



Fogler, Rubinoff LLP Barristers & Solicitors
95 Wellington Street West
Suite 1200, Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, ON M5J 2Z9
Tel: 416.864.9700 Fax: 416.941.8852
www.foglers.com

March 18, 2011

Reply To: Thomas Brett
Direct Dial: 416.864.9700
E-mail: tbrett@foglers.com

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND REGULAR MAIL

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Board File No.: EB-2010-0279

Please find attached CEEA's Interrogatories for the intervenors with respect to their recently filed evidence.

Yours truly,

FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thomas Brett'.

Thomas Brett

TB/jm

Encl.

cc: Intervenors Applicant

Board File: EB-2010-0279

IN THE MATTER OF sections 25.20 and 25.21 of the *Electricity Act, 1998*; **AND IN THE MATTER OF** a Submission by the Ontario Power Authority to the Ontario Energy Board for the review of its proposed expenditure and revenue requirements and the fees which it proposes to charge for the year 2011.

INTERROGATORIES With Respect to the Evidence of the Green Energy Coalition

Reference: Page 1: "Note that though OPA's strategic objectives and the government policies that underlie them address the need to acquire both peak demand (i.e. capacity) savings and energy savings through conservation and demand management (CDM), the principal focus of this evidence is on energy savings."

1. Given that the OPA Demand Response programs are transient in nature, does Mr. Neme consider the demand response programs developed and delivered by the OPA to equate to capacity savings as would be understood by DSM practitioners?
2. Given that the Environmental Commissioner Report noted a mismatch of performance between energy savings versus demand response, has Mr. Neme had the opportunity to examine the results of OPA programs from inception to 2010 to determine if the principal focus of the OPA's actual results has been on energy savings, capacity savings or demand response?

Reference: Page 5: "Ontario adopted new building codes in 2007 and another round is expected next year."

3. Are the 2012 changes that Mr. Neme cites those that were adopted in 2007 for implementation in 2012 or is he expecting additional code changes beyond those adopted in 2007.

Reference: page 5: Verification of Savings Claims

4. Has Mr. Neme had any opportunity to review any or all of the OPA's third party evaluations; i.e., any reports which are not just summary documents produced by the OPA?

End of Document

Board File: EB-2010-0279

IN THE MATTER OF sections 25.20 and 25.21 of the *Electricity Act, 1998*; **AND IN THE MATTER OF** a Submission by the Ontario Power Authority to the Ontario Energy Board for the review of its proposed expenditure and revenue requirements and the fees which it proposes to charge for the year 2011.

INTERROGATORIES With Respect to the Evidence HQ Energy Marketing Inc

1. In the views of Mr. Todd and Mr. Roger, should the basic principles of cost causality be applied within Ontario as well as in charges to exporters?
2. In the views of Mr. Todd and Mr. Roger, should the Global Adjustment associated with new supply be allocated according to the time that the generation is used to serve load.
3. In the views of Mr. Todd and Mr. Roger, should the Global Adjustment associated with conservation and demand response be allocated according to the load shape of the conserved or shifted energy.

End of Document