

The Board
Ontario Energy Board
PO Box 2319, 27th Floor, 2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

The Applicant
South Kent Wind LP
100 Simcoe Street, Suite 105
Toronto, ON
M5H 3T4

Attention: Board Secretary

Board File # EB-2011-0217

William & Mary Ann Machacek
21536 Bloomfield Road, RR#1
Charing Cross, ON
N0P 1G0
Phone: 519-436-0634
E-mail: marbil.mac@gmail.com
Lot 17,1& 20 Raleigh Twp. Kent County

William Alan & Anne English
21466 Bloomfield Road, RR#1
Charing Cross, ON
N0P1G0
Phone: 519-352-6615
E-mail: a.english4@hotmail.com
Lot 17, 18 Con 10 Raleigh Twp

Summary Statement:
05/09/2011

RE: Project Cost - Question A response:

The easement agreement referred to was the "transmission easement" found on page 43 of the PDF version of the proposal being discussed. The clause referred to was on page 3 of this agreement, clause 6.

As mentioned, we are currently forming a group of over 35 adjacent land owners to the CASO Subdivision who feel that the CN property has become neglected and this is having a negative impact on the agricultural productivity of surrounding farmland. We would like this addressed prior to the sale being permitted. As mentioned previously, we are currently in contact with CN directly, as well as the Ministry of the Environment and the Ministry of Agriculture. We are simply trying to make the board aware that we perceive there to be an issue with the current state of this property, which represents a potential financial liability to future landowners which we understand will soon be CKT. If the board decides that this is not worth looking into further we would simply like it to be in public record that we have brought the issue to your attention prior to approval of this proposal. We are open to discuss with anyone who is willing to listen.

RE: Promotion of renewable energy sources

We would like to put it into public record that there is a group of over 35 adjacent land owners to the CASO Subdivision who would like to see this land returned to useful agricultural land, consistent with the Ontario governments mandates for maximizing renewable energy. This land could then be used for production of biodiesel and ethanol producing crops. This strategy was successful with the Chesapeake and Ohio railway abandonment five miles south of us and would represent a win/win solution allowing

the corridor to be built and adjacent landowners to utilize the land that was taken from agriculture over 100 years ago.

RE: the price of electricity service

On page 40 of the PDF of the proposal, line 6 it states “There will be little or no impact on private landowners”. According to Municipal Property Assessment Corp. (MPAC) a hydro corridor will negatively affect our property value. Therefore, this power corridor will, in effect, escalate the price of electricity for adjacent landowners (through decreased property value). We therefore ask that the Board would stipulate that an adequate compensation be awarded to all affected landowners.

RE: The Quality of Electrical Service

On page 40 of the PDF version of the proposal, line 7 “The corridor is **FAR** from houses”
We would like clarification on the definition of “far” (in meters). We know of several houses in our own neighbourhood that are less than 50 meters from the Westerly portion of the CASO Right-Of-Way. On pg. 54 of your exhibit “B” states that your easement will be set back 200 meters from a livestock barn. Please explain what setback distances (in meters) were considered for houses.

Could the Applicant provide a complete list of landowners that abut the CASO corridor (Westerly portion) that will be used for the power corridor. If the Board feels that 35 signatures on our petition is not a majority of owners, then we will canvass more landowners.

We thank you for your attention and time,

William Machacek
(519) 436 0634