated				(179)
Total Capital Expenditures (excluding Smart Meters)	29,558	34,364	37,593	43,992

b) Horizon Utilities has not applied for any federal or provincial infrastructure loans to finance capital projects. Please refer to Horizon Utilities’ response to Energy Probe Interrogatory 35.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 25

Reference: Exhibit 2/Tab 3/Schedule 3

a) Does Horizon foresee any utility issues with respect to its capitalization policy and the implementation of IFRS?

Response:

In accordance with the Report of the Board “Transition to International Financial Accounting Standards” dated July 28, 2009, and as subsequently amended on November 8, 2010 (EB-2008-0408), Horizon Utilities will adhere to the Board Policy with respect to regulatory accounting and reporting using modified IFRS.

As documented in Appendix I to EB-2008-0408, “IFRS Consultation – List of Issues” the implementation of IFRS will result in changes to the accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment for all utilities, including Horizon Utilities.

In particular, as outlined in Section 3.3 of EB-2008-0408, “The Board will require utilities to adhere to IFRS capitalization accounting requirements for rate making and regulatory reporting purposes after the date of adoption of IFRS. The utility will file a copy of its capitalization policy, identifying any updates to the policy, as part of its first cost of service rate filing after IFRS adoption”.

Horizon Utilities has deferred the implementation date of IFRS to January 1, 2012. Horizon Utilities expects that it will file a revised capitalization policy as part of its next cost of service rate filing.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 26

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 3/Schedule 3, page 4

a) Please expand Table 3-28 to include the fees collected in the years 2007, 2008, and 2009.

Response:

Please see the following table:

Description	2007	2008	2009	2010 Bridge	2011 Test
Hamilton Utilities Corporation	19,467	17,292	19,467	19,200	19,776
Hamilton Hydro Services Inc. - Hamilton Community Energy	89,636	86,304	63,968	52,800	54,384
Hamilton Hydro Services Inc. - Water Heater Rental	14,396	16,944	25,000	25,000	25,750
Hamilton Hydro Services Inc. - Fib rewired	248,647	-	-	-	-
Horizon Utilities - Non-Regulated Billing Services	488,806	556,224	583,000	583,000	600,490
St. Catharine's Hydro Inc.	90,862	80,496	71,976	81,365	84,115
Total	951,814	757,260	763,411	761,365	784,515

Note: The 2010 Bridge and 2011 Test Year amounts for St. Catharines Hydro Inc. have been amended. Please refer to Horizon Utilities' response to Board staff Interrogatory 18.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 27

Reference: Exhibit 3/Tab 3/Schedule 3, Appendix 3-3 and Schedules A and B

a) Do the cost recoveries from provision of the shared services include a return on the assets used? If yes, how is it determined?

Response:

No, the cost recoveries from the provision of the shared services do not include a return on the assets used. For the services provided, as outlined in Exhibit 3, Tab 3, Schedule 3, Appendix 3-3, Schedules A and B, any assets used would principally be related to information technology costs such as computer hardware and software. All shared services costs include an allocation of information technology costs, including all related operating licenses and fees.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 28

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 3

Preamble: The pre-filed evidence states that “thirteen of the twenty-six planned new trade hires are directly related to planned capital investments.

a) Given that Horizon has elsewhere described itself as a low growth utility, did Horizon consider using contracted labour as opposed to new hires for the positions related to planned capital investments? If not, why not?

Response:

In order to meet Horizon Utilities’ Asset Management needs, the current business model relies on a highly skilled core of technical and trades staff to perform the majority of its work. The services of contractors are used for work that is not electrical utility specific (civil construction and tree trimming). Additionally, contractors are used to assist in managing varying seasonal work requirements and to construct large non-complex projects, such as distribution system expansions and pole replacement projects. Resource utilization and work schedules can be better optimized through the use of a mix of Horizon Utilities’ staff and third party service providers.

The Workforce Labour Strategy and Plan document (Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule 6, Page 1, Appendix 4-2) details the assumptions for contracted capital work. Such plan identifies the assumption that 50% of the increased capital work will be built using contracted labour.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 29

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 1, page 2, Table 4-1

a) As compared to 2008, please explain why the 2011 amounts for Accounts 5605 and 5610 have increased by about 50%.

b) As compared to 2008, please explain why the 2011 amounts for Account 5620 have approximately tripled.

Response:

a) Account 5605 (Executive Salaries and Expenses)

As provided in Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule 10, Page 14, Table 4-26, the increase in Account 5605 is principally attributable to the addition of two new executive positions since 2008 – the Vice President, Regulatory and the Vice President, Finance. Merit increases and inflationary benefit increases for existing Executives from 2009 through to 2011 are also reflected.

Account 5610 (Management Salaries and Expenses)

As documented in Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule 10, Page 13, there has been a significant change in the workforce year-over-year, resulting in a 16.6% planned increase in the number of employees at Horizon Utilities. A significant portion of the increase from 2008 to 2011 is directly attributable to the fact that in 2008 Horizon Utilities adopted a temporary hiring freeze in an attempt to minimize potential layoffs and terminations from a potential merger with Guelph Hydro Electricity Systems Inc. This resulted in a 10% vacancy

1 rate, principally in the non-union staff. These positions would principally have
2 been reflected in Account 5610. Please refer to Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule
3 10, Page 14, Table 4-26 for a complete listing of management positions added
4 from 2008 to 2011.

5 Merit increases and inflationary benefit increases for existing
6 management employees from 2009 through to 2011 are also reflected.
7 Please see Horizon Utilities' response to Energy Probe 24 for
8 information concerning year-over-year percentage increases.

9 **b) Account 5620 (Office Supplies and Expenses)**

10 As documented in Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule 9, Page 29, the following
11 is the explanation for the increase in office supplies:

12 *"Office supplies and expenses (Account 5620) will increase by*
13 *\$1.7MM from 2008 Actuals to 2011 Test Year. This account*
14 *includes all of the information technology costs, including wages*
15 *and benefits for information technology staff, net of amounts*
16 *allocated to capital expenditures. Since 2008, Horizon Utilities has*
17 *invested in internal systems and processes in order to build the*
18 *foundation to support: replacement of technologies that are beyond*
19 *their useful life; enabling processes arising under regulatory*
20 *requirements; and managing business risks associated with data*
21 *management, cyber security, and the protection of customer*
22 *information. These activities are also central to improving*
23 *operational efficiency and effectiveness. Costs associated with*
24 *supporting and maintaining technology investments are a significant*
25 *driver in Horizon Utilities' OM&A expenses. Details of specific*
26 *information technology projects to be completed in 2011 are also*
27 *provided in Table 4-9, IT Enablers. "*

- 1 Please refer to Exhibit 4, Tab 2, Schedule 10, Page 14, Table 4-26 for a
- 2 complete listing of Information Technology positions added from 2008 to 2011.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 30

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 6, pages 2-3

a) Does or has Horizon applied for any tax credits under federal apprenticeship programs?

Response:

a) Please see Horizon Utilities’ response to Energy Probe Interrogatory 29a).

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 31

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 7

a) Please provide the decrease in expected costs if an oral hearing is not required in this case.

Response:

If an oral hearing is not required in this case, Horizon Utilities’ expected decrease in Board costs is estimated at \$170,000. This is based on a three day oral hearing, with associated Legal costs of \$48,000, Consulting costs of \$12,000, Intervenors costs of \$100,000 and Board costs of \$10,000. Please also refer to Horizon Utilities’ response to Board staff Interrogatory 40 a) and b).

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 32

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 8, Table 4-11

a) Please complete the entries in the row “FTEEs/Customer.”

Response:

The table below sets out FTEE’s/Customer as well as Customers/FTEE.

	Actual 2007	Actual 2008	Actual 2009	Bridge Year 2010	Test Year 2011
FTEE’s/Customer	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Customers/FTEE’s*	635	634	609	586	549

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 33

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 9, page 5

Preamble:

a) Please explain why a three-year tree trimming program is required.

Response:

Preventative grid tree trimming in the proximity of electrical distribution overhead lines is required every 3 years for the following reasons:

- Improved system reliability performance.
- Protection of the public.
- Legal Requirements (Ontario Regulation 22/04)
- Historical experience regarding vegetation growth patterns in the Horizon Utilities’ service territory.
- Reactive tree trimming is more expensive than planned tree trimming, and the need for reactive trimming has been reduced since Horizon Utilities maintains a 3 year tree trimming cycle.

Much of Horizon Utilities’ service area in Hamilton and St. Catharines is either older residential or rural, with overhead distribution and secondary services, and as such, the forestry is mature with trees growing into and over the hydro lines. Based on past experience, extended periods of time between tree trimming periods (5 years and longer), will present Horizon Utilities and the public with excessive risk from both a

1 reliability and safety perspective. Trees growing into the power lines create public safety
2 hazards such as fallen hydro wires, risks to children climbing trees, and the risk of fire
3 and shocks from tree limbs coming into contact with live wires. During severe
4 thunderstorms, high winds, heavy snow or ice, Horizon Utilities must react more often to
5 power outages resulting from downed tree limbs if tree trimming is stretched beyond a 3
6 year cycle.

7 Horizon Utilities has experienced a decrease in the cost of the annual grid trimming
8 program as well as a decrease in reactive tree trimming since the cycle has been
9 reduced to 3 years.

10 The types and size of trees within the Horizon Utilities service territory are diverse, but
11 generally consists of a combination of larger slow growing species (hard maples, ash,
12 elm, oaks etc.) and larger fast growing species (willows and soft maples). This is based
13 on the mature urban, large forested areas (Niagara escarpment) and rural environments
14 that all exist in our service territory. Horizon Utilities' existing tree trimming frequency
15 has been optimized for this type of vegetation. A 3-year trim cycle is also a common
16 utility practice among other LDC's.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 34

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 10, page 1

Preamble: The evidence states that “[i]n the next five years, 13% of Horizon Utilities employees are eligible for retirement and an additional 18% will be eligible for such within ten years.”

a) Please briefly discuss the eligibility requirements for retirement for these employees.

Response:

The eligibility requirements utilized are those defined by Ontario Municipal Employers’ Retirement System (“OMERS”) which allow members (employees) to retire with an unreduced pension. OMERS’ defines the normal retirement age as the last day of the month in which the employee turns 65. However, employees can become eligible for an unreduced pension if they are at least 55 years of age and have 30 or more years of service or if their age plus service is equal to 90. Retirement eligibility is therefore determined by meeting any one of these three criteria.

**HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION (“HORIZON UTILITIES”)
RESPONSES TO
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION INTERROGATORIES**

DELIVERED: January 24th, 2011

Question 35

Reference: Exhibit 4/Tab 2/Schedule 10, page 11, Table 4-25

a) Please explain why “Average Yearly Base Wages” for Executives increased by almost 19% in 2010 over 2009, from \$180,450 to \$214,206.

Response:

a) Please see Horizon Utilities’ response to Energy Probe Interrogatory 47.