



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7

Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. <http://www.piac.ca>

Michael Buonaguro
Counsel for VECC
(416) 767-1666

February 14, 2008

VIA COURIER AND EMAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli,

Re: Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)
EB-2007-0791
Ontario Power Authority – Fiscal 2008 Proposed Expenditure and
Revenue Requirements and Fees Submission for Review

Please find enclosed the interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) in the above-noted proceeding.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Michael Buonaguro
Counsel for VECC
Encl.

EB-2007-0791

OPA 2008 Fees Review

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (“VECC”) Interrogatories

14 February 2008

1. Issue 1.1, Reference B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 6 and D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 5.
 - (a) With respect to Strategic Objective 1 and Table 1, please provide the number of FTEs forecast for 2007.
 - (b) Re Strategic Objective 1, please reconcile the variance between the 2007 Budget and 2008 Budget for Compensation and Benefits shown in Table 1 at BT1S1 (page 6) with the variance between the FTEs budgeted for 2007 and 2008 for this objective shown in Table 4 at DT2S1 (page 5).
 - (c) Please indicate whether the “increase in the number of resources in PSP” is incremental to OPA or reflects a reassignment of existing resources.
 - (d) Please indicate whether OPA has a long run end state target regarding resources allocated to PSP.
2. Issue 2.1, Reference B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 4 and page 28

Regarding Strategic Objective 2, please explain how the Conservation FTEs shown as line items in Table 2 map into the budget line items shown in Table 14.
3. Issue 2.1, Reference B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 29

Please provide some elaboration regarding the status of the initiative relating to the “[d]evelopment of more sophisticated tools to ensure efficiency and accurate program tracking and management.”
4. Issue 2.2, Reference A, Tab 8, Schedule 2, page 10, and B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pages 2, 6, and 18

Please indicate OPA’s view as to whether the current 2008 MW target for its Single family Low Income Housing Program represents an optimal response to the October 6, 2005 Directive.
5. Issue 2.3, Reference B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, pages 14-15

- (a) Please quantify or elaborate on the statement that “[e]valuations will be carried out for the majority of the portfolio, considering program progress and results schedule.”
 - (b) Please elaborate on the extent to which and the frequency with which information and raw data will be communicated by EM&V to the market and key stakeholders.
- 6. Issue 3.1, Reference B, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 7 and D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 5

Re Strategic Objective 3, please reconcile the variance between the 2007 Budget and 2008 Budget for Compensation and Benefits shown in Table 1 at BT3S1 (page 7) with the variance between the FTEs budgeted for 2007 and 2008 for this objective shown in Table 4 at DT2S1 (page 5).

- 7. Issue 4.1, Reference B, Tab 4, Schedule 1, pages 2 and 3
 - (a) Please confirm that “Conservation and reliability products” referred to, that LSEs are expected to procure, are financial products.
 - (b) Please indicate whether any cost benefit analysis or business case regarding the LSE initiative have been prepared. If so, please provide a copy.

- 8. Issue 5.1, Reference B, Tab 5, Schedule 1, page 4

Regarding the “Measures of Success” for FBS shown on page 4, how will the OPA satisfy itself and stakeholders that each of the five measures adds sufficient value to justify their delivered costs.

- 9. Issue 5.1, Reference D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 5

Regarding the “Total OPA Headcount” budgeted for 2008 shown in Table 4, does the OPA anticipate that the 183.8 FTEs represent approximately the long run requirements of the OPA?