Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited Telephone: 416-542-2517 14 Carlton Street Toronto, Ontario M5B 1K5

Facsimile: 416-542-3024 gwinn@torontohydro.com



September 30, 2011

via RESS e-filing – signed original to follow by courier

Ms. Kirsten Walli **Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board** PO Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited's ("THESL") Interrogatory Responses OEB File No. EB-2011-0120

Please find attached THESL's responses to selected interrogatories in the above-noted proceeding. The accompanying Index lists the schedule numbers of the responses that have been filed to date. We continue to work diligently to complete the responses and will provide those as soon as possible, and in any event, by Monday, October 3, 2011.

Yours truly,

[original signed by]

Amanda Klein Senior Regulatory Counsel

:AA/acc

J. Mark Rodger, Counsel for THESL, by electronic mail only cc: Applicant and Intervenors of Record for EB-2011-0120, by electronic mail only

1	INDEX	OF INTERROGATORY RESI	PONSES FILED
2			
3	Tab 1	Ontario Energy Board Staff (Tota	l of 32)
4		Schedules - filed Sep 20	8, 9, 10, 13, 30, 31
5		- filed Sep 22	5, 6, 7, 14
6		- filed Sep 30	1, 2, 11, 12, 16, 19 to 21, 24 to 28,
7			32
8			
9	Tab 2	Electricity Distributors Association	n (Total of 5)
10		Schedules - filed Sep 20	2, 3, 5
11		- filed Sep 22	1, 4
12			
13	Tab 3	Vulnerable Energy Consumers Co	alition (Total of 5)
14		Schedules - filed Sep 20	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
15			
16	Tab 4	Energy Probe Research Foundation	n (Total of 11)
17		Schedules - filed Sep 20	2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9
18		- filed Sep 22	5
19			
20	Tab 5.1	CANDAS to Mary Byrne (Total of	of 39)
21		Schedules - filed Sep 20	23
22		- filed Sep 22	2, 3, 16, 27, 39
23		- filed Sep 30	1, 4 to 10, 12, 14
24			
25			

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Index Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 2 of 2

1	Tab 5.2	CANDAS to Michael Starkey (Te	otal of 46)
2		Schedules - filed Sep 20	7, 15, 25, 32, 35, 42
3		- filed Sep 22	1, 2, 8, 11, 13, 14, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27,
4			28, 30, 31, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,
5			43, 45, 46
6			
7	Tab 5.3	CANDAS to THESL (Total of 32	?)
8		Schedules - filed Sep 22	1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 26, 27, 29, 31
9			
10	Tab 5.4	CANDAS to Adonis Yatchew (Tot	tal of 24)
11		Schedules- filed Sep 20	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20,
12			22, 23
13		- filed Sep 22	5, 8, 10, 17, 18, 21, 24
14			
15	Tab 6	Consumers Council of Canada (To	otal of 16)
16		Schedules - filed Sep 22	1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 13, 14
17			

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 1 Filed: 2011Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 1:

2 **Reference(s):** Vol 1/ Notice of Motion

- 3
- 4 THESL Motion, page 9: "Wireless Attachments can be and are placed in a variety of
- 5 siting locations, including on the roofs or sides of commercial, residential and industrial
- 6 buildings; on street furniture; on water towers, on traffic lights; on stand-alone
- 7 communications towers; and on other elevated structures."
- 8
- 9 Has THESL independently examined or discussed with CANDAS the siting alternatives
- available for any specifically requested THESL or THESI pole or poles? If so, has this
- examination or discussion supported the existence or non-existence of suitable siting
- 12 alternatives?
- 13

14 **RESPONSE:**

- 15 No. THESL has not had discussions with CANDAS on siting alternatives.
- 16

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 2 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 **INTERROGATORY 2:**

2	Reference (s):	City of Toronto Telecommunication Tower and Antenna
3		Protocol, internet link provided in Vol1/Notice of Motion, page
4		31, line3
5		City of Toronto Telecommunication Tower and Antenna
6		Protocol, Section 11
7		

- 8 To your knowledge, are the types of wireless attachments proposed by CANDAS subject
- 9 to Industry Canada's requirement for public consultation? Would the siting of the
- 10 attachments on an electric utility pole affect the application of this requirement?
- 11

12 **RESPONSE:**

13 The requested information is not within THESL's knowledge.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 11 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 11:

2	Reference(s):	Vol1/Exh 2: Affidavit of Adonis Yatchew
3		Section C.2., page 15: "Utility poles are not an essential facility
4		for CANDAS. Perhaps the best evidence to support this
5		conclusion is that Public Mobile was able to roll out its service
6		in Toronto with minimal reliance on THESL poles for its
7		wireless attachments."
8		
9	How many total DAS	antennas were installed by Public Mobile when it rolled out its
10	service in Toronto?	
11		
12	RESPONSE:	
13	I have not been provi	ded with the specific number of DAS antennas installed by Public
14	Mobile in Toronto, or	n THESL poles or on other support structures. I have been advised
15	by THESL that it doe	s not track installation dates of telecommunications attachments.
16	However, assuming t	hat the date when Public Mobile "rolled out its service in Toronto"

- is the date that the network was turned on (May 26, 2010), THESL has advised that at
- that time, it had granted 206 wireless permits to DAScom, which THESL understands
- 19 had an agreement with Public Mobile to procure such permits on Public Mobile's behalf.
- 20
- 21

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 12 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 12:

2	Reference (s):	Vol1/Exh 2: Affidavit of Adonis Yatchew
3		Section C.2., page 15: "Utility poles are not an essential facility
4		for CANDAS. Perhaps the best evidence to support this
5		conclusion is that Public Mobile was able to roll out its service
6		in Toronto with minimal reliance on THESL poles for its
7		wireless attachments."
8		
9	How many DAS an	ntennas were installed by Public Mobile on THESL poles?
10		

11 **RESPONSE:**

12 As of September 2011, 105 telecommunications wireless attachments (as applied for by

13 DAScom, presumably on Public Mobile's behalf) were installed on THESL Poles.

14

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 16 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 16:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 29 – "In addition to this, THESL has historically
4		charged prospective telecom attachers a \$95 application charge
5		to recover its costs of processing those applications."
6		
7	Please provide any r	ecent cost analysis supporting the application charge of \$95.
8		
9	RESPONSE:	
10	Average cost (total s	staff/contractor costs divided by the number of telecommunications
11	permit applications	processed):
12	2009: \$101	
13	2010: \$676	
14		
15	THESL instituted th	e \$95 application charge as a cost recovery mechanism, similar to
16	how THESL charges	s telecommunications attachers for make ready work in respect of
17	their attachments. A	s noted at Ms. Byrne's affidavit at paragraphs19-22, THESL
18	experienced a spike	in 2009 regarding the number of telecommunications NDA requests.
19	By 2010, it was clea	r that THESL's current staff were no longer able to manage the
20	demand created by N	NDAs. In an effort to not divert any further resources away from its
21	distribution function	, THESL brought on an intern and seven contract staff to assist with
22	managing the increa	sed – and increasing – workload in this regard. THESL's costs of
23	processing permit ap	pplications increased and THESL has accordingly been exploring
24	other means of mana	aging the increased demand that NDAs put on THESL's resources.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 19 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 2

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 19:

2	Refe	rence(s): Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3			Section 38 – "From THESL's experience, there is no standard
4			wireless communications attachment – the mini systems are
5			not uniform in nature. Rather, wireless attachments are
6			variable in size and configuration. Further, when mounted on
7			distribution poles, wireless attachments typically occupy a
8			much greater portion of pole space than wireline attachments."
9			
10	Pleas	e indio	cate quantitatively (provide a range, if needed) how much more pole space
11	does	a typic	cal wireless attachments require on a pole in relation to a wireline attachment?
12			
13	RESI	PONS	E:
14	In the approximately 60 cm (two feet) of communications space, and pursuant to		
15	THESL's standards, three typical wireline attachments can be accommodated.		tandards, three typical wireline attachments can be accommodated.
16	THES	SL's S	tandard 23-3100 (in Tab 5.1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1) provides as follows:
	4.0	Attach	nments
		4.1	The number of attachments on a pole will be limited to three where feasible. The highest attachment within the communication space shall be reserved for Toronto Hydro Telecommunication attachments.
17		4.2	The diameter of the attachment (either single cable or multi-lashed cables) shall not exceed two and a half inches (2 ¹ / ₂ ") diameter.
18			
19	The v	vireles	ss equipment that has been permitted or proposed to be permitted on THESL
20	Poles	range	s from approximately 1.8 to 2.4 metres (6 to 12 feet) of discontinuous space.
21	In oth	ner wo	rds, a single wireless attachment (including the "mini systems" over and

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

- above the wireline component of wireless) takes up between 3 and 6 times the amount of
- 2 space as 3 wireline attachments would.
- 3

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 20 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 20:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 39 – "THESL's experience is also that wireless
4		communications typically do not fall within the
5		communications space appropriate for NDAs on THESL poles.
6		Wireless attachments use up space on THESL poles well
7		beyond the communications space provided for by the CCTA
8		decision."
9		

10 Please provide information to demonstrate what percentage of all wireless attachments

11 that have been made to date, fall beyond the communications space provided as defined

- 12 in the CCTA decision.
- 13

14 **RESPONSE:**

15 100% of the DAScom permits issued in 2009 and 2010 (total permits of 372) were for

wireless attachments that occupy more than the 60 cm of the communications space.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 21 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 21:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 39 – "THESL's experience is also that wireless
4		communications typically do not fall within the
5		communications space appropriate for NDAs on THESL poles.
6		Wireless attachments use up space on THESL poles well
7		beyond the communications space provided for by the CCTA
8		decision."
9		
10	Please confirm wheth	er any existing wireline attachments fall beyond the
11	communications space	e as defined in the CCTA decision.
12		
13	RESPONSE:	
14	Yes. THESL Standar	ds accommodate Power supply boxes for wireline
15	telecommunications of	customers of up to 71cm in height (per Standard 23-3550 in Tab 5.1,
16	Schedule 1, Attachme	ent 1). THESL estimates that no more than 2% of the total wireline
17	attachments on THES	L Poles include power supply boxes that fit this description.
18		
19		

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 24 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1	INTERROGATO	RY 24:
2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 43: "Another concern THESL has is regarding the
4		variability of wireless attachment configuration (including that
5		the equipment often does not fit within the communications
6		space) and the quantity of equipment that must be attached to
7		any given THESL Pole. This means that wireless attachments
8		tend to require more frequent and onerous make-ready work
9		as compared with wireline attachments. Depending on the
10		composition of the distribution equipment (and possibly other
11		NDAs) on any given THESL pole, accommodating a wireless
12		attachment may require creating additional space on a pole by
13		moving around existing equipment, or in some cases, replacing
14		the pole altogether."
15		
16	If the answer to Que	estion 22 is no, please indicate why the costs related to "make-ready"

17 work are not fully recovered from applicants by THESL?

18

19 **RESPONSE:**

20 Please see the response in Tab 1, Schedule 23 and Tab 6, Schedule 15.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 25 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 25:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 44: "Once a wireless attachment is in place on a
4		THESL Pole, the size and quantity of equipment may make it
5		very difficult if not impossible for THESL workers to climb
6		THESL Poles safely."

7

8 During a normal day's operations, of the poles on which work is performed, what

9 percentage are climbed by workers and what percentage are worked from the bucket10 trucks?

11

12 **RESPONSE:**

Although THESL does not have the precise percentage, during a normal day's operation the percentage of work done by climbing a pole is low. The percentage will vary as the method of work is dictated by the task required and the location of the project. There are some circumstances in which using bucket trucks may not be optimal or even possible in certain areas of the City and types of existing installations, such as backyard or box style construction. In these circumstances, THESL may use "pole climbing" rather than bucket trucks to perform the necessary work.

20

21 Pole climbing is a necessary activity, and the infrequency of it does not mean that it is not

required. On the contrary, even if it is not a skill used every day, pole climbing may be

necessary in an emergency, and therefore it is even more important that it not be made

24 more difficult for THESL staff.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 26 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 26:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 44: "Once a wireless attachment is in place on a
4		THESL Pole, the size and quantity of equipment may make it
5		very difficult if not impossible for THESL workers to climb
6		THESL Poles safely."
7		

B Do the wireless attachments also impact worker safety when they are working from a
bucket truck? Please elaborate.

10

11 **RESPONSE:**

12 Depending on the position of the wireless attachments on the THESL Pole, safety may be

affected. It is THESL's view that certain wireless attachments such as pole top antennas

14 can present a safety hazard regardless of the manner in which the THESL Pole is

approached. Please refer to the affidavit of Ms. Byrne at paragraph 46.

16

17

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 27 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 27:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 45 "Such "pole clutter" may also increase wear and
4		tear on THESL Poles, which accelerates THESL Pole
5		deterioration. THESL Poles were not designed or installed
6		with bearing the additional load of wireless attachments in
7		mind. Pole attachments, if designed or installed incorrectly,
8		can overload or damage a pole. Further, to the extent that
9		wireless attachers may require holes to be drilled through
10		THESL Poles to mount wireless communications attachments
11		below the distribution zone, this could incrementally weaken
12		those THESL Poles."
13		
14	Has THESL underta	aken any studies to determine the reduction in anticipated service life

of a pole due to installation of wireless attachments? If so, please provide the reports

- 16 resulting from those studies.
- 17

18 **RESPONSE:**

19 No.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 28 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 28:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 45 "Such "pole clutter" may also increase wear and
4		tear on THESL Poles, which accelerates THESL Pole
5		deterioration. THESL Poles were not designed or installed
б		with bearing the additional load of wireless attachments in
7		mind. Pole attachments, if designed or installed incorrectly,
8		can overload or damage a pole. Further, to the extent that
9		wireless attachers may require holes to be drilled through
10		THESL Poles to mount wireless communications attachments
11		below the distribution zone, this could incrementally weaken
12		those THESL Poles."
13		
14	In your opinion, by w	what duration would the life expectancy of a typical pole be reduced
15	with installation of w	ireless attachments and additional pole drilling requirements?
16		
17	RESPONSE:	
18	Without extensive an	alysis, which cannot be undertaken within the timelines of this
19	proceeding, THESL	cannot provide a meaningful response to this interrogatory.
20		

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 1 Schedule 32 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 32:

2	Reference (s):	Affidavit of Mary Byrne
3		Section 55: "As discussed above, wireless attachments take up
4		a significant amount of space on THESL Poles, and a larger
5		amount of space in comparison to other NDAs. As a result,
6		where a wireless attachment mini-system is attached to a
7		THESL Pole, THESL's ability to use that pole for its own
8		distribution needs and/or non-distribution projects is
9		importantly curtailed."

10

11 If, hypothetically, wireless antennas are allowed to be installed on THESL distribution

12 poles, what percentage of such poles would need to be replaced by THESL during the

next 15 years to make room for THESL's own needs?

14

15 **RESPONSE:**

If pole-top antennas were permitted on THESL poles, then THESL expects that a certain number of poles would need to be replaced with poles of higher capacity to permit THESL to add circuits as necessary. The exact number would depend, to some extent, on how rapidly wireless telecommunications systems are adopted in Toronto. THESL is unable to provide a meaningful estimate of the number of poles that would be affected without having greater certainty in this regard, as well as undertaking extensive analysis of existing THESL pole infrastructure opposite forecasts of local area load growth.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 1 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 2

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 1:

2	Reference(s):	The Affidavit of Mary Byrne Sworn September 2, 2011
3		("Byrne) Byrne, para. 1
4		
5	(a) Provide copies of	f all written policies, standards and procedures pertaining to the
6	attachment, on THE	SL distribution poles, of:
7	(i) antenna	
8	(ii) equipmen	nt enclosures or boxes
9	(iii) fibre	
10	(iv) banners	
11	(v) streetligh	ts
12	(vi) traffic lig	ghts
13	(vii) signage	
14	(viii) banner	3
15	(ix) other	
16	(b) Provide copies o	f all THESL attachment policies, as revised to reflect the adoption of
17	THESL's "no wirele	ess" policy.
18	(c) Describe, in deta	il, the technical and other information that THESL requires to be
19	provided in support	of an application for an attachment permit in the case of:
20	(i) A permit	for the attachment of the non-fibre components of a wireless
21	telecommuni	cation pole installation (i.e., antenna and equipment enclosures)
22	(ii) A permit	for the attachment of the fibre component of a wireless
23	telecommuni	ication pole installation
24	(iii) A permi	t for the attachment of the non-fibre components of a wireline
25	telecommuni	cation pole installation (i.e., the equipment enclosures)

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 1 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 2 of 2

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

- 1 (iv) A permit for the attachment of the fibre component of a wireline
- 2 telecommunication pole installation
- 3

4 **RESPONSE:**

- 5 (a) Please see Tab 5.1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1.
- 6 (b) Please see the response in Tab 5.3, Schedule 1.
- 7 (c) Please see the Affidavit of Ms. Byrne, at paragraphs 18 a and c.

		<u> </u>		······································	EB-2011-012		stem I
		SECTION	- 23 : FORE	IGN ATTACHMEN	TS Tab 5.1	ry Responses	
			INDEX OF S	STANDARDS	Schedule 1 Attachment	1	
	Title				(20 pages)	Standard No.	
23.0	Index of Standar	·ds				23-0000	
23.1	Temporary Deco	orative Attach	ments				3
	General Informati	ion	·····			23-1100	
23.2	Overhead System	n - Temporary	y Decorative				
	Minimum Horizo	ntal and Vertic	al Clearances .			23-2100	
	Banner/Sign on C	concrete, Wood	or Steel Poles			23-2200	
	Typical Seasonal	Decorations or	n Concrete, Wo	od, or Steel Poles (dele	ted)	23-2300	
	Flower Baskets or	n Ornamental I	Light Pole (dele	ted)	•••••	23-2400	~
	Typical Electrical	Receptacles				23-2500	
23.3	Permanent Fore	ign Attachmer	ıts				
	Types of attachme	ents				23-3000	
	Standard Practice	s - Communica	tion Wires			23-3100	
	Joint Use Locatio	ns on Poles				23-3200	
	Underground Rise	er for Commun	ications			23-3300	
	Communication F	ower Supply .	•••••			23-3400	
	Overhead System	- Other Types	: Traffic Senso	r		23-3500	
	Overhead System	- Other Types	: Cable			23-3550	
	Overhead System	- Other Type	: Ga	s Rectifier		23-3900	
	Standard Practices	s - Undergroun	d system			23-3950	
23.4	Service Installati	on					
	Temporary Servic	e Installation 1	20/240 V			23-4010	
	ON CONSTRUCTIO					<u></u>	
	civil:	electrical: L.G.	-	INDEX OF S	STANDARD	S	
`	original issue:	scale:	rev.1 C.P.	rev.2 C.P. rev	13 CP	23-0000	1/1

Temporary Decorative Attachments

1) General Requirement

a) Structural/Material Composition

All attachments shall be constructed and composed of a non-flammable material and be supported by a bracket made of stainless steel, galvanized steel, aluminum or an aluminum alloy and should be easily removable from the pole.

b) Dimensions/Weight Restrictions

The complete attachment, including the bracket, shall not be greater than 0.90m (3') wide and 1.80m (6') long. The maximum allowable surface area of the attachment shall be $1.5m^2$ (16ft²). The maximum weight of the complete attachment shall not exceed 9kg (20lb).

c) Banners shall have semi-circles (vents) cut in the banner to allow for the full passage of air to reduce wind loading on the pole.

2) Methods of Attachment to the Pole

The installation of any attachment on Toronto Hydro poles is contingent upon maintaining minimum clearances from the electrical distribution system. See Standard 23-2100 on minimum clearances for temporary attachments.

Attachments to the poles shall be by means of stainless steel banding tape or galvanized steel clamp bands. The lower part of a banner shall be loose with a lanyard attached at its centre to allow for the banner to remain unfurled. See Standard 23-2200 for reference.

3) Guidelines for approval of Temporary Decorative Attachments on Poles

In determining suitability of poles for approval, the following guidelines are used:

- a) Attachments must be below all primary and secondary line conductors as outlined on Standard 23-2200.
- b) Attachments must meet minimum clearances above roadway/sidewalk as outlined on Standard 23-2100.
- c) Attachments are not allowed on poles with traffic lights or pedestrian crossovers.
- d) Attachments are not allowed on poles with Primary or Secondary Risers.
- e) Attachments are not allowed on poles, which are in "poor" or questionable condition.
- f) Only one organization at any given period is allowed to utilize the same pole.
- g) Attachments are covered by a City permit.
- h) Attachments on any ornamental street lighting poles are subject to the approval of the Toronto Hydro Street Lighting Inc.

In the interest of public safety or to satisfy required work practices, Toronto Hydro, at its sole discretion, may prohibit attachments for reasons other than those listed above.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments			ТЕМРО			ATTACHMENTS	
1. The second se	civil:	electrical: L.G.	GENERAL INFORMATION				
toronto hydro	original issue: 1999-02-19	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1 Feb.17/06	rev.2	rev.3	23-1100	1/2

4) Removals

Temporary attachments are typically installed for a duration not exceeding 180 days. All temporary $\sqrt{3}$ attachments shall be removed by the respective owner no later than five (5) business days after the expiry of the designated installation period stated, unless an extension has been approved in writing by Toronto Hydro Electric System and the City of Toronto.

Not withstanding the above, temporary attachments are to be removed by the respective owner as follows:

- a) Within five (5) business days after written notification from Toronto Hydro Electric System that a banner/sign is:
 - 1) Damaged or deteriorated from its original condition.
 - 2) Installed in an improper manner.
 - 3) In violation of safety requirements by not being in compliance with the Ontario Electrical Safety Code, Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects, or any other legislation, by-laws, regulations or rules which apply.
- b) The temporary attachment(s) is to be removed immediately by the owner where, in the judgement of Toronto Hydro Electric System, the attachment(s) has been installed without permission, or on a pole not specified on the permit, or the attachment(s) is in violation of Toronto Hydro Electric System safety requirements.

Toronto Hydro reserves the right to remove or arrange for the removal of the attachment from the pole at the owner's expense under emergency conditions, or in cases where the pole is unexpectedly removed, or the attachment hinders planned work. The owner of the attachment(s) will be requested to collect the removed attachment(s), if retrievable. Toronto Hydro will not, at any time, be held liable for any damages to or loss of the attachment.

5) Provision of Electrical Supply

- a) Owners are responsible for the costs incurred by Toronto Hydro Electric System to provide electrical service connections and/or disconnection to the temporary decorative attachments. This cost is in addition to any permit fees and may include costs to rearrange any Hydro Plant necessary to accommodate the attachments.
- b) Please refer to Standard 23-2500 for Toronto Hydro's Service requirements on typical electrical installations. Electricity Safety Authority's Connection Permit is required prior to any service connection by Toronto Hydro.
- c) Energy Billing issues including electricity rates applicable to the required electrical service will be confirmed at the time of owner's application. Rates are subject to change without prior notice.

6) Responsibility

The design, installation, maintenance, and removal of temporary decorative attachments rest with the owner. The owner shall ensure compliance with all the applicable legislation, by-laws, and regulations. Written assurance / indemnity shall be made available to Toronto Hydro Electric System upon request.

Ε	DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments			ТЕМ	PORARY DEC			;
	X	civil:	electrical: L.G.	GENERAL INFORMATION				
	toronto hydro	original issue: 1999-02-19	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1 C.P. 2006-02-17	rev.2 C.P. 2006-05-15	rev.3 Kdar.Ror Nov 5/2008	23-1100	2/2

	Foreign Attachmen Minimum Hori	ts: Overhead Sy zontal and Vertic		7
Attachment Type	Crosswalks and Traffic Control Signals (see note 1)	Sidewalks (see note 1)	Electric Line Below 750V	Electric Line 750V to 27.6kV
	a sharara a sana a	Horizontal	Clearances	
Electrical Decorations	30.5m (100'-0'')	n/a	n/a	n/a
Banners/Flags Baskets	30.5m (100'-0'')	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Vertical C	learances	
Electrical Decorations	n/a	2.75m (9'-0")	1.02m (3'-4'')	3.05m (10'-0")
Banners/Flags Baskets	n/a	2.75m (9'-0")	1.02m (3'-4'')	3.05m (10'-0")

n/a = not applicable

Notes:

1) These are minimum values and the City may have specified, on the City permit, more clearances to suit local conditions.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments					D SYSTEM: TEMPORA		
2	次	civil:	electrical: L.G.			CES	
Ŷ	toronto hydro	original issue: 2000-02-19	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1 C.P. 2006-02-17	rev.2 Kalankan rev.3 Nov 5/2008	23-2100	1/1





Permanent Foreign Attachments

Types of Customer/Utility

Permanent foreign attachments encountered in the City of Toronto can be subdivided into six types:

1) Communication Systems

Companies in the communications business such as **Exercises** and **Exercises** commonly rent space on Toronto Hydro poles to which they attach their communication circuits. These circuits usually consist of messenger supported multi-strand conductors, coaxial or fiber optic cables, control boxes, associated hardware and equipment. In all cases, these circuits must be installed in accordance with the minimum clearance requirements.

2) Municipal

Municipal attachments consist of a variety of signs and signals. They include street-name signs, traffic signs and signals, bicycle path signs, parking signs, pedestrian crosswalk signs and signals.

3) Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) Distribution Systems

In the City of Toronto, it is common practice for the TTC to attach their trolley suspension cables, 600 Volt DC traction feeder cables, communication transmitters, transit stop signs and route schedules to Toronto Hydro poles.

4) Decorations

There are a number of locations in the City of Toronto where the local Business Improvement Associations (B.I.A.'s) apply for permission to attach permanent decorations to poles in front of their place of business. These decorations consist of flower baskets, banners, flags, and electric-light decorations requiring the installation of electrical receptacles on our poles.

5) Privately Owned

Canada Post regularly requests permission to attach small letter boxes to Toronto Hydro poles. In addition, applications may be received from vending box operators such as newspaper companies for permission to anchor their vending boxes to poles to ward against theft. **Constitutions** occasionally asks for permission to attach their rectifiers at various locations. We also receive requests by Houses of Worship to allow the installation of floodlights on our poles to illuminate their parking lots or property.

6) Street Lighting

All street lighting equipment is owned by the City of Toronto. Toronto Hydro acts as a consultant and a contractor to the City with respect to the street lighting plant. The majority of the street lights in the City of Toronto are attached to Toronto Hydro poles and are supplied from house lighting lines via photo-electric controllers. Due to the scope and variety of luminaires used in the city, all street lighting installation details are included in Section 30.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments			PER	MANENT FO					
ji t	civil:	electrical:		TYPES OF ATTACHMENTS					
toronto hydro	original issue:	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1	rev.2	rev.3	23-3000	1/1		

Standard Practices for the Installation of Communication Wires on Toronto Hydro Poles

1.0 Scope

This standard pertains to the installation practices to be followed by the *Licensed Occupant* for the communication wires on Toronto Hydro poles.

2.0 General

The *Licensed Occupant* shall install, operate and maintain their overhead plant in accordance with CSA Standard C22.3 No. 1-M87 and Toronto Hydro Standard 23-3100.

3.0 Communication Messenger

3.1 The minimum attachment height shall be:

A)	30' poles	4.6m
B)	35' poles and above	5.0m

- 3.2 The preferred attachment height shall be maintained at 6.0m.
- 3.3 The communications messenger shall be installed on the same side of the pole as the Hydro secondary.
- 3.4 Communication messenger shall not be installed between a street light bracket and a brace.
- 3.5 The mid-span vertical clearance with supply conductor shall be 450mm minimum and at the same time comply with C22.3 No. 1-M87 clause 4.10.3.1.

4.0 Attachments

- 4.1 The number of attachments on a pole will be limited to three where feasible. The highest attachment within the communication space shall be reserved for Toronto Hydro Telecommunication attachments.
- 4.2 The diameter of the attachment (either single cable or multi-lashed cables) shall not exceed two and a half inches (2 ¹/₂") diameter.

5.0 Transformer Poles

- 5.1 The minimum vertical clearance between the bottom of a transformer tank and a communications cable shall be 300mm.
- 5.2 When it is necessary to install a communications cable 300mm from the bottom of a transformer's tank where multiple transformers are mounted on crossarms, Toronto Hydro personnel shall invert the crossarm brace.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments							TACHMENTS	
- The	civil:	electrical de la d	f.	Standard Practices - Communication Wir				
toronto hydro	original issue:	Scale: N.T.S.	rev.1		rev.2	rev.3	23-3100	1/3

Standard Practices for the Installation of Communication Wires on Toronto Hydro Poles

6.0 Anchors

The Licensed Occupant shall install its own anchors at its own expense where necessary at dead-end and heavy angle locations. All guys shall be equipped with a strain insulator and shall be placed before the cable messenger is installed and tensioned.

7.0 Bonding

Bonding between the cable messenger and sheath or shield is required at each 400 metre interval to effect coordinated protection. Toronto Hydro personnel must make the connections to the power system neutral, and the cost shall be borne by the Licensed Occupant. See THES Standard 18-4100.

8.0 Power Supplies

8.1 Power supply units may be installed on poles provided that none of the following equipment or conditions exists on the pole:

- 3 phase Load Interrupter Switch (LIS).
- Cable riser.
- Transformer mounted below the secondary conductors.
- 8.2 Power supply units and attachments are to be installed 300mm below the messenger on the same side as the messenger.

9.0 Service Drops

- 9.1 All drops shall run off the messenger at approximately 300mm from the center of the pole.
- 9.2 All drops shall follow the route of existing hydro or communication services where possible.
- 9.3 Where it is necessary to install a drop by a pole, the drop shall follow the messenger rather than go around the pole on the side opposite the messenger.
- 9.4 Drops shall be attached 150mm to 300mm from the hydro service on the hydro standpipe.

DISTRIBUTION C Forei	CONSTRUCTION gn Attachmer			CHMENTS			
· ····································	civil:	electricat	Stand	Standard Practices - Communication Wires			
toronto hydro	original issue:	scale. N.T.S.	rev.1	rev.2	rev.3	23-3100	2/3

Standard Practices for the Installation of Communication Wires on Toronto Hydro Poles

9.0 Service Drops continued

- 9.5 Drive hooks shall be mounted on the side of the pole opposite the crossarm, subject to the following conditions:
 - 9.5.1 Do not install drive hooks on transformer poles if the messenger is mounted 300mm below the transformer.
 - 9.5.2 Do not install drive hooks on poles where it is possible to move the messenger up the pole and still maintain a 1.0m clearance from hydro.
 - 9.5.3 Installation of drive hooks on 35' poles is permitted only if the conditions of 8.5.1 and 8.5.2 are met.
 - 9.5.4 Installation of drive hooks 600mm or less above hydro plant is permitted on 30' poles if conditions 8.5.1 and 8.5.2 are met.
- 9.6 Rather than using drive hooks on both sides of the pole, a through bolt shall be installed perpendicular to the messenger, subject to the same conditions as drive hook installations.
- 9.7 No deviations from the above listed standards will be permitted without prior written approval from Toronto Hydro.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments				PERMANENT FOREIGN ATTACHMENTS				
N.	civil:	electricat		Standard Practices - Communication Wires				
toronto hydro	original issue:	Scaler N.T.S.	rev.1	rev.2	rev.3	23-3100	3/3	












Standard Practice for the Installation of Communication Cables in Toronto Hydro Underground Ducts

1.0 Scope

This standard pertains to the installation practices to be followed by *Licensed Occupants* for communication cables in Toronto Hydro Electric System Limited underground ducts.

2.0 General

Licensed Occupants shall install, operate and maintain their underground plant in accordance with THESL Specification for Civil Construction Work #CV-CON-01 and Agreement for Licensed Occupancy of THESL Underground Ducts.

3.0 Occupancy Agreement

All telecommunication companies are required to enter into an agreement with THESL for licensed occupancy of the underground ducts. The agreement outlines the terms and conditions under which THESL will lease its ducts.

Toronto Hydro Electric Corporation - Business Support Services are responsible for executing and maintaining the agreements with the telecommunication companies as well as managing the duct leasing process on behalf of Asset Management.

THESL's underground ducts are leased to telecommunication companies with the proviso that should THESL require the use of the duct space for their own purposes, the respective companies will be advised of this fact and be given sixty (60) days notice to remove and relocate their communication cables at their expense.

4.0 Application

Licensees' will make an initial request to Business Support Services indicating their requirements for duct occupancy. Business Support Services will advise whether or not infrastructure capacity is available to accommodate the request and confirm the proposed routing.

Upon receiving preliminary approval from Business Support Services, the Licensee will then be required to submit a set of plan drawings to Business Support Services that shall include the following:

a) The company name, address and contact person.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments				PERMANENT FOREIGN ATTACHMENTS				
	civil:	electricok		Standard Pract	ices – Underg	ground System		
toronto hyd	original issue:	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1	rev.2	rev.3	23-3950	1/3	

- b) A drawing showing the complete route of the proposed cable installation.
- c) The size and type of cable(s) proposed for installation.

1.0 Duct Selection

Ducts used by foreign utilities are usually selected in the middle or top row of the duct bank, whenever possible. The lower rows are to be reserved for THESL primary feeders (27.6kV, 13.8kV or 4kV).

During the preliminary application stages, Business Support Services will consult with Investment Planning concerning future duct capacity requirements needed to meet future demand.

Where possible, all communication cables shall be restricted to one duct within the civil infrastructure. Licensees' will be required to install their communication cable within a 2" inner duct (supplied and installed by Licensee) installed within the THESL duct.

6.0 Installation of Communication Cables within Cable Chambers/Vaults

Contractors of the Licensee must appear on the THESL "Approved Contractors List" before given access to THESL cable chamber or vaults.

The proposed communication cables must not interfere with existing hydro cables in any way. Cables must be tagged and clearly identify the company name and the cable type at all exit points in the cable chambers/vaults. Other attachment conditions are as follows:

- a) Communication cables and slack loops are to be securely clipped to the cable chamber/ vault walls as close as possible to the roof. Slack loops are to be limited to 15.0m lengths.
- b) No communication cable splice enclosures will be permitted as permanent installations within THESL cable chambers or vaults.

8.0 Communication Cable Risers

All communication cable risers must be tagged clearly on the riser pipe/duct.

If no riser duct/pipe is available from the cable chamber/vault to the riser pole, the Licensee must indicate this requirement in the Permit Request Form drawing. All poles must be tested and approved by THESL prior to granting approval. Supporting of the poles (by THESL) may be required during excavation.

	CONSTRUCTION gn Attachmen			PERMANENT FOREIGN ATTACHMENTS					
X	civil:	civil: electrical:		50	Standard Practices – Underground System				
toronto hydro	original issue:	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1	rev.2	rev.3	23-3950	2/3		

9.0 New Ducts Entering Toronto Hydro Cable Chambers/Vaults

In cases where the telecom companies require the installation of additional new ducts into THESL cable chambers/vaults, the following requirements must be met:

- a) Permit Request Form including a drawing must be submitted to Business Support Services.
- b) No construction shall commence until written approval has been received.
- c) Installation must conform to Toronto Hydro Technical Specification for Civil Construction Work #CV-CON-01.
- d) Business Support Services must be given 48 hours notice, prior to construction start to allow for the scheduling of inspection.
- e) The telecom company must use a civil contractor that is approved by Toronto Hydro.

10.0 Make-Ready Work

In some instances it may be necessary for THESL to rearrange existing facilities within the cable chambers/vaults to allow for the installation of new telecom cables. This may entail the re-routing or re-racking of existing hydro cables. This work will be performed by THESL at the expense of the telecom company making the request.

Cable chambers containing leaking lead splices or cable ("leakers") must first be repaired by THESL. If this work cannot be performed during normal business hours, the Licensee shall pay for any incremental costs incurred by THESL as a result thereof.

11.0 Customer Owned Civil Infrastructure

All concrete encased and direct buried ducts, cable chambers and electrical distribution vaults located on private property are owned by the customer. THESL have no authority to grant permission for access to this infrastructure. Any spare duct capacity is typically reserved for hydro cables in the event of an emergency.

Telecom equipment is not permitted in customer owned vaults containing THESL electrical distribution equipment.

DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION STANDARD Foreign Attachments				PERMANENT FOREIGN ATTACHMENTS				
civil: electrice	electrice		Standard Practices – Underground System					
toronto hydro	original issue:	scale: N.T.S.	rev.1		rev.2	rev.3	23-3950	3/3



Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 4 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 4:

2	Reference (s):	Byrne, para. 9 and generally
3		
4	(a) Describe, in deta	il, the current THESL standards, policies and procedures that pertain
5	to the attachment, or	THESL distribution poles, of:
6	(i) The equip	ment box components of a wireless telecommunication pole
7	installation	
8	(ii) The equi	pment box components of a wireline telecommunication pole
9	installation	
10	(iii) The fibro	e component of a wireless telecommunication pole installation
11	(iv) The fibre	e component of a wireline telecommunication pole installation
12	(b) Describe materia	l changes in the past five years to the standards, policies and
13	procedures that perta	ain to each of the above-referenced categories of equipment.
14	(c) Describe, in deta	il, the step-by-step process for processing applications for attachment
15	permits in respect of	each of the categories of equipment described in (a).
16		
17	RESPONSE:	
18	(a) Please refer to T	ab 5.1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1.
19	(b) Please see the Iss	sue and Revision notes and dates in the footers of the pages of
20	THESL Standard	Is Section 23 in Tab 5.1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1.
21	(c) No material char	nges have occurred in the last five years.
22	(d) Please see Ms. B	yrne's Affidavit, paragraph 18.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 5 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 2

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 **INTERROGATORY 5:**

2 Reference(s): Byrne, paras. 4 and 41-44

3

4 Ms. Byrne states that "the configuration, condition and congestion of the THESL poles

5 today is highly varied." Ms. Byrne goes on to conclude that the variability of wireless and

- DAS equipment and attachment configurations creates safety concerns and causes other
 issues.
- 8 (a) Is it THESL's position that the attachment of wireless equipment to THESL poles
- 9 increases the level of congestion on THESL poles?
- 10 (b) Explain, in detail, how the attachment configuration of wireless telecommunication
- equipment differs from the attachment configuration of wireline telecommunication
- equipment, including the attachment of equipment enclosures (i.e., boxes) and fibre.
- 13 (c) Describe the training received by THESL employees and contractors, who perform
- 14 work on distribution poles and lines, in respect of the different and various equipment
- 15 configurations that they are likely to encounter on a THESL pole.
- 16 (d) Describe the material ways in which the training referred to in (c), differs in respect of
- a wireless telecommunication pole installation and a wireline telecommunication poleinstallation.
- 19

20 **RESPONSE:**

21 (a) Yes.

- 22
- 23 (b) Please see Ms. Byrne's Affidavit, paragraphs 34 through 50.
- 24
- 25 (c) THESL employees who do this type of work are Certified Power Line Persons. The

1	Certified Power Line Person is a 54-month program administered by THESL. It is
2	recognized by the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities (MTCU) and is
3	designated as the Power Line Technician Program (a Red Seal Program). Toronto
4	Hydro was granted training delivery status by the MTCU for the Power Line
5	Technician Program in 2008. Employees also receive ongoing training for various
6	Health and Safety and operational matters, such as changes to legislation or
7	Standards. In the course of this training, THESL employees are trained to identify
8	and work around NDAs, which include telecommunications attachments.
9	

10 (d) Please see the response in (c) above.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 6 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 3

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 **INTERROGATORY 6:**

2 **Reference(s): Byrne, para. 4**

- 3
- 4 (a) Are the poles from each of the six former municipal electric distribution utilities
- 5 subject to the same engineering and construction standards?
- 6 (b) If the response to (a) is "no", compare and contrast the various applicable legacy
- 7 standards.
- 8 (c) In the event that a THESL standard conflicts with OR 22/04, CSA C22.3 No. 1, or
- 9 Ontario OSHA, which standard applies?
- 10 (d) Does THESL attempt to reconcile conflicting standards in the field? If so, explain the
- 11 process by which this is achieved and the time it takes to correct the conflict.
- 12 (e) Describe and explain how legacy variations in distribution equipment configurations
- etc. are managed *vis* à *vis* the THESL employees and contractors that work on THESL
- 14 poles.
- 15 (f) Is it THESL's position that such legacy variations constitute a safety issue or concern?
- 16

17 **RESPONSE:**

(a) No. Existing "legacy" assets are not expected to meet current standards, and
 accordingly, THESL performs like-for-like maintenance on legacy assets without

- 20 rebuilding to current standards. Any new construction requiring an incremental
- 21 change to the asset requires assessment of the extent to which current engineering and
- 22 construction standards apply, and replacement of a legacy asset is done to current
- 23 THESL Construction Standards.
- 24

1	(b) The relevance of such information to this proceeding is minimal. In any event, the
2	onerous nature of generating this information is not justified by its low probative
3	value. THESL cannot provide a meaningful response to this interrogatory within the
4	timelines provided in this proceeding.
5	
6	(c) O.Reg. 22/04 authorizes the creation of company standards. If a conflict arose
7	between the OSHA or CSA that THESL could not resolve itself, given its ability to
8	create company standards, it would review the specific situation, and could ask for
9	input from other members of the industry, including ESA, the MOL or could ask CSA
10	to review the conflict and propose a resolution through its standards-making
11	processes. As a general matter, the ESA, MOL and the CSA do not overlap in their
12	subjects: ESA oversees the legislation for the safety of the public related to the
13	electricity distribution system, MOL oversees the legislation for worker health and
14	safety, and the CSA publishes standards for design, construction, and maintenance of
15	the electricity distribution system.
16	
17	(d) Please see the response in (c) above. THESL employees are expected to follow
18	THESL Standards when conducting their work. If THESL employees have any
19	concerns when performing work, they are expected to follow established protocols
20	with regard to questions about job design, or Standards, or unsafe work concerns.
21	
22	(e) THESL employees gain experience on the various existing assets during their training
23	and apprenticeship, and through their ongoing work experiences. For example, both
24	CPLP apprentices, and staff in groups that performance emergency response, are
25	rotated across the city to gain, and maintain their knowledge base.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 6 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 3 of 3

- 1
- 2 (f) No. As stated in Ms. Byrne's affidavit at paragraph 4 it is THESL's position that
- 3 such legacy assets materially contribute to the way in which the configuration,
- 4 condition and congestion of THESL Poles today is highly varied.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 7 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 3

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 7:

2 **Reference(s):** Byrne, para. 4

- 3
- 4 Ms. Byrne states that "the configuration, condition and congestion of the THESL Poles
- 5 today is highly varied."
- 6 (a) How are legacy variations (i.e., different distribution configurations and varying
- 7 standards on poles) documented and tracked?
- 8 (b) What in THESL's view, is an acceptable level of congestion in respect of all "zones"
- 9 on a pole.
- 10 (c) Describe the basis upon which THESL relies to determine when a pole exceeds
- 11 acceptable levels of congestion.
- 12 (d) Provide a breakdown, in tabular form, for the THESL poles (140,000) and the THESI
- poles to be transferred to THESL (40,000), that shows how many poles are at capacity in
- terms of the acceptable level of congestion (described in (b)) and how many poles have
- 15 capacity available to accommodate new attachments.
- 16 (e) How is the age and condition of poles tracked and managed to ensure that poles are
- 17 replaced at the end of their useful life?
- 18 (f) If age, condition and congestion (loading) are not tracked on a pole by pole basis,
- 19 explain how THESL decides when to replace a pole?
- 20 (g) Does THESL visually inspect each pole before a decision is taken to replace it? If so,
- 21 how often are all 140,000 poles inspected?
- 22

23 **RESPONSE:**

- 24 (a) Legacy asset installations may be documented in construction drawings from the time
- 25 of the installation. There may also be documented Standards from the former

1	company. THESL asset information is contained in computer system databases and
2	programs, such as our geographical information system.
3	
4	(b) The THESL Standards give the approved configurations for conductors or other items
5	installed on a pole.
6	
7	(c) THESL follows its own Standards for construction in the ordinary course.
8	
9	(d) THESL records for pole attachments, based on current invoicing, show:
10	Telecommunications: 83,662 pole attachments
11	Other commercial: 1,332
12	
13	THESL does not have records that capture the specific number of attachments on
14	each given distribution pole, nor does it have precise records regarding the number of
15	traffic attachments, police cameras or temporary decorative attachments put up by
16	business improvement areas on its primary distribution poles. THESL is in the
17	process of gathering such data in the ordinary course, but due to the time-consuming
18	nature of the project, it is not possible to have this data available for the purposes of
19	the present proceeding.
20	
21	Streetlighting poles – currently THESI assets - were erected for a different purpose
22	and have different physical characteristics than THESL's distribution poles, which
23	carry primary voltage. THESL only received approval from the Board to transfer
24	certain streetlighting poles from THESI to THESL in August 2011, and the related
25	transactions have not occurred yet. Accordingly, THESL is currently in the process

1	of transitioning these assets, including assessing the appropriate standards, safety,
2	operational and other considerations that apply in respect of streetlighting poles. It
3	would be premature for THESL to speculate on the considerations that would apply
4	to those streetlighting poles. Further, streetlighting poles are not essential facilities for
5	wireless attachers and the CCTA Decision does not apply to them for those wireless
6	attachers' purposes.
7	
8	(e) THESL has various maintenance programs for preventive, predictive and corrective
9	or emergency maintenance, including asset condition assessments.
10	
11	(f) THESL will replace a Pole if under any of the various maintenance programs, or
12	through any other means, a pole is found to be in unacceptable condition. THESL
13	Poles may also be replaced for other reasons. For example, refer to page 37, Section
14	3.3.7 in the EB-2011-0144 THESL Evidence, Exhibit D1, Tab 7l, Schedule 6 that
15	discusses the Rear Lot and Box Construction Conversion capital programs. This
16	information can be found on the Board's website at the following weblink:
17	http://www.rds.ontarioenergyboard.ca/webdrawer/webdrawer.dll/webdrawer/search/r
18	ec&sm_udf10=eb-2011-0144&sortd1=rs_dateregistered&rows=200
19	
20	(g) Not necessarily. For example, where THESL is required to move its poles for the
21	purposes of road widenings, not every THESL Pole would be visually inspected.
22	

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 8 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 2

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 **INTERROGATORY 8:**

Reference(s): Byrne, paras. 6, 20, 32 and 49 2 3 (a) When adding new conductors, under what circumstances does THESL: 4 (i) Replace the existing pole with a new pole of larger diameter 5 (ii) Replace the existing pole with a new pole of the same diameter 6 (iii) Install the conductor on a cross-arm 7 (b) Are the current and forecast levels of applications for attachment permits taken into 8 9 account when deciding: (i) Whether to replace an existing pole with a new pole 10 (ii) The dimensions (i.e., height diameter) of new pole replacements 11 (c) If the response to (b) is "yes", explain how the demand for attachment space is taken 12 into account in deciding when to replace a pole. 13 14 **RESPONSE:** 15 a) When adding new conductors, THESL: 16 (i) replaces the existing pole with a new pole of larger diameter if adding the 17 proposed conductors will exceed the loading capacity of the existing pole outlined 18 in THESL standards. 19 (ii) replaces the existing pole with a new pole of the same diameter if the existing 20 pole is determined to not be sound via a site inspection, and the diameter of the 21 22 THESL Pole is sufficient for the proposed loading capacity as outlined in THESL standards. 23 (iii) installs the conductor on a cross-arm under one of three common situations: 24 • Where the conductors change direction 25

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 8 Filed: 2011 Sep 26 Page 2 of 2

1		• Where the conductors dead end at the pole
2		• Where there are conductor run-offs
3		
4	(b)	Current attachments are taken into account when replacing a THESL Pole,
5		including the appropriate dimensions for the new THESL Pole. Forecast levels of
6		applications regarding NDAs on THESL Poles are considered by THESL for the
7		purposes of resource allocation in general, but are not taken into account when
8		deciding whether to replace an existing THESL Pole with a new pole because
9		those forecasts are not provided by attachment customers on a pole-by-pole basis.
10		
11	(c)	Existing attachments are provided for when replacing THESL Poles. Further,
12		THESL Standard 23-3200 allows for up to three telecommunications attachments
13		in the communications space (see Tab 5.1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1). This
14		standard is taken into account during the process of THESL Pole replacement
15		such that new THESL Poles are built to accommodate up to three
16		telecommunications attachments in the communications space.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 9 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 2

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 **INTERROGATORY 9:**

2	Re	ference(s): Byrne, para. 6
3		
4	a)	If a taller pole were to replace an existing pole, is there an objective standard or
5		regulation (i.e., ESA, CSA, etc.) that "allocates" the additional height to the
6		distribution zone?
7	b)	What is the height of the communications space on taller poles and how many
8		attachments can taller poles hold? Please answer by reference to all available pole
9		sizes and compositions and by reference to the standards and regulations listed above
10		in (a).
11	c)	Could this additional space be used to increase the size of the communication space
12		without violating any objective standards and regulations? If not, please describe
13		how such standards and regulations would be violated.
14	d)	Does THESL have a current standard that would limit the expansion of the
15		communications space instead of the distribution zone? Please specify by reference to
16		THESL standards.
17		
18	RE	SPONSE:
19	a)	While the "objective standards or regulations" do not explicitly speak to allocation of
20		additional pole height, CSA C22.3 No. 1, section 5.10, "Joint-use clearances and
21		separations — Supply and communication plant", provides required clearances and
22		separations.
23	b)	The communications space is consistently two feet on THESL Poles, regardless of the
24		height or type of the pole. THESL Standard 23-3200 allows for up to three

1		telecommunications attachments in the communications space (see Tab 5.1, Schedule
2		1, Attachment 1).
3	c)	In determining the size of the communications space, THESL follows and applies the
4		CCTA Decision, which provides that the communications space on THESL Poles is
5		limited to two feet for the purposes of accommodating wireline attachments, and
6		computing the rate that applies to those attachments.
7	d)	Please see THESL Construction Standards 23-3100 and 3200, attached as Tab 5.1,
8		Schedule 1, Attachment 1. The THESL Standards limit the number of
9		telecommunication attachments per pole to three (within the two feet of
10		communications space).
11		

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 10 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 10:

2 **Reference(s):** Byrne, para. 6

- 3
- a) Exhibit A is a sketch of a "typical pole". Provide a similar sketch of the taller poles
- 5 referred to in paragraph 6, including the dimensions of each "zone" on the pole, as
- 6 defined or delineated in an objective standard. Identify each such objective standard.
- 7

8 **RESPONSE:**

9 THESL is not aware of any such "sketches" being provided in "objective" standards.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 12 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 12:

2	Reference (s):	Byrne, para. 8	3
-			-

3

4 Ms. Byrne describes some of the distribution equipment attached to THESL poles,

- 5 including transformers.
- 6 a) Describe the physical specifications of each such transformer (e.g. dimensions,
- 7 weight, etc.) and the method and configuration of each such transformer.
- 8 b) Does THESL employ bolts to attach transformers and other distribution equipment?
- 9 c) Provide the combined weight and overall loading of: (i) a pole with three
- 10 transformers; and (ii) a pole with DAS equipment and antenna system. Please answer
- 11 (ii) by reference to the DAS drawings submitted by DAScom in respect of the
- 12 DAScom Toronto DAS network.
- 13

14 **RESPONSE:**

- 15 (a) The requested information regarding THESL overhead transformers attached to
- 16 THESL Poles is provided as Attachment 1 to this interrogatory response.
- 17

18 (b) Yes.

- 19
- 20 (c) THESL understands from CANDAS' Larson evidence (Exhibit "D", PDF page
- number 60 of 313) that the typical weight of DAS equipment is given as 89.65kg.
- 22 The range of weight for THESL transformers is between 380kg and 725kg, meaning
- that three have a combined weight of between 1140kg and 2175 kg.

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatories Responses Tab 5.1 Schedule 12 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 1

Stock Code#	Phase	Size (in KVA)	Primary Voltage (in V)	Secondary Voltage (in V)	Weight (kg)	Height of bushing (mm)	Height of tank(mm)	Overall Height	Diameter (mm)
6611243	1	100	13860GRDY/8000	120/240	600	311	1040	1351	610
6611334	1	100	27600GRDY/16000	120/240	600	311	865	1176	520
6611344	1	100	27600GRDY/16000	347/600Y	597	311	865	1176	520
9656530	1	100	4160Y/2400	120/240	600	0	1040	1040	610
6611345	1	167	27600GRDY/16000	347/600Y	725	311	1040	1351	610
6611241	1	50	13860GRDY/8000	120/240	400	0	1040	1040	520
6611332	1	50	27600GRDY/16000	120/240	400	311	865	1176	520
6611342	1	50	27600GRDY/16000	347/600Y	400	311	865	1176	520
9656529	1	50	4160Y/2400	120/240	390	0	865	865	520
6611363	1	100	27600Y/16000	600	400	462	890	1352	610
6611115	1	100	4160Y/2400	600	600	311	890	1201	610
6611134	1	100	4160Y/2400	120/240V	595	0	890	890	610
6611142	1	100	4160Y/2400	347/600Y	600	0	890	890	610
6611103	1	100	4160 GRDY/2400	120/240	600	311	890	1201	610
6611224	1	100	13860Y/8000	600	725	311	890	1201	610
6611206	1	167	13860GRDY/8000	120/240	725	311	1040	1351	610
6611214	1	167	13860GRDY/8000	347/600Y	725	311	1040	1351	610
9656450	1	167	27600Y/16000	600	400	462	1040	1502	610
6611125	1	167	4160Y/2400	600	725	0	1040	1040	610
6611135	1	167	4160Y/2400	120/240	725	0	1040	1040	610
6611143	1	167	4160Y/2400	347/600Y	725	0	1040	1040	610
6611305	1	167	27600GRDY/16000	120/240	725	462	1040	1502	610
6611315	1	167	27600GRDY/16000	347/600Y	700	462	1040	1502	610
6611105	1	167	4160 GRDY/2400	120/240	700	311	1040	1351	610
6611226	1	167	13860Y/8000	600	725	311	1040	1351	610
6611113	1	50	4160Y/2400	600	390	311	865	1176	520
6611101	1	50	4160 GRDY/2400	120/240	380	311	865	1176	520
6611222	1	50	13860Y/8000	600	725	311	865	1176	520
6611321	1	167	27600GRDY/16000	4160Y/2400	750	462	1040	1502	610
6611204	1	100	13860GRDY/8000	120/240	588	311	890	1201	610
6611213	1	100	13860GRDY/8000	347/600Y	600	311	890	1201	610
6611123	1	100	4160Y/2400	600	600	0	890	890	610
6611304	1	100	27600GRDY/16000	120/240V	600	462	890	1352	610
6611314	1	100	27600GRDY/16000	347/600Y	575	462	890	1352	610
6611117	1	167	4160Y/2400	600	725	311	1040	1351	610
6611202	1	50	13860GRDY/8000	120/240V	380	311	865	1176	520
6611211	1	50	13860GRDY/8000	347/600Y	404	311	865	1176	520
6611121	1	50	4160Y/2400	600	390	0	865	865	520
6611132	1	50	4160Y/2400	120/240	390	0	865	865	520
6611302	1	50	27600GRDY/16000	120/240	390	462	865	1327	520
6611312	1	50	27600GRDY/16000	347/600	380	462	865	1327	520

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited EB-2011-0120 Interrogatory Reponses Tab 5.1 Schedule 14 Filed: 2011 Sep 30 Page 1 of 1

RESPONSES TO CANADIAN DISTRIBUTED ANTENNA SYSTEMS COALITION INTERROGATORIES

1 INTERROGATORY 14:

2 **Reference(s):** Byrne, General

- 3
- a) Is it THESL's view that erecting new poles in a public right-of-way is a viable
- 5 alternative to using existing utility poles for wireless attachments?
- 6 b) If the response to (b) is "yes", explain the basis of this view.
- 7

8 **RESPONSE:**

9 (a) Please see the response in Tab 5.3, Schedule 27.