

## PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7

Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. http://www.piac.ca

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC (416) 767-1666

February 11, 2011

**VIA MAIL and E-MAIL** 

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Notice of Intervention: EB-2010-0136

Kingston Hydro Corporation – 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application

Please find enclosed the interrogatories of the VECC in the above-noted proceeding. We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC Encl.

cc: Kingston Hydro Corporation

Attention: Mr. Randy Murphy

## Kingston Hydro Corporation ("Kingston Hydro" or "KH") 2011 Rates Rebasing Application Board File Number EB-2010-0136

## Interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC") on the Updated Evidence Filed February 4, 2011

Updated Evidence IR #46

Reference: February 4, 2011 Updated Evidence, Cover Letter, page 1 and Table 1

Exhibit 2, Tab 4, Schedule 7

Proposed Settlement Agreement, page 6

**Preamble:** The letter states that "Appendix A includes an additional capital project description for an added capital project for 2011 due to the availability of funds that were not required to be spent in 2010. The result is an increase in the 2011 Capital budget of \$858,000."

- a) Please confirm that "the availability of funds that were not required to be spent in 2010" refers to funds that were budgeted for 2010 capital project expenditures but not spent. If unable to so confirm, please provide full details of any other reasons for there being an additional \$855,000 in funds available for 2011.
- b) Can the \$855,000 available for 2011 be reconciled with the difference of \$1,230,975 between the pre-filed 2010 capital expenditure forecast of \$4,446,000 at Exhibit 2, Tab 4, Schedule 7, and the 2010 capital expenditures of \$3,215,025 as agreed to by the parties in the Proposed Settlement Agreement?
- c) The difference between the 2011 Forecast Capital Project Expenditures as revised on February 4, 2011 and the pre-filed 2011 Forecast Capital Project Expenditures is \$920,500. Does this relate to the aforementioned \$855,000?

## Updated Evidence IR #47

Reference: February 4, 2011 Updated Evidence, Table 1

a) Please indicate which projects in this table are partially or fully deferrable and which projects must be completed in 2011 and provide reasons in each case.