FEB 1 6 2011

## **COVER LETTER:**

ONTARIO - BROY UN

By courier

Board Secretary 2/16/1/ Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 27<sup>th</sup> floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto ON M4P 1E4

20110215

EB-2011-0024 Letter of Comment

Please find attached my written submission (7 copies, as required) of my letter of comment pertaining to EB2011-0024, to be filed with your proceedings.

Trusting this is satisfactory.

Sincerely,

Loredana Canini

## LETTER OF COMMENT:

20110215 – BY COURIER (7 copies) EB-2011-0024 loredanacanini\_ltrComment\_20110215 LETTER OF COMMENT from Loredana Canini,



I urge you to consider this hearing that I am in favour of with respect to the re-routing of the planned pipeline proposed by Enbridge on Lloydtown Aurora Road. I came about to know of this project by accident during 2010 municipal election time. As resident I am appealing to you to consider a hearing relating the re-routing of the planned pipeline proposed by Enbridge on Lloydtown Aurora Road. I was not aware of this project.

## The following is the list of concerns relating this project:

- 1. **Right to safety and peace of mind** –Lloydtown-Aurora Road is a heavily traveled by many heavy vehicles and commuters looking to connect with HWY400, HWY27 and HWY 9: School Buses, Heavy Farm Equipments, Heavy Road Maintenance, Heavy Snow Ploughing, and Hydro Repairs vehicles, Weather Conditions, Water table (freezing, thawing and water table processes will cause the pipe to shift) etc. will affect the pipeline and will lead to disaster. It is not about "if an explosion will happen" this is about" when the explosion will happen". This is the wrong location for the pipeline. An explosion is guaranteed to happen over time. You have all the documentation.
- 2. **Property value** the value of our homes will definitively not be able to gain the same equity over time alike in the event of being free and clear from this project. Insurance premium will arise as well.
- 3. **Risks to residents** Once exploding, the pipeline will wipe clean the entire area which is currently densely populated with homes (homes on each side of the road and on the adjacent branching roads), a public school, a group home and two gas stations. In addition, although no contingency plans are in place, there are no plans that can ever mitigate or prevent the explosion. Not only Enbridge is placing a dollar value on our lives, but they are setting the time frame as well.

- 4. Route selection Enbridge screened 10 alternate routes and narrowed it down to Route4 and Route5 (Lloydtown Aurora Road). As per Enbridge website: "Based on the criteria laid out in section 5.2.2 Route4 has the least socio-economic impact on the surrounding area. Route4 will traverse the least number of driveways. In addition, Route4 parallels the least amount of potentially contaminated area and has the least amount of groundwater wells within 200, of the proposed pipeline route". Despite these facts, however, Lloydtown-Aurora Road (Route5) was chosen as the preferred route, because, as per the same section on the Enbridge website, "Route 5 has the least amount of specimen trees along its route and crosses the least amount of known municipal/agricultural drains". Costs and environment concerns have been chosen over socio-economic factors.
- 5. **Public interest** this project is not in the public interest. There is no actual need for building new energy Plants, the need is in the distribution! Please consider that Ontario is a large exporter of utilities, Enbridge is aware of this.

I AM AGAINST THIS PROJECT. PLEASE HAVE THE HEARING. ALLOW FOR SOME DEMOCRACY.

I DECLARE THAT: NO ONE REPRESENTING ENBRIDGE, NOR THEIR AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES HAD EVER INFORMED ME OR NOTIFIED ME OR CONTACTED ME OR MY FAMILY REGARDING THIS PROJECT WHICH I WOULD HAVE OPPOSED AT A MUCH EARLIER TIME.



P.S. I find the process pertaining documents submission to OEB to be extremely complicated and difficult to follow, confusing, for an ordinary person like myself. My family and I not only had to become part time lawyer but instant expert in this matter as the limited time given to be able to participate to this issue, in order to exercise our right. I wonder if the system was designed to be difficult to discourage regular citizen from participating/intervening in matters such as this one. Thank you for listening.