

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** February 5, 2011 12:53 PM  
**To:** BoardSec  
**Subject:** EB-2011-0011

Ms. Walli: Attached herewith in OpenText format and **below** in text format is my letter of objection to CEEA's request for "cost eligibility" under the captioned Board file. I will mail (by regular post) three copies of this letter.

Respectively submitted,

Parker Gallant

NB: Please redact my personal information when posting this to your website.

**Ontario Energy Board**  
**P.O. Box 2319**  
**2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor**  
**Toronto ON M4P 1E4**  
**Attention: Board Secretary**  
**Tel: 1-877-632-2727 ( toll free)**  
**Fax: 416-440-7656**  
**E-mail: [Boardsec@oeb.gov.on.ca](mailto:Boardsec@oeb.gov.on.ca)**

February 6, 2011

Dear Ms. Walli,

**RE: EB-2011-0011: Cost Eligibility/Canadian Energy Efficiency Alliance**

I write to the Board as a ratepayer in respect to the request by the following for "cost eligibility" as noted in the OEB posting of January 28, 2011:

The reasons for my objections are as follows:

1. As noted in the Board's decision in respect to EB-2010-0377 in it's rejection of "cost eligibility" for CEEA; "The Board notes that CEEA's membership "consists mainly of investor owned companies, utilities owned by local or provincial governments, and Associations focused on energy efficiency". Among CEEA's members are Enbridge, Union Gas, Ontario Power Generation, Hydro One and other entities regulated by the Board, which either as a group or individually are usually ineligible for a cost award under section 3.05 of the Practice Direction.",
2. As also noted in the Board's decision; "The other members of CEEA are commercial entities which, as noted above, are also not usually eligible for an award of costs.",

3. "Most of the members identified by CEEA already pursue energy efficiency programs through regulated rates."
4. This application by THESL is designed to specifically meet the imposed requirements of the Minister of Energy's directives (under the GEAA) on conservation and further delineated by the OEB to appropriate the set targets to various LDCs throughout the Province and CEEA's intervention would be better directed towards influencing the Ministry if they disagree with the targets set.
5. All of the other reasons cited in my letter to the Board in respect to EB-2010-0377, EB-2010-0378 and EB-2010-0379.

The OEB should reject CEEA's request for cost eligibility based on the reasons herein.

Respectively yours,

A. Parker Gallant

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature and any accompanying text or contact information.

NB: Please redact my personal information (address, phone, etc.) when you post this to your website.

---

Reduce Your Carbon Footprint, Please Think Before You Print.