

March 1, 2011

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: 2011 IRM Rate Application

EB-2010-0079

**LPP Rate Rider Calculation** 

In EB-2010-0295, the Board made an Order on February 22, 2011 directing all Affected Electricity Distributors (including EnWin Utilities) to file with the Board detailed calculations including supporting documentation outlining the derivation of the rate riders based on the methodology outlined in the Decision.

EnWin's submission of February 28, 2011 consolidated the GS>50kW class with the Intermediate class. Board Staff advised EnWin that these classes can and should be separated for the purposes of calculating the rate riders.

Enclosed please find EnWin's revised calculations.

The submissions are being filed through the Board's web portal (PDF) and also sent by email and 2 paper copies. VECC will be copied on the email.

Yours very truly,

**ENWIN** Utilities Ltd.

Per: Andrew J. Sasso

Director, Regulatory Affairs

## **Late Payment Penalty Recovery from Ratepayers**

Amount to be recovered per OEB Decision and Order in EB-2010-0295: \$434,442.75

Rate Class	2009 RRR Distribution Revenue			R	Rate Class		Monthly	2009 RRR	Rate Rider	
			Allocation	Recovery		Recovery		Billing Units*	per Unit	
Residential	\$	21,658,066.99	47.3%	\$ 2	205,476.81	\$	17,123.07	76,528	\$	0.22
GS < 50	\$	5,636,594.16	12.3%	\$	53,476.12	\$	4,456.34	6,981	\$	0.64
GS > 50	\$	12,345,161.00	27.0%	\$	117,122.38	\$	9,760.20	1,175	\$	8.31
Intermediate	\$	163,484.00	0.4%	\$	1,551.02	\$	129.25	3	\$	43.08
Large Users	\$	4,879,089.75	10.7%	\$	46,289.44	\$	3,857.45	10	\$	385.75
Sentinal	\$	70,747.13	0.2%	\$	671.20	\$	55.93	725	\$	0.08
Street Lighting	\$	888,291.68	1.9%	\$	8,427.50	\$	702.29	23,428	\$	0.03
USL	\$	150,546.14	0.3%	\$	1,428.28	\$	119.02	784	\$	0.15
Grand Total	\$	45.791.980.85	100.0%	\$ 4	434,442,75					

<sup>\*</sup> The 2009 RRR Filing 2.1.5 for USL included the number of USL customers (29) as opposed to the number of USL connections (784).

In the interest of ensuring a proper "per connection" charge for this proceeding, EnWin is proposing using number of USL connections (784).