

**Ontario Energy  
Board**  
P.O. Box 2319  
27th. Floor  
2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto ON M4P 1E4  
Telephone: 416- 481-1967  
Facsimile: 416- 440-7656  
Toll free: 1-888-632-6273

**Commission de l'énergie  
de l'Ontario**  
C.P. 2319  
27e étage  
2300, rue Yonge  
Toronto ON M4P 1E4  
Téléphone: 416- 481-1967  
Télécopieur: 416- 440-7656  
Numéro sans frais: 1-888-632-6273



September 7, 2011

Ms. Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700  
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Board Staff Interrogatories to Intervenor  
Application for Service Area Amendment EB-2011-0085  
Erie Thames Powerlines Corporation**

Please find enclosed Board Staff Interrogatories to Intervenor for the above mentioned proceeding.

Yours truly,

*Original signed by*

Irina Kuznetsova  
Case Manager

Attachments

# **Board Staff Interrogatories**

(on Economic Evaluation Filed by Hydro One Networks Inc. (Hydro One))

## **Application for Service Area Amendment**

**Erie Thames Powerlines Corporation  
(Erie Thames)**

**EB-2011-0085**

**September 7, 2011**

1. In its economic evaluation Hydro One used an average consumption of 613.65 kWh for the projected revenue calculation while Erie Thames estimated consumption of 750 kWh which is in accordance with consumption statistics published in 2010 Yearbook of Electricity Distributors.
  - a) Please explain what consumption statistics have been used to estimate an average consumption of 613.65 kWh.
  - b) Please file a revised economic evaluation based on average consumption of 750 kWh in order to make projected revenue and O&M expenses comparable with Erie Thames'.
2. There appears to be an inconsistency between Hydro One's and Erie Thames' assumptions for customer connections used in the economic evaluations. In Erie Thames' economic evaluation it is assumed that customer connections are staggered over five years, while in Hydro One's its is assumed that all customers are connected in one year.

Please confirm the correct timing for customer connections and, if required, provide a revised economic evaluation that corresponds to that timing so that comparisons can be made on the same assumptions.

3. As per section 1 of Procedural Order #2 the Board requested that each distributor files a detailed economic evaluation that includes a detailed description of all capital costs. Hydro One only provided a high level overview of their capital costs.

Please provide a detailed description of all capital costs included in economic evaluation.