

P.O. Box 397, Stratford, Ontario N5A 6T5

187 Erie Street, Stratford Telephone: 519-271-4700 Toll-Free: 1-866-444-9370 Fax: 519-271-7204 www.festivalhydro.com

February 14, 2011

BY RESS & COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 26th Floor, P.O. Box 2319 TORONTO, ON M4P 1E4

Re: EB-2010-0083 Festival Hydro Inc. Reply Submission 2011 3rd Generation IRM Rate Application

Dear Ms. Walli:

Please find accompanying this letter two copies of Festival Hydro's Reply Submission to Board Staff and VECC submissions due February 16, 2011.

A copy of this package has been electronically filed through the Ontario Energy Board's RESS system and emailed to the Board Secretary.

Should you require any further information or clarification of any of the above, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, *Original Signed by*

W.G. Zehr, President

cc Intervenors of Record

1		IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O.
2		1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);
3		
4		AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Festival Hydro Inc. to
5		the Ontario Energy Board for an Order approving just and
6		reasonable rates and other charges for electricity distribution to be
7		effective May 1, 2011.
8		
9		FESTIVAL HYDRO INC.
10		
11		2011 3 rd GENERATION IRM RATE APPLICATION
12		
13		REPLY SUBMISSION
14		
15		FILED FEBRUARY 14, 2011
16	_	
17		
	Α.	INTRODUCTION
18	А.	
18 19	А.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution
18 19 20	А.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood,
18 19 20 21	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution
18 19 20	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood,
18 19 20 21 22	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth.
18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective May 1, 2011 (Application). Festival Hydro's submission is filed in reply to submissions
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective May 1, 2011 (Application). Festival Hydro's submission is filed in reply to submissions filed by Ontario Energy Board Staff ("Board Staff") January 26, 2011 and Vulnerable
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective May 1, 2011 (Application). Festival Hydro's submission is filed in reply to submissions filed by Ontario Energy Board Staff ("Board Staff") January 26, 2011 and Vulnerable
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Α.	 Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective May 1, 2011 (Application). Festival Hydro's submission is filed in reply to submissions filed by Ontario Energy Board Staff ("Board Staff") January 26, 2011 and Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) January 26, 2011.
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	Α.	Festival Hydro Inc. ("Festival Hydro") owns and operates the electricity distribution system located in the City of Stratford and the Towns of St. Marys, Hensall, Dashwood, Zurich, Brussels and Seaforth. This is the reply submission of Festival Hydro in regard to its 2011 3 rd Generation IRM for an order approving just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity effective May 1, 2011 (Application). Festival Hydro's submission is filed in reply to submissions filed by Ontario Energy Board Staff ("Board Staff") January 26, 2011 and Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) January 26, 2011.

1	В.	REPLY SUBMISSION
2		
3		RETAIL TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATES ("RTSR")
4		
5		Board Staff requested Festival Hydro to explain the data entries on Sheet B1.2 -2009
6		Distributors Billing Determinants. In the Response to Board Staff interrogatory #1,
7		Festival reconciled the amounts to the 2009 Reporting and Record Keeping
8		Requirements. In the Board Staff submission, it was indicated that Board Staff is
9		satisfied that the data in the application is correct. VECC did not address the RTSR
10		matter.
11		
12		Festival agrees with the Board Staff Submission and requests the Board accept the
13		RTSR as determined, with the understanding that the Board will update the applicable
14		data at the time of this Decision based on then available updated Uniform Transmission
15		rates.
16		
17		
18		TAX SHARING MODEL
19		
20		Festival Hydro agrees with the Board Staff Submission regarding the updated tax
21		sharing model. VECC did not address the changes to the tax savings model. Festival
22		Hydro requests that the Board accept the adjusted Tax Sharing Model.
23		
24		
25		REVENUE TO COSTS RATIOS
26		
27		VECC submitted that:
28		The adjustments to the Transformer Ownership Worksheet are in accordance
29		with the Board's EB-2009-0263 Decision and;
30		The (updated) Revenue-Costs-Ratio Adjustment Work Form has been completed
31		appropriately.
32		

1 2

3

4

Board Staff expressed no concerns with the proposed adjustments.

Festival Hydro agrees with the position put forth by both Board Staff and VECC. Festival Hydro requests that the Board accept the adjusted revenue to costs ratios.

- 5 6
- 7

8

DISPOSITION OF DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNT BALANCES

9 Board staff noted that Festival Hydro's total claim per kWh is \$0.00062. When rounded, 10 it equals but does not exceed the preset disposition threshold of \$0.001 per kWh 11 contained in the EDDVAR Report. Board Staff also noted that there was a request in 12 Festival Hydro's 2010 rate application for a determination of the cost of system 13 enhancements required to implement a separate rate rider that would prospectively 14 apply to non-RPP customers. Board Staff noted that this system enhancement has not 15 occurred yet. Board Staff submitted that there was an appreciable debit balance in 16 Festival Hydro's Global Adjustment sub-account and that the Board's general policy is to 17 dispose of the Global Adjustment sub-account balance by means of a separate rate rider 18 that would apply prospectively to non-RPP customers only. For these reasons, Board 19 Staff submits that the disposition of Festival Hydro's Group 1 account balances is not 20 warranted at this time.

21 22

23

24

VECC made no submission on the disposition of deferral and variance account balances.

Festival Hydro agrees with Board Staff that the total claim per kWh of \$0.00062 does not
exceed the preset disposition threshold of \$0.001 per kWh contained in the EDDVAT
report and agrees that the disposition of Festival's Group 1 account balances is not
warranted at this time. Festival Hydro requests that the Board remove the proposed
2011 Deferral and Variance Account Rate Rider.

- 30
- With regards to a separate rate rider that would prospectively apply to non-RPP
 customers for the Global Adjustment sub-account balance, the Board stated in the 2010

1	Decision and Order (dated April 1, 2010) its expectation that Festival Hydro must
2	determine the cost of system enhancements and file this information in a future rate
3	proceeding. Since Festival Hydro agrees with Board staff that the disposition of
4	Festival's Group 1 account balances is not warranted at this time, Festival has deferred
5	determining the cost of system enhancements until a future rate proceeding.
6	
7	
8	SMART METER FUNDING ADDER
9	
10	VECC submits that using a single smart meter funding adder for all customers results in
11	a major ongoing cross-subsidy of the Commercial GS<50 Class by the Residential class.
12	
13	VECC submits
14	• The original aggregate SMFA of \$1.52 per customer per month over-collects
15	from residential customers.
16	• The class-specific residential SMFA shown in VECC IRR Table 2d will recover
17	the revenue requirement in 2011 and is significantly fairer to residential
18	ratepayers than an aggregate SMFA (\$1.12 vs. \$1.52) as proposed by Festival.
19	 The class-specific SMFA of \$1.12 per residential customer/month should be
20	approved.
21	
22	As previously stated in Festival Hydro's Response to VECC Interrogatories dated
23	January 7, 2011, Festival notes the Board Findings in EB-2010-0209, PowerStream, that
24	a class specific calculation of the residual amounts for disposition of smart meter costs
25	for each rate class is unwarranted as there is insufficient benefit given the additional
26	complexity. Festival agrees with the Board finding and would oppose the use of a class
27	specific rate rider.
28	
29	Board Staff submitted that Festival Hydro has complied with the policies and filing
30	requirements for the Smart Meter Guideline, and stated that Board Staff takes no issue
31	with Festival Hydro's proposal to increase its smart meter funding adder to \$1.52 per
32	metered customer per month. Festival Hydro submits that it is in agreement with Board

1 Staff and requests a smart meter rate adder of \$1.52/metered customer/month be 2 accepted by the Board as filed. 3 4 5 LOST REVENUE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (LRAM) AND SHARED SAVINGS 6 **MECHANISM (SSM)** 7 8 VECC submits that Festival Hydro has misinterpreted and misapplied the Board's 9 direction to use Best Available Input Assumptions at the time of the third party 10 independent review. 11 12 VECC submits that for LRAM claims the use of best available assumptions should apply 13 retroactively to prior years. The Board Guidelines adopted the "go forward" approach for 14 SSM, but not for LRAM. 15 16 Festival Hydro recognizes and seeks to be in compliance with OEB's direction letter. 17 Conservation and Demand Management ("CDM") Input Assumptions Board File No.: 18 EB-2008-0352, January 27, 2009. As such, the distributor sought interpretation on the 19 appropriate application of assumptions and measures for both LRAM and SSM 20 calculations. 21 22 In its October 8th decision and order regarding Horizon Utilities' recovery of amounts 23 related to Conservation and Demand Management, the OEB indicated that: 24 25 "...the filing guidelines cannot reasonably be expected to address every possible 26 scenario that may be faced by Ontario's 80 regulated distributors. What is clear is the 27 underlying principle of LRAM, which is that distributors are to be kept whole for revenue 28 that they have forgone as a direct consequence of implementing CDM programs. 29 Accordingly, in the absence of clear direction from the filing guidelines to the contrary, 30 utilities should always use the most current input assumptions which have been adopted 31 by the Board when preparing their applications because these assumptions represent 32 the best estimate of the impact of the programs."

In response to this direction, Horizon Utilities recalculated LRAM using the most recent
assumptions and measures as directed for 2005 and 2006 results which persisted in
2007 and 2008 only. No revisions were made retroactively to results which occurred in
2005 and 2006. These revised calculations were performed to the VECC group's
satisfaction (Comments on Draft Rate Order, dated October 21, 2009) and were
subsequently approved as part of the OEB's final rate order for Horizon, October 23,
2009.

9

1

10It is Festival Hydro's view this precedent illustrates acceptance of the limits to the11application of newer assumptions and measures to the beginning of the year, those12assumptions and measures were accepted by the Ontario Energy Board. Festival Hydro13considers this a reasonable position given the absence of any published updates until142009. This is also consistent with the example within Guidelines and Policy Letter of15January 27, 2009:

16

17 The input assumptions used for the calculation of LRAM should be the best available at 18 the time of the third party assessment referred to in section 7.5.

19 For example, if any input assumptions **change in 2007**, those changes should apply for

20 LRAM purposes from the beginning of 2007 onwards until changed again.....

21

Festival Hydro further submits that application of the most recent Ontario Power Authority assumptions and measures to be applied in LRAM calculations were not endorsed by the Ontario Energy Board until 2009. Applying the aforementioned interpretation, Festival Hydro Inc. considers it appropriate to apply the more recent assumptions and measures for 2009 only.

27

Festival Hydro takes issue with the statement that Festival Hydro has acted contrary to the advice of Burman Energy, a consultant recognized by VECC as an expert in the field. Festival Hydro's interpretation is consistent with the practice elsewhere and is not contrary to the advice it has received.

32

1 Festival Hydro submits the revised application for LRAM recovery is consistent with the 2 Board's Guidelines (EB-2008-0037 dated March 28, 2008) and the Board's Decision on 3 Horizon's application (EB-2009-0192). Applying these new assumptions and measures 4 retroactively for all years would have represented an inconsistency with the Horizon 5 decision. In addition, Festival Hydro Inc. submits that since OEB endorsement of OPA 6 assumptions and measures did not occur until 2009, 2005 assumptions and measures 7 represents the best available and most reliable for the years 2005, 2006, 2007, and 8 2008. Not officially adopting new assumptions and measures until 2009 supports this 9 position.

- 11In the Board Staff submission it states that Board Staff submits that Festival Hydro's12application for LRAM recovery is consistent with the Board's Guidelines and supports13the approval of the LRAM amount of \$357,449. However, the amount of \$357,449 was14as originally filed by Festival Hydro on October 28, 2010. That amount was increased to15\$385,853 as part of the response to VECCs interrogatories dated January 7, 2011.
- 16 17 **F**aa

10

Festival Hydro respectfully submits that the revised calculations in response to VECC
interrogatories apply the correct assumptions and measures and respectfully requests
the Board approve the revised LRAM application component of the 2011 IRM3 rate
application in the amount of \$385,853.

22

SSM

Festival Hydro also requests that the Board makes no adjustment to the SSM
calculations of \$73,158 and accept the SSM claim recovery as filed. VECC's
submission states that VECC accepts that Festival has used the OEB input assumptions
for the years for the SSM claim as filed. Board Staff are silent on the SSM claim in their
Submission.

28

21

- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32

1	RECOVERY OF REASONABLY INCURRED COSTS
2	
3	VECC submits that its participation in this proceeding has been focused and responsible
4	and they therefore requested an award of costs in the amount of 100% of its reasonably
5	incurred fees and disbursements.
6	
7	Festival Hydro notes that in the Board's Notice of Intervention to VECC dated December
8	14, 2010, it stated that "The Board has determined that VECC is eligible to apply for an
9	award of costs under its Practice Direction on Cost Awards in relation to Festival Hydro's
10	proposed lost revenue adjustment mechanism (LRAM) recovery and revenue-to-cost
11	ratio adjustments."
12	
13	Festival Hydro therefore submits that cost awards for VECC should be considered only
14	for their participation as it relates to LRAM recovery and revenue-to-cost ratio
15	adjustments.
16	
17	In addition, Festival Hydro notes VECC has identified the same concerns relating to the
18	LRAM and SSM component in several 2011 LDC rate applications. Festival Hydro
19	understands intervenors recover their costs for their prudent review of those applications
20	and preparation of interrogatories. However, many LDCs received the same detailed
21	LRAM/SSM interrogatories from VECC. Therefore, any cost awards approved by the
22	Board to reimburse VECC should be based on one interrogatory and submission only
23	and not duplicated for subsequent inclusion in other LDC application interventions.
24	
25	
26	All of which is respectfully submitted this 14 th of February 2011.