

March 25, 2011

Ms. Kirsten Walli **Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board** P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: **Horizon Utilities Corporation 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application** Submission of AMPCO Interrogatories on Horizon's Updated Evidence Board File No. EB-2010-0131

Pursuant to Procedural Order No.7, attached please find AMPCO's interrogatories.

Please contact me if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely yours,

(ORIGINAL SIGNED BY)

Adam White

President Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario

Copies to: Horizon Utilities Corporation Intervenors (email)

Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario www.ampco.org

 372 Bay Street, Suite 1702
 P. 416-260-0280

 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2W9
 F. 416-260-0442

Filed: 2011-03-25 EB-2010-0131 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 1 of 2

EB-2010-0131 Horizon Utilities Corporation 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on Updated Evidence dated March 14, 2011

1	1. Re	ference: BLG Letter dated March 14, 2011 Re: Update on Horizon's Evidence, Page 3
2 3	00 000	re 2 of DLC's latter. Herizon indicates that Constal Maters of Canada, another Herizon
4	On page 3 of BLG's letter, Horizon indicates that General Motors of Canada, another Horizon Utilities Large Use customer, is in the process of shutting down its Plant 1 in St. Catharines.	
5	"Horizon anticipates that the shutdown will be permanent".	
6	110112	
7	Please	provide any additional information that Horizon is aware of to support this statement.
8		
9	2. Re	ference: Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 20
10		
11	Horizon proposes putting the net distribution revenue from GM and USSC that is above the	
12	baseline in a variance account, Account 1572. Horizon further proposes that any net	
13	distribution revenue in excess of those baselines be shared with its large Use customers on a	
14 15	50/50	basis.
15 16	a)	Please provide the precedent for this proposal.
17	aj	Please provide the precedent for this proposal.
18	b)	Please provide Horizon's position under the scenario that net distribution revenue from
19	- 1	GM and USSC is less than the baseline.
20		
21	c)	Please provide Horizon's position under the scenario that net distribution revenue from
22		the Large User class is i) in excess of the baseline and ii) less than the baseline.
23		
24	3. Re	ference: Exhibit 5, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 3, Return on Equity
25		n Utilities is requesting a Deturn on Equity (DOE) for the 2011 Test Veen of 0.05%. The
26 27	Horizon Utilities is requesting a Return on Equity (ROE) for the 2011 Test Year of 9.85%. The Board's updated cost of capital parameters for 2011 Cost of Service Applications were issued	
28	March 3, 2011.	
29	warch	5, 2011.
30	a)	Please explain Horizon's rationale for not using the latest ROE figure in this update.
31		
32	b)	What is the change in revenue requirement if Horizon's ROE is 9.58% for the Test Year.
33		
34	4. Re	ference: Exhibit 6, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Page 3, Table 6-2 Drivers of Revenue Deficiency
35		
36	In the original filing, the change in load forecast in Table 6-2 shows and impact on revenue	
37	requirement of \$3,628,000. In the updated Table 6-2, the change in load forecast impact on	
38 39	revenu	e requirement is the same. Please explain.
40		
T1/		

Filed: 2011-03-25 EB-2010-0131 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 2 of 2

EB-2010-0131 Horizon Utilities Corporation 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on Updated Evidence dated March 14, 2011

1 5. Reference: Exhibit 3, Tab 2, schedule 2, Appendix 3-2, Page 11

Page 11 shows the kW/kWh calculation for the years 2003 to 2011.

- 2
- 3 4 5
- - Please provide the calculation for the Large User class.
- 6