



EB-2011-0118

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act*,
1998, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application pursuant
to section 74 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act*, 1998
by Hydro One Networks Inc. for an Order or Orders
including an exemption from section 6.2.6 and
section 6.2.7 of the *Distribution System Code*
relating to the connection of micro-embedded
generation facilities to its distribution system.

BEFORE: Cynthia Chaplin
Vice Chair and Presiding Member

Marika Hare
Member

DECISION AND ORDER

BACKGROUND

Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One") filed an application dated April 19, 2011 for a six month exemption from certain sections of the *Distribution System Code* ("DSC" or "Code") relating to the required timelines for the assessment and connection of micro-embedded generation facilities to Hydro One's distribution system. The Board assigned file number EB-2011-0118 to this application. Hydro One subsequently amended its application to request that the six month exemption be effective from the date of the decision.

The Board issued a Notice of Application and Written Hearing on June 22, 2011. The Board received intervention requests and granted intervenor status to the following parties: Power Workers' Union ("PWU"), Ontario Sustainable Energy Association ("OSEA"), Sustainable Energy Technologies Limited ("SETL"),¹ Canadian Solar Industries Association ("CanSIA"), Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME"), Ontario Power Authority ("OPA"), Wayne L. McLellan, Stephen and Roger Quenneville, Eva Ligeti, RaSolar, Azgard Solar, Lloyd Kerr, Siliken Canada, Heliene Inc., OSM Solar Corp., Alternate Power International Ltd., Eclipsall Energy Corp, and Unconquered Sun Solar Technologies Inc.

The following parties requested and were granted observer status: Conor Frederick Cleary, Fritz Construction Services Inc., Cornelius and Gudula Bauer, Kurt Repole, Community Living Essex County, Tracey A. Poyton, David Shackleton, and Lexanne Hatch.

The Board also received 11 letters of comment. All of the letters form part of the public record. The Board has considered these letters in reaching its decision on Hydro One's application.

Intervenor evidence was filed by CanSIA, SETL, OSEA, Wayne McLellan, Steve Quenneville, and Roger Quenneville. The Board subsequently decided to hold an oral Hearing, which occurred on August 11 and August 12, 2011.² Arguments were delivered orally at the conclusion of the hearing, as was Hydro One's reply argument.³

The full record of the proceeding is available at the Board's offices and on the Board's website. The Board has summarized the record in this proceeding only to the extent necessary to provide context to its findings.

INTRODUCTION

Hydro One seeks a six month exemption from the requirements of section 6.2.6 and section 6.2.7 of the DSC. Section 6.2.6 addresses the timelines for processing applications for micro-embedded generation facilities.⁴ Section 6.2.7 addresses the

¹ The following parties filed a joint submission under SETL cover: Siliken Canada, Heliene Inc., OSM Solar Corp., Alternate Power International Ltd., Eclipsall Energy Corp, and Unconquered Sun Solar Technologies Inc. For the purpose of brevity, throughout this decision the Board will make reference to these submissions as SETL's submissions.

² The following parties participated in the oral hearing: PWU, CanSIA, OSEA, SETL, PWU, CME, Roger Quenneville, Wayne McLellan, and Board staff.

³ The following parties delivered oral argument: PWU, CanSIA, SETL, OSEA, and Board Staff.

⁴ Generation facilities with a nameplate capacity less than or equal to 10kW.

timelines for connecting micro-embedded generation facilities which receive an offer to connect. The sections read as follows:

6.2.6 Where the proposed micro-embedded generation facility is located at an existing customer connection, the distributor shall, within 15 days of receiving the application, make an offer to connect or provide reasons for refusing to connect the proposed generation facility. Where the proposed micro-embedded generation facility will be located other than at an existing customer connection, the distributor shall, within 60 days of receiving the application, make an offer to connect or provide reasons for refusing to connect the proposed generation facility. In either case, the distributor shall give the applicant at least 30 days to accept the offer to connect and the distributor shall not revoke the offer to connect until this time period has expired. The distributor shall not charge for the preparation of the offer to connect.

6.2.7 The distributor shall connect the applicant's micro-embedded generation facility to its distribution system within 5 days of the applicant informing the distributor that it has received all necessary approvals, providing the distributor with a copy of the authorization to connect from the ESA, entering into a Connection Agreement in the form set out in Appendix E and paying the distributor for the connection costs, including costs for any necessary new or modified metering.

Hydro One proposes that, for the exemption period, the timelines contained in 6.2.6 be replaced by an obligation to employ "reasonable commercial efforts" and that the timelines in section 6.2.7 be replaced with the timelines in sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.3, which relate to the connection of new load customers. The timelines and conditions set out in sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.3 are less stringent than the timelines set out in sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7, and stipulate 90% compliance, rather than the 100% compliance implicit in section 6.2.7.

Sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.3 read as follows:

7.2.1 A connection for a new service request for a low voltage (<750 volts) service must be completed within 5 business days from the day on which all applicable service conditions are satisfied, or at such later date as agreed to by the customer and distributor.

7.2.3 This service quality requirement must be met at least 90 percent of the time on a yearly basis.

Hydro One maintains that the revised timelines are necessary because the response to the OPA's microFIT program has been "remarkable". Hydro One has received 15,630 applications for micro-embedded generation connection of the over 31,000 applications received by the OPA throughout the province. Hydro One had expected to receive approximately 25,000 applications, but it expected these applications to be spread out over a 5 year period. However, since the release of conditional offers by the OPA in September 2010, Hydro One has received between about 400 and 600 applications per week, and in late summer 2011, the company received 668 applications in the week leading up to the oral hearing.

Under section 6.2.6, Hydro One must provide a response that is either an "offer to connect" or "reasons for refusal". The latter response is provided where Hydro One determines that connection is not possible at the present time. Hydro One maintained that given the volume of applications, and steps required to process each application, it is not possible to achieve 100% compliance with the timelines in section 6.2.6.

As of July 29, 2011, Hydro One has issued offers to connect to 10, 443 of the 15,630 applicants; 4,169 have received refusals, and 1,018 are still being processed.

In its efforts to address the backlog of applications, Hydro One has employed a screening tool, first as a manual excel based tool, and later as an automated process, to screen and retrieve information. This tool is also available online for customers. Hydro One explained that the screening tool is applied consistently and identifies any technical limitations and/or reliability and safety concerns with the proposed connection. Hydro One observed that the screening tool and its criteria have been the source of much frustration for proponents of solar projects. According to the OPA website, 99% of all microFIT projects are solar projects.

It is important to note that this proceeding concerns an application for exemptions to provisions in the DSC, and by virtue of such exemption requests, exemptions to the conditions of Hydro One's distribution licence. This is not a compliance or enforcement hearing.

PRELIMINARY ISSUE

As of July 29, 2011, Hydro One had provided an offer to connect to 10,443 projects out of 15,630 applications. The remaining projects received a refusal or remain in process.

Of the approximately 4,000 refusals issued to date, it is Hydro One's evidence that there was no way to predict that the applications would be concentrated in portions of the province with limited capacity. Hydro One indicated that a lack of control over where the

applications are made results in applications to areas of the province where connections are problematic because of system constraints.

Where refusals have been issued, Hydro One stated that it has revisited these projects when material changes in circumstances or upgrades to the system have occurred. Such “rescreening” has resulted in subsequent offers to connect to approximately 10% of rescreened applications.

It was Hydro One’s position that technical screening and rescreening is required to ensure that micro-embedded generation facilities can be connected without jeopardizing the reliability of the existing system or negatively affecting existing customers. Hydro One maintained that it relied on IEEE standard 1547.2, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Standardization of Small Generation Interconnection Agreements and Procedures, and the requirements of the company’s distribution licence in arriving at the criteria for technical screening. The result is that Hydro One is limiting the amount of distributed generation on its F-class feeders to 7% of peak feeder load.

A number of parties sought to include an examination of the screening tool within the scope of the proceeding. The Board heard submissions on this question and rendered its decision orally on August 11, 2011. The Board decided an enquiry into the screening tool was beyond the scope of the proceeding, stating:

The Panel has decided that for purposes of today's proceeding, we will accept the scope as it's been described by Hydro One, in the sense that we will allow and expect an exploration of indeed whether or not the technical cap does affect the timing and to the extent it affects the timing, but the actual -- the basis and appropriateness of the particular technical standard they are using will not be within scope for purposes of today's proceeding.

If subsequently, at the conclusion of this hearing, it becomes apparent or the Panel concludes that the nature of that technical standard has some bearing on the issues, then we will make provision to deal with that in due course.

THE EXEMPTION REQUESTS

Section 6.2.6 – Timelines for Responding to Applications

There is little doubt that Hydro One has had significant difficulty meeting the requirements of section 6.2.6 as a result of the volume of applications received. These volumes have contributed to a backlog of applications, which has put Hydro One into non-compliance with sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 of the Code. Hydro One has provided

evidence that it has added staff and resources and changed its processes in response to the volumes. Hydro One maintained, however, that even with the added resources, it remains difficult to achieve compliance because of the sequence of events necessary before an offer to connect or reasons for refusal can be given.

Hydro One provided the information in the following table with respect to the number of days required on average to process applications.

Table 2 – Days improvement in processing time for DSC section 6.2.6⁵

Project and connection type	Code requirement to issue offer to connect or reasons for refusal	Actual Results Dec '10 – Mar '11 (average)	Actual Results Apr '11 – Jul '11 (average)
Indirect ⁶ , no site assessment required ("Group A")	15	12	4
Indirect, site assessment required ("Group B")	15	34	32
Direct ⁷ ("Group C")	60	46	41

Hydro One's evidence indicated that these timelines are the result of the various steps that must be performed prior to an offer to connect, and that these steps are largely sequenced and dependent on the step before. The data shows that this factor has contributed to the non-compliance, especially for those micro-embedded generation connections which require a site assessment, which for purposes of this decision the Board will term "Group B". Hydro One indicated that it has increased staffing and resources, and submitted that, "simply throwing more people at the problem doesn't do anything, because one event happens after the other."⁸

⁵ Tr. Vol. 1, p60/ln2 to p60/ln8

⁶ Indirect connection refers to a project where a new connection is not required. This type of connection is also referred to as "parallel" connection, since the connection utilizes the existing connection point.

⁷ Direct connection refers to a project where a new connection is required. This type of connection is also referred to as "standalone" connection.

⁸ Tr. Vol. 2, p95/ln16 to p95/ln18

The evidence also shows that applications which are incomplete are still accepted and considered by Hydro One and therefore contribute to Hydro One's poor performance statistics. Incomplete applications add additional steps and time into the process that Hydro One already has difficulty completing within the required timelines.

As of July 29, 2011, there were 502 projects which were out of compliance with the timelines in 6.2.6, 355 in Group B and 147 in Group C.

Section 6.2.7 – Timelines to Complete Connections

With respect to section 6.2.7 and the timelines for physical connection, Hydro One has pointed to the prerequisite service conditions for both the utility and the customer as drivers of non-compliance. Hydro One has attempted to re-prioritize microFIT connections above other work such as pole replacement and system maintenance.

Hydro One indicated that its proposed alternative to the timelines in section 6.2.7 would allow it to better balance its activities with respect to micro-embedded generation connections, load connections, and power restoration activities. For example, Hydro One requested that it be permitted to mutually agree to defer connection, where the generator applicant consents, rather than be bound by the 5 day requirement of section 6.2.7. Hydro One indicated that the ability to mutually agree to a later connection date would allow Hydro One to meet customer needs and provide the company with the flexibility to schedule the work more efficiently.

Hydro One also explained that the 100% compliance target in 6.2.7 is too onerous because it presents an "all hands on deck" situation⁹, and ultimately the connection of microFIT projects competes with unexpected events such as storms, which are unpredictable in terms of severity and frequency.

In July 2011, 327 projects were connected within the 5 day timeline, 53 projects were delayed beyond that at the request of the customer, and 66 projects still had service conditions which needed to be met (which could be either an obligation on Hydro One or an obligation on the customer). These levels are similar to those for May and June 2011.

Submissions of the Parties

PWU submitted that the Hydro One exemption application should be granted as filed. Board staff submitted that the exemption should be granted, but on a more limited basis than requested. CanSIA, SETL, OSEA, Mr. McLellan, and the Quennevilles each submitted that Hydro One's application should be denied.

⁹ Tr. Vol. 1, p84/ln1 to p84/ln16

Parties addressed a number of specific issues in their submissions. These are summarized below.

Volumes of Applications

A number of intervenors took the position that Hydro One should have been able to better predict that there would be significant volumes for the microFIT program, and that based on experience in other jurisdictions, Hydro One should have expected a high degree of uptake in rural areas of the province.

Board staff submitted that Hydro One's lack of control of the application process and difficulties in projecting spikes in applications and the associated work has contributed to the backlog, and is a central source of non-compliance.

Hydro One submitted that the volume of applications was unpredictable and highly variable, and that it made reasonable efforts in responding to volumes to attempt to mitigate its non-compliance.

Performance to Date

PWU submitted that Hydro One has demonstrated that it has made serious, bona fide, reasonable efforts to comply with timelines in the Code, and that its lack of compliance is not due to the want of good-faith effort or delinquency in their efforts.

CanSIA noted that Hydro One first notified the Board of its non-compliance with section 6.2.6 of the Code in late November 2010, but submitted that Hydro One first became non-compliant at or just after the launch of the microFIT program in late 2009. In CanSIA's view, if the exemptions are granted, the total period of non-compliance will be greater than two years, with little confidence that compliance will be achieved thereafter.

OSEA submitted that mitigation steps to address compliance with section 6.2.6 are clear, but that it is unclear how mitigation steps will address compliance with section 6.2.7.

Board staff submitted that, based on evidence of average processing times, there is serious doubt as to whether 100% compliance with section 6.2.6 is ultimately achievable by Hydro One.

Hydro One submitted that allegations that it showed a lack of concern and disregard for generation proponents and for renewable generation are unfair. Hydro One submitted that its efforts made in the face of thousands of microFIT applications in limited areas of the province have been unprecedented, as have Hydro One's accomplishments to date

in connecting thousands of microFIT applicants. Hydro One submitted that it has employed a variety of strategies during this period to manage the requested connections, and that it has employed its best efforts at all times.

Sequencing and Resourcing

CanSIA submitted that there is no indication that Hydro One plans to use the time of the exemptions in order to acquire or deploy any additional resources that may assist it in achieving compliance.

Board staff submitted that the steps involved in considering a connection application are sequential, involving different staff, and that therefore the timelines cannot be met simply by allocating more resources. The evidence showed, in Board's staff view, that the need for site assessment work is a significant contributor to Hydro One's non-compliance with section 6.2.6. Board staff pointed out that approximately 70% of applications that are or were historically out of compliance required site assessments.

Board staff submitted that some sequencing issues are customer-driven, including incomplete applications and requests from applicants to be present when Hydro One conducts a site assessment. These customer-driven issues can impact the ability of Hydro One to meet the timelines set out in the DSC.

Compliance with Timelines

PWU submitted that Hydro One has already shown improvement in processing times as it has rolled out new initiatives and added resources, and that the evidence suggested the time to process is now shorter on average. PWU pointed out that Hydro One is now in compliance with certain categories in a very high percentage of occasions.

SETL submitted that approving Hydro One's application as filed does not solve the problems in the market, nor does denying the application. SETL submitted that there is a rigidity built into the approval process, and that Hydro One needs more flexibility in handling applications to connect.

Board staff submitted that Hydro One has difficulty with 6.2.6 compliance in respect of only one class of project, namely a standard connection at an existing customer connection where a site assessment is required (which the Board has termed Group B). For this type of project, Hydro One has recently been taking 32 days on average to provide an offer to connect or reason for refusal, which is more than double the permitted timeline. Board staff submitted that the necessity for an exemption for this more limited class of projects is plausible, and Board staff suggested a compliance target of 30 days, 80% of the time, for projects in this sub-class, during the limited exemption period. Board staff submitted that no exemption appears necessary for

projects that require no site assessment, or those that are standalone projects at a new connection point.

Board staff submitted that by providing limited relief Hydro One may be better able to meet timelines and manage its backlog. By narrowing the exemption to the projects causing the greatest incidence of non-compliance, it may or may not become apparent that an eventual code amendment process is needed.

With respect to section 6.2.7, Board staff submitted that Hydro One's request to apply section 7.2.1 and 7.2.3 during the limited exemption period is appropriate, including the provision allowing for the customer to defer connection if it chooses.

With respect to 6.2.6, Hydro One submitted that it would be inappropriate to apply additional or different fixed, rigid timelines at this time. Hydro One was also of the view that code amendments might be an appropriate approach in the event that the timelines in 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 remain unachievable.

Public Interest

CanSIA indicated that the Board must deny Hydro One's application because it is not in the public interest. In CanSIA's view Hydro One may cause further delays in the connection of micro-embedded generation facilities and negatively affect the solar industry in Ontario and associated jobs. CanSIA further submitted that the exemption would run counter to the Board's statutory objective of promoting the use and generation of electricity from renewable energy sources in a manner consistent with the policies of the Government of Ontario. CanSIA submitted that a component of the policy of the Government of Ontario is specifically to encourage the development of micro-generation by homeowners, farmers and small businesses.

CanSIA submitted that the only purpose that may be served by the exemption would appear to be that of forestalling or mitigating the severity of any compliance or enforcement measures that could be taken by the Board with respect to Hydro One's lengthy period of non-compliance. CanSIA submitted that the exemptions will not enable Hydro One to do anything that it could not do, or could not have done, since it first became non-compliant. OSEA supported the submissions of CanSIA.

Hydro One submitted that there would be no benefit to the public interest or microFIT program to find Hydro One out of compliance with the requirements of its licence. On the contrary, a six month exemption would provide Hydro One with a period to work toward compliance and bring itself into compliance, which is in the public interest. Hydro One added that the application is in the public interest as it would protect the interests of consumers with respect to reliability and quality of service, and that the exemption would

assist, and not deter Hydro One from accommodating the connection of micro-embedded generation facilities.

Compliance Plan

OSEA, CanSIA, SETL and Board staff all proposed that there should be conditions on Hydro One during any exemption period, including a compliance plan. Parties suggested that such a plan would include, *inter alia*, how Hydro One intends to improve and monitor its processes, set goals, and measure progress towards 100% compliance by the end of the exemption period. Board staff further submitted that a multi-pronged compliance plan would be appropriate, and made submissions that the plan should address customer communications, resources, mitigation of site assessment delays, technical limits and the rescreening process, a backlog management plan, and a contingency plan.

The Board has attached a summary of the submissions of the parties and Board staff with respect to the proposed content of the compliance plan at Appendix A.

Hydro One indicated that it is willing to file a compliance plan, but did not address or respond to the elements that it would be required to file as part of a compliance plan, as raised in the submissions of parties and Board staff.

Compliance Reporting

Most parties proposed some form of compliance reporting.

PWU submitted that compliance reporting would be an appropriate means of providing assurance to the Board and the market that Hydro One continues to take its obligations seriously. In PWU's view, the terms of compliance reporting should be used to ensure that Hydro One's "feet are held to the fire", and should demonstrate that Hydro One is making measurable progress towards compliance with the Code during the period of the exemption.

CanSIA submitted that monthly compliance reporting should include a breakdown along the lines of Exhibit K1.1, Undertaking J1.7, and certain other exhibits and undertakings. CanSIA further submitted that compliance reporting should continue for a period of at least six months after full compliance is achieved.

Board staff submitted a table, Exhibit K2.1, which included Board's staff's proposal for compliance reporting. Board staff also submitted that the information contained in Undertaking J1.12, related to the degree of lateness of non-compliant applications, should be included as a reporting requirement.

The Board has attached a summary of the submissions of the parties and Board staff with respect to the proposed inclusions for monthly compliance reporting as Appendix B.

Board staff submitted that some or all of the compliance reporting with respect to Hydro One's progress during the exemption period should be posted on the Hydro One, Ontario Energy Board, and OPA websites, among others. Board staff submitted that Hydro One should be required to return to the Board after the limited exemption period for a discussion of appropriate next steps, unless compliance has been achieved.

Hydro One submitted that it would be willing to file regular compliance reports to ensure that the Board can be aware at all times of Hydro One's situation and progress. Hydro One's submissions did not specifically address, or respond to, the reporting requirements identified in the submissions of the parties and Board staff.

Board Findings

Hydro One has applied for six month exemptions from sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7. The timelines included in 6.2.6 would be replaced by a "reasonable commercial efforts" standard and the timelines and conditions of 6.2.7 would be replaced with the conditions governing the attachment of load connections. The Board has determined that the relief sought by Hydro One is too broad ranging and is not suitably focussed on ensuring Hydro One comes into compliance with the applicable provisions within the time of the requested exemption. The application as filed is therefore denied.

However, the Board will make provision for a limited exemption, with conditions, which is designed to provide some specific relief as well ongoing monitoring to ensure that Hydro One takes the necessary steps to come into compliance, including the development of a compliance plan and associated reporting.

Section 6.2.6 – Processing Applications

Hydro One has requested that the timelines to assess applications be replaced with a "reasonable commercial efforts" standard. The Board has determined that this standard is too general in nature and is not sufficiently precise to incent Hydro One to come into compliance with the provisions of 6.2.6. Nor does it provide sufficient certainty to market participants for purposes of their planning. The Board's view is that a "reasonable commercial efforts" approach is not suitably supportive of the province's microFIT program as it is currently designed. Hydro One has made much of the volume of applications it has received, and the Board does have some sympathy with the workload imposed by the strong and swift uptake in the microFIT program.

There are three general categories of micro-embedded generation applications: those at an existing connection which do not require a site assessment (Group A); those at an

existing connection which do require a site assessment (Group B); and those which are not at an existing connection (Group C). The evidence shows that, on average, Hydro One is meeting the timelines in 6.2.6 for Groups A and C. Hydro One has demonstrated marked improvement in processing both of these types of applications. For projects in Group A, Hydro One is currently responding with either an offer to connect or reasons for refusal within 4 days (the Code requirement is 15 days). And for projects in Group C, the Code requirement is 60 days, and Hydro One is currently responding, on average, in 41 days. Given this performance, the Board can find no reason to grant any exemption in respect of these types of projects.

The projects that are problematic are those that seek to connect at an existing connection where a site assessment is required, Group B. Under the Code Hydro One is required to respond to these applications within 15 days. The evidence is that Hydro One is currently responding to these requests, on average, in 32 days. This 32-day average response time has only improved slightly from the 34-day average response time over the period December 2010 to March 2011.

The Board accepts that given the process as it is currently conducted, including the necessary sequencing and the potential for customer-driven delays, it is not possible for Hydro One to meet the 15-day timeline set out in the Code for projects in Group B. The evidence shows that the timelines are also longer for applications which are incomplete. While the Board supports Hydro One's efforts to work with customers to complete and process all applications, the Board concludes that complete applications should be given priority over incomplete applications in terms of processing. In addition, for purposes of measuring Hydro One's performance against the Code standard, applications should only be included once they are complete. As a result, the Board concludes that Hydro One will be required to respond to Group B applications within 30 days. This timeline reflects the importance of providing applicants with a timely response while recognizing the additional work that is required for this type of application.

In recognition that in some instances the delays are due to circumstances beyond Hydro One's control, for example competing priorities arising from storm damage, the standard of 30 days will need to be met 90% of the time. In those instances where a customer requests a delay, the length of the requested delay may be added to the 30 days. For example, if the customer requests to be present at the site assessment and that adds 5 days to the schedule, then the maximum time to respond to that application would be 35 days.

Section 6.2.7. – Physical Connection

With respect to the requested exemption to section 6.2.7, the Board accepts Hydro One's proposal to substitute, for the exemption period, the requirements in section 7.2.1 for load connections.

The evidence shows that there may be significant customer-driven delays encountered after the offer to connect is issued. In addition, there are additional requirements which are not specifically identified in 6.2.7 but which are necessary before the physical connection can be made. Some of these are within the control of Hydro One and some are within the control of the customer. The provisions of 7.2.1 recognize that all service conditions must be met before the connection can be made. The Board is satisfied that this is an appropriate approach for micro-embedded generation projects during the exemption period. Section 7.2.1 also allows for a mutually agreed connection date after the 5 day limit. There was no opposition to this option as long as customers were also aware that they have the right to a 5-day connection timeline. The Board agrees with this approach.

The Board also accepts Hydro One's proposal to apply section 7.2.3 of the Code, or a requirement for 90% compliance, on a yearly basis.

The exemptions set out above shall be in effect from the date of this decision until April 11, 2012. After this date Hydro One will be required to meet the conditions of sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7.

Compliance Plan and Compliance Reporting

The Board agrees with Board staff and intervenors that a compliance plan and monthly compliance reporting by Hydro One are appropriate. Hydro One has indicated a willingness to provide both. The Board will order Hydro One to prepare and file a compliance plan and to provide monthly compliance reporting.

The Board will not prescribe the specifics of the compliance plan. At a minimum, the Board expects that the compliance plan will address the proposals made by intervenors and Board staff, and that it will do so in some detail. The compliance plan must be filed by November 1, 2011.

Hydro One will be required to file the form of its proposed monthly compliance report by October 24, 2011. The Board will provide parties with the opportunity to make written submissions on the proposed report after which the Board will issue an order setting out the specifics of the compliance report. The first compliance report will be filed by December 1, 2011. Hydro One will be required to continue filing this report until such

time as the company has met the Code requirements of sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 for 3 consecutive months.

Hydro One shall publish its compliance plan and monthly compliance reporting on its website, and provide materials for publication on websites of other parties, as detailed in the Order which follows.

Technical Screening

Although the technical specifications of the screening tool were beyond the scope of this proceeding, intervenors made a number of submissions challenging the merits of Hydro One's approach. Parties also proposed processes whereby screening criteria might be modified or the costs of necessary upgrades might be shared. And while some parties argued that Hydro One did not need to do technical screening at all, the Board accepts Hydro One's evidence that technical screening is warranted in order to ensure a safe and reliable system. The Board also finds that there was no evidence to suggest that the specific criteria used in the technical screening tool was having an adverse impact on the timeliness of Hydro One's responses.

Although a consideration of the appropriateness of the specific technical criteria was beyond the scope of this proceeding, it is clear to the Board that refusal to connect by Hydro One is a significant concern to the industry.

At a minimum, Hydro One's communications with applicants around capacity constraints and the assessment process have been inadequate in many instances. The experience of Mr. McLellan is notable in this regard. While it appears that Hydro One's communications have improved over time, this is clearly an area that requires ongoing attention. Hydro One could address this aspect in its compliance plan. For example, Hydro One could provide more information to applicants and potential applicants regarding the parts of its territory where there are likely to be constraints and those where there are likely no constraints. The company could also provide information as to whether and/or when upgrades will be made that will allow projects to proceed that have been refused.

The Board also notes Hydro One's evidence that it remains committed to connecting as many projects as possible and therefore expects Hydro One will work cooperatively with parties to achieve that objective, whether through the use of the technical screening tool or other means.

The Board reminds parties that if an applicant for connection is of the view that it has been treated unfairly by Hydro One, for example by imposing unreasonable costs or refusing service, it may bring its complaint or concern to the Board for consideration.

COST AWARDS

The Board may grant cost awards to eligible stakeholders pursuant to its power under section 30 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*. The Board has already determined that CanSIA, OSEA, Wayne McLellan, and Steve and Roger Quenneville would be eligible for an award of costs. When determining the amounts of the cost awards, the Board will apply the principles set out in section 5 of the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*. The maximum hourly rate for counsel and consultants set out in the Board's Cost Awards Tariff will also be applied.

THEREFORE THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:

1. The Board hereby grants an exemption to Hydro One Networks Inc. from sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 of the *Distribution System Code*, ending April 11, 2012 (i.e. 6 months from date of this order), and as set out in further detail below.
2. Hydro One Networks Inc. is exempt from the timelines set out at section 6.2.6 of the *Distribution System Code* for projects that are an indirect connection requiring a site assessment (Group B). For this type of connection, Hydro One Networks Inc. shall be required to issue an offer to connect or issue reasons for refusal within 30 days, for at least 90% of applications. If a customer requests a delay with respect to 6.2.6, the additional time will be added to the timeline. Hydro One Networks Inc. shall track its compliance with this provision.
3. For all projects other than those specifically enumerated in #2 of this Order, the application of section 6.2.6 of the *Distribution System Code* shall remain unchanged.
4. Processing timelines for all projects under 6.2.6 shall only begin once Hydro One Networks Inc. receives a complete application for micro-embedded generation connections. Hydro One Networks Inc. shall log the date that each application is received, including incomplete applications, as well as the date when an incomplete application is deemed complete.
5. Hydro One Networks Inc. is exempt from the provisions of 6.2.7 of the *Distribution System Code*. For micro-embedded generator applications, Hydro One Networks Inc. shall comply with the provisions of sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.3 of the *Distribution System Code*.

6. Hydro One Networks Inc. shall file a compliance plan with the Board by November 15, 2011 which will demonstrate how the company will come into full compliance with the provisions of 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 of the *Distribution System Code* by April 11, 2012.
7. Hydro One Networks Inc. shall file a draft monthly compliance report with the Board by November 15, 2011, and copy all intervenors. Intervenors and Board staff may file comments on the content and form of the compliance report with the Board by November 22, 2011, and copy Hydro One Networks Inc. Hydro One Networks Inc. may file a response to intervenor and Board staff comments no later than November 29, 2011 and copy all intervenors.
8. Cost claims shall conform with the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*, and shall be filed with the Board and one copy served on Hydro One Networks Inc. by November 30, 2011. Hydro One Networks Inc. may file with the Board any objection to the cost claim and one copy must be served on the claimant by December 7, 2011. The Claimant will have until December 14, 2011 to respond to any objections. A copy of any submissions must be filed with the Board and one copy is to be served on Hydro One Networks Inc.

All filings with the Board must quote the file numbers EB-2011-0118 and be made through the Board's web portal at www.errr.ontarioenergyboard.ca, and consist of two paper copies and one electronic copy in searchable / unrestricted PDF format. Filings must clearly state the sender's name, postal address and telephone number, fax number and e-mail address. Parties should use the document naming conventions and document submission standards outlined in the RESS Document Guideline found at www.ontarioenergyboard.ca. If the web portal is not available you may email your document to the address below. Those who do not have internet access are required to submit all filings on a CD in PDF format, along with two paper copies. Those who do not have computer access are required to file 7 paper copies.

All filings should be directed to the attention of the Board Secretary, and be received by the Board **no later than 4:45 p.m.** on the required date. Parties must also include the Case Manager, Vincent Cooney at vincent.cooney@ontarioenergyboard.ca and Board Counsel, Kristi Sebalj at kristi.sebalj@ontarioenergyboard.ca in all electronic correspondence related to this case.

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Board Secretary

Filings: www.errr.ontarioenergyboard.ca
E-mail: boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca

Tel: 1-888-632-6273
Fax: 416-440-7656

DATED at Toronto on October 11, 2011

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original signed by

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED CONTENT OF COMPLIANCE PLAN

Board Staff

Customer communications

- ensuring that customers have a clear point of contact for both the offer to connect and the refusal process
- customers should be made aware of the status of their applications throughout the process and be informed as soon as Hydro One determines that the project is constrained, and that a refusal will therefore be issued. Even if Hydro One intends to continue to re-screen the project, if the application is refused and Hydro One intends to re-screen, this should be made clear and customers should be given an answer on the re-screening no more than 45 days from the date of application
- in addition to Form C warnings, a requirement that Hydro One come up with a different, better alternate method to make absolutely clear to applicants that all investments made prior to an offer to connect are at the customer's risk

Resources

- provide an outlook regarding resourcing and the need for new hires, reallocations over time or other resources, depending on certain threshold volumes of applications, and accounting for contingencies such as storm events
- document continuous communication with the OPA and stakeholder groups so Hydro One can get a better forecast of application volumes and need for additional resources

Site Assessments

- plan for streamlining and optimizing site assessments to narrow the timelines as much as possible, and
- ensuring consistent and up-to-date communication with field staff across territory
- policy for missed appointments and clear communication ahead of time if site visits are in jeopardy of being cancelled

Technical Limits

- a plan to ensure that the re-screening of failed projects does not compromise Hydro One's ability to process new applications in a timely manner
- provide continuous and public reporting on system upgrades and the results in terms of new offers to connect of those upgrades
- ensure applicants understand where rescreening has resulted in new offers to connect

Backlog Management Plan

- no further description offered by staff

Contingency Plan

- related to volumes, if applications increase above a certain norm
- there must be a point at which Hydro One needs to put a contingency plan in place if Hydro One cannot continue to process the applications it's receiving.

APPENDIX A (continued)

CanSIA (supported by OSEA)

Compliance Plan should include:

- specific objectives and strategies
- milestones and proper monitoring of progress
- timelines
- demand planning
- consideration of whether external expertise would be helpful in reviewing business process, and the implementation of which would result in Hydro One achieving full compliance with sections 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 by no later than such date as the Board may specify
- Plan filed no later than December 31, 2011

SETL

- More sophisticated demand planning
- Examine and review possible mechanism to fund upgrades, similar to the pooled funding mechanism for FIT projects

APPENDIX B
PROPOSED INCLUSIONS FOR COMPLIANCE REPORTING

Brief description	Reference	As submitted by
Volumes of Applications and Compliance Status	Exhibit K1.1 (update of page 8 of Application)	CanSIA
Breakdown of Indirect and Direct	Exhibit J1.7	CanSIA
Board staff table of proposed reporting	Exhibit K2.1	Board staff
Breakdown of cumulative refusals and offers to connect on a monthly basis	Exhibit J1.11	Board staff
Lateness of Applications in non-compliance	Exhibit J1.12	Board staff



Electricity Distribution Licence

ED-2003-0043

Hydro One Networks Inc.

Valid Until

September 28, 2024

Original signed by

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
Date of Issuance: September 29, 2004
Date of Last Amendment: October 11, 2011

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario
C.P. 2319
2300, rue Yonge
27^e étage
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

LIST OF AMENDMENTS

Board File No.	Date of Amendment
EB-2005-0286	October 12, 2005
EB-2007-0688	November 26, 2007
EB-2007-0912	February 1, 2008
EB-2007-0916	February 27, 2008
EB-2007-0968	March 20, 2008
EB-2007-0792	April 4, 2008
EB-2007-0933	June 26, 2008
EB-2007-0917	July 25, 2008
EB-2008-0269	October 22, 2008
EB-2009-0148	June 3, 2009
EB-2009-0325	November 24, 2009
EB-2009-0325	December 14, 2009
EB-2010-0172	August 26, 2010
EB-2010-0215	November 12, 2010
EB-2010-0282	January 13, 2011
EB-2010-0229	March 7, 2011
EB-2010-0398	March 29, 2011
EB-2011-0018	April 25, 2011
EB-2011-0067	May 18, 2011
EB-2011-0209	September 12, 2011
EB-2011-0118	October 11, 2011

	Table of Contents	Page No.
1	Definitions	1
2	Interpretation	2
3	Authorization	2
4	Obligation to Comply with Legislation, Regulations and Market Rules	3
5	Obligation to Comply with Codes.....	3
6	Obligation to Provide Non-discriminatory Access.....	3
7	Obligation to Connect.....	3
8	Obligation to Sell Electricity	4
9	Obligation to Maintain System Integrity	4
10	Market Power Mitigation Rebates	4
11	Distribution Rates.....	4
12	Separation of Business Activities.....	4
13	Expansion of Distribution System	5
14	Provision of Information to the Board.....	5
15	Restrictions on Provision of Information	5
16	Customer Complaint and Dispute Resolution	6
17	Term of Licence	6
18	Fees and Assessments.....	6
19	Communication	6
20	Copies of the Licence.....	7

21	Conservation and Demand Management	7
	SCHEDULE 1 DEFINITION OF DISTRIBUTION SERVICE AREA	8
	SCHEDULE 2 PROVISION OF STANDARD SUPPLY SERVICE	9
	SCHEDULE 3 LIST OF CODE EXEMPTIONS	10
	SCHEDULE 4 LIST OF RRR EXEMPTIONS	13
	APPENDIX A MARKET POWER MITIGATION REBATES	14
	APPENDIX B	19
	TAB 1 MUNICIPALITIES.....	19
	TAB 2 FIRST NATION RESERVES	44
	TAB 3 UNORGANIZED TOWNSHIPS.....	52
	TAB 4 MUNICIPALITIES IN WHICH A PORTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY IS SERVED BY THE LICENSEE AND ANOTHER PORTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY IS SERVED BY ANOTHER DISTRIBUTOR	53
	TAB 5 CONSUMERS EMBEDDED WITHIN ANOTHER DISTRIBUTOR BUT SERVED BY THE LICENSEE	91

1 Definitions

In this Licence:

“Accounting Procedures Handbook” means the handbook, approved by the Board which specifies the accounting records, accounting principles and accounting separation standards to be followed by the Licensee;

“Act” means the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule B;

“Affiliate Relationships Code for Electricity Distributors and Transmitters” means the code, approved by the Board which, among other things, establishes the standards and conditions for the interaction between electricity distributors or transmitters and their respective affiliated companies;

“Conservation and Demand Management” and **“CDM”** means distribution activities and programs to reduce electricity consumption and peak provincial electricity demand;

“Conservation and Demand Management Code for Electricity Distributors” means the code approved by the Board which, among other things, establishes the rules and obligations surrounding Board approved programs to help distributors meet their CDM Targets;

“distribution services” means services related to the distribution of electricity and the services the Board has required distributors to carry out, including the sales of electricity to consumers under section 29 of the Act, for which a charge or rate has been established in the Rate Order;

“Distribution System Code” means the code approved by the Board which, among other things, establishes the obligations of the distributor with respect to the services and terms of service to be offered to customers and retailers and provides minimum, technical operating standards of distribution systems;

“Electricity Act” means the *Electricity Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule A;

“Licensee” means Hydro One Networks Inc.

“Market Rules” means the rules made under section 32 of the Electricity Act;

“Net Annual Peak Demand Energy Savings Target” means the reduction in a distributor’s peak electricity demand persisting at the end of the four-year period (i.e. December 31, 2014) that coincides with the provincial peak electricity demand that is associated with the implementation of CDM Programs;

“Net Cumulative Energy Savings Target” means the total amount of reduction in electricity consumption associated with the implementation of CDM Programs between 2011-2014;

“OPA” means the Ontario Power Authority;

“Performance Standards” means the performance targets for the distribution and connection activities of the Licensee as established by the Board in accordance with section 83 of the Act;

“Provincial Brand” means any mark or logo that the Province has used or is using, created or to be created by or on behalf of the Province, and which will be identified to the Board by the Ministry as a provincial mark or logo for its conservation programs;

“Rate Order” means an Order or Orders of the Board establishing rates the Licensee is permitted to charge;

“regulation” means a regulation made under the Act or the Electricity Act;

“Retail Settlement Code” means the code approved by the Board which, among other things, establishes a distributor’s obligations and responsibilities associated with financial settlement among retailers and consumers and provides for tracking and facilitating consumer transfers among competitive retailers;

“service area” with respect to a distributor, means the area in which the distributor is authorized by its licence to distribute electricity;

“Standard Supply Service Code” means the code approved by the Board which, among other things, establishes the minimum conditions that a distributor must meet in carrying out its obligations to sell electricity under section 29 of the Electricity Act;

“wholesaler” means a person that purchases electricity or ancillary services in the IESO administered markets or directly from a generator or, a person who sells electricity or ancillary services through the IESO-administered markets or directly to another person other than a consumer.

2 Interpretation

- 2.1 In this Licence, words and phrases shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Act or the Electricity Act. Words or phrases importing the singular shall include the plural and vice versa. Headings are for convenience only and shall not affect the interpretation of the Licence. Any reference to a document or a provision of a document includes an amendment or supplement to, or a replacement of, that document or that provision of that document. In the computation of time under this Licence, where there is a reference to a number of days between two events, they shall be counted by excluding the day on which the first event happens and including the day on which the second event happens and where the time for doing an act expires on a holiday, the act may be done on the next day that is not a holiday.

3 Authorization

- 3.1 The Licensee is authorized, under Part V of the Act and subject to the terms and conditions set out in this Licence:
- a) to own and operate a distribution system in the service area described in Schedule 1 of this Licence;

- b) to retail electricity for the purposes of fulfilling its obligation under section 29 of the Electricity Act in the manner specified in Schedule 2 of this Licence; and
- c) to act as a wholesaler for the purposes of fulfilling its obligations under the Retail Settlement Code or under section 29 of the Electricity Act.

4 Obligation to Comply with Legislation, Regulations and Market Rules

- 4.1 The Licensee shall comply with all applicable provisions of the Act and the Electricity Act and regulations under these Acts, except where the Licensee has been exempted from such compliance by regulation.
- 4.2 The Licensee shall comply with all applicable Market Rules.

5 Obligation to Comply with Codes

- 5.1 The Licensee shall at all times comply with the following Codes (collectively the “Codes”) approved by the Board, except where the Licensee has been specifically exempted from such compliance by the Board. Any exemptions granted to the Licensee are set out in Schedule 3 of this Licence. The following Codes apply to this Licence:
 - a) the Affiliate Relationships Code for Electricity Distributors and Transmitters;
 - b) the Distribution System Code;
 - c) the Retail Settlement Code; and
 - d) the Standard Supply Service Code.
- 5.2 The Licensee shall:
 - a) make a copy of the Codes available for inspection by members of the public at its head office and regional offices during normal business hours; and
 - b) provide a copy of the Codes to any person who requests it. The Licensee may impose a fair and reasonable charge for the cost of providing copies.

6 Obligation to Provide Non-discriminatory Access

- 6.1 The Licensee shall, upon the request of a consumer, generator or retailer, provide such consumer, generator or retailer with access to the Licensee’s distribution system and shall convey electricity on behalf of such consumer, generator or retailer in accordance with the terms of this Licence.

7 Obligation to Connect

- 7.1 The Licensee shall connect a building to its distribution system if:
 - a) the building lies along any of the lines of the distributor’s distribution system; and

- b) the owner, occupant or other person in charge of the building requests the connection in writing.

7.2 The Licensee shall make an offer to connect a building to its distribution system if:

- a) the building is within the Licensee's service area as described in Schedule 1; and
- b) the owner, occupant or other person in charge of the building requests the connection in writing.

7.3 The terms of such connection or offer to connect shall be fair and reasonable and made in accordance with the Distribution System Code, and the Licensee's Rate Order as approved by the Board.

7.4 The Licensee shall not refuse to connect or refuse to make an offer to connect unless it is permitted to do so by the Act or a regulation or any Codes to which the Licensee is obligated to comply with as a condition of this Licence.

8 Obligation to Sell Electricity

8.1 The Licensee shall fulfill its obligation under section 29 of the Electricity Act to sell electricity in accordance with the requirements established in the Standard Supply Service Code, the Retail Settlement Code and the Licensee's Rate Order as approved by the Board.

9 Obligation to Maintain System Integrity

9.1 The Licensee shall maintain its distribution system in accordance with the standards established in the Distribution System Code and Market Rules, and have regard to any other recognized industry operating or planning standards adopted by the Board.

10 Market Power Mitigation Rebates

10.1 The Licensee shall comply with the pass through of Ontario Power Generation rebate conditions set out in Appendix A of this Licence.

11 Distribution Rates

11.1 The Licensee shall not charge for connection to the distribution system, the distribution of electricity or the retailing of electricity to meet its obligation under section 29 of the Electricity Act except in accordance with a Rate Order of the Board.

12 Separation of Business Activities

12.1 The Licensee shall keep financial records associated with distributing electricity separate from its financial records associated with transmitting electricity or other activities in accordance with the Accounting Procedures Handbook and as otherwise required by the Board.

13 Expansion of Distribution System

- 13.1 The Licensee shall not construct, expand or reinforce an electricity distribution system or make an interconnection except in accordance with the Act and Regulations, the Distribution System Code and applicable provisions of the Market Rules.
- 13.2 In order to ensure and maintain system integrity or reliable and adequate capacity and supply of electricity, the Board may order the Licensee to expand or reinforce its distribution system in accordance with Market Rules and the Distribution System Code, or in such a manner as the Board may determine.

14 Provision of Information to the Board

- 14.1 The Licensee shall maintain records of and provide, in the manner and form determined by the Board, such information as the Board may require from time to time.
- 14.2 Without limiting the generality of paragraph 14.1, the Licensee shall notify the Board of any material change in circumstances that adversely affects or is likely to adversely affect the business, operations or assets of the Licensee as soon as practicable, but in any event no more than twenty (20) days past the date upon which such change occurs.
- 14.3 The Licensee shall:
- a) immediately notify the Board in writing of the notice; and
 - b) provide a plan to the Board as soon as possible, but no later than ten (10) days after the receipt of the notice, as to how the affected distribution services will be maintained in compliance with the terms of this Licence.

15 Restrictions on Provision of Information

- 15.1 The Licensee shall not use information regarding a consumer, retailer, wholesaler or generator obtained for one purpose for any other purpose without the written consent of the consumer, retailer, wholesaler or generator.
- 15.2 The Licensee shall not disclose information regarding a consumer, retailer, wholesaler or generator to any other party without the written consent of the consumer, retailer, wholesaler or generator, except where such information is required to be disclosed:
- a) to comply with any legislative or regulatory requirements, including the conditions of this Licence;
 - b) for billing, settlement or market operations purposes;
 - c) for law enforcement purposes; or
 - d) to a debt collection agency for the processing of past due accounts of the consumer, retailer, wholesaler or generator.

- 15.3 The Licensee may disclose information regarding consumers, retailers, wholesalers or generators where the information has been sufficiently aggregated such that their particular information cannot reasonably be identified.
- 15.4 The Licensee shall inform consumers, retailers, wholesalers and generators of the conditions under which their information may be released to a third party without their consent.
- 15.5 If the Licensee discloses information under this section, the Licensee shall ensure that the information provided will not be used for any other purpose except the purpose for which it was disclosed.

16 Customer Complaint and Dispute Resolution

- 16.1 The Licensee shall:
- a) have a process for resolving disputes with customers that deals with disputes in a fair, reasonable and timely manner;
 - b) publish information which will make its customers aware of and help them to use its dispute resolution process;
 - c) make a copy of the dispute resolution process available for inspection by members of the public at each of the Licensee's premises during normal business hours;
 - d) give or send free of charge a copy of the process to any person who reasonably requests it; and
 - e) subscribe to and refer unresolved complaints to an independent third party complaints resolution service provider selected by the Board. This condition will become effective on a date to be determined by the Board. The Board will provide reasonable notice to the Licensee of the date this condition becomes effective.

17 Term of Licence

- 17.1 This Licence shall take effect on September 29, 2004 and expire on September 28, 2024. The term of this Licence may be extended by the Board.

18 Fees and Assessments

- 18.1 The Licensee shall pay all fees charged and amounts assessed by the Board.

19 Communication

- 19.1 The Licensee shall designate a person that will act as a primary contact with the Board on matters related to this Licence. The Licensee shall notify the Board promptly should the contact details change.
- 19.2 All official communication relating to this Licence shall be in writing.
- 19.3 All written communication is to be regarded as having been given by the sender and received by the addressee:

- a) when delivered in person to the addressee by hand, by registered mail or by courier;
- b) ten (10) business days after the date of posting if the communication is sent by regular mail; and
- c) when received by facsimile transmission by the addressee, according to the sender's transmission report.

20 Copies of the Licence

20.1 The Licensee shall:

- a) make a copy of this Licence available for inspection by members of the public at its head office and regional offices during normal business hours; and
- b) provide a copy of this Licence to any person who requests it. The Licensee may impose a fair and reasonable charge for the cost of providing copies.

21 Conservation and Demand Management

21.1 The Licensee shall achieve reductions in electricity consumption and reductions in peak provincial electricity demand through the delivery of CDM programs. The Licensee shall meet its 2014 Net Annual Peak Demand Savings Target of 213.660 MW, and its 2011-2014 Net Cumulative Energy Savings Target of 1,130.210 GWh (collectively the "CDM Targets"), over a four-year period beginning January 1, 2011.

21.2 The Licensee shall meet its CDM Targets through:

- a) the delivery of Board approved CDM Programs delivered in the Licensee's service area ("Board-Approved CDM Programs");
- b) the delivery of CDM Programs that are made available by the OPA to distributors in the Licensee's service area under contract with the OPA ("OPA-Contracted Province-Wide CDM Programs"); or
- c) a combination of a) and b).

21.3 The Licensee shall make its best efforts to deliver a mix of CDM Programs to all consumer types in the Licensee's service area.

21.4 The Licensee shall comply with the rules mandated by the Board's Conservation and Demand Management Code for Electricity Distributors.

21.5 The Licensee shall utilize the common Provincial brand, once available, with all Board-Approved CDM Programs, OPA-Contracted Province-Wide Programs, and in conjunction with or co-branded with the Licensee's own brand or marks.

SCHEDULE 1 DEFINITION OF DISTRIBUTION SERVICE AREA

This Schedule specifies the area in which the Licensee is authorized to distribute and sell electricity in accordance with paragraph 8.1 of this Licence.

1. Municipalities as set out in Appendix B – Tab 1.
2. First Nation Reserves as set out in Appendix B – Tab 2.
3. Unorganized Townships as set out in Appendix B – Tab 3.
4. Municipalities in which a portion of the municipality is served by the Licensee and another portion of the municipality is served by another distributor. as set out in Appendix B – Tab 4.
5. Consumers embedded within another distributor but served by the Licensee as set out in Appendix B – Tab 5.

SCHEDULE 2 PROVISION OF STANDARD SUPPLY SERVICE

This Schedule specifies the manner in which the Licensee is authorized to retail electricity for the purposes of fulfilling its obligation under section 29 of the Electricity Act.

1. The Licensee is authorized to retail electricity directly to consumers within its service area in accordance with paragraph 8.1 of this Licence, any applicable exemptions to this Licence, and at the rates set out in the Rate Orders.

SCHEDULE 3 LIST OF CODE EXEMPTIONS

This Schedule specifies any specific Code requirements from which the Licensee has been exempted.

1. The Licensee is exempt from the provisions of the Standard Supply Service Code for Electricity Distributors requiring time-of-use pricing for RPP consumers with eligible time-of-use meters, as of the mandatory date. This exemption applies only for service to approximately 150,000 very rural customers who, as of January 1, 2011, are outside the reach of the Licensee's smart meter telecommunications infrastructure. This exemption expires December 31, 2012.
2. The Licensee is exempt from the requirement of section 6.2.4.1e(i) of the Distribution System Code with respect to the following 12 generation projects, as per the Board's Decision and Order in EB-2010-0229:

Project ID	Generator Name	Project Name
11,690	Grand Valley Wind Farms Inc.	Grand Valley Wind Farms (Phase 2)
11,700	Invenenergy Wind Centre ULC	Conestogo Wind Centre 2
11,720	Conestogo Wind, LP	Conestogo Wind Centre
11,870	International Power Canada, Inc.	Plateau I and II Wind
12,270	Pukwis Wind Partner Inc. & Pukwis Energy Co-op	Pukwis Community Wind Park
12,290	Glead Power Corporation	22.5 MW Ostrander Wind Farm
12,430	Grey Highlands Clean Energy LP	Grey Highlands Clean Energy
12,610	ZEP Wind Farm Ganaraska LP	ZEP Wind Farm Ganaraska
12,750	Clean Breeze Wind Park LP	Clean Breeze Wind Park
12,800	Southbranch Wind Farm Inc.	Southbranch Wind Farm
12,810	WPD Canada Corporation	Sumac Ridge Wind Farm
12,860	WPD Canada Corporation	Fairview Wind Farm

3. As per the Board's Decision and Order in EB-2011-0067, for generation facilities for which the primary energy source is water with a capacity not exceeding 10 megawatts and that are located on provincial Crown or federally-regulated lands and for which the electrical connection is to the distribution system owned by Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One"), Hydro One shall be exempted from the current connection cost deposit stipulated in s. 6.2.18(a) of the Distribution System Code (the "DSC") and shall, instead, adhere to the following schedule:
 - (a) \$20,000 per MW of capacity shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One upon the execution of the Connection Cost Agreement.
 - (b) An additional deposit in the amount of 30% of the total estimated cost, as estimated by Hydro One, less the amount received by Hydro One under paragraph (a) above, shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One no later than 6 months after the proponent notifies Hydro One that it has issued its statement of completion under the earlier of the Waterpower Class Environmental Assessment and the equivalent environmental assessment process under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act.
 - (c) No later than 180 days after Hydro One receives payment of the amount referenced in paragraph (b) above, Hydro One shall provide to the proponent a construction schedule and a more accurate estimate of the project cost, if such estimate is requested and paid for by the

proponent. The payment for the estimate shall be drawn from the deposit to the extent possible.

- (d) The balance of the total estimated cost, as estimated by Hydro One based upon the best available information, shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One no later than 30 days after the proponent notifies Hydro One that it has received the last of its necessary construction approval permits under Ontario's Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act or the Dominion Water Power Act.
- (e) Hydro One and the proponent shall mutually agree upon an in-service date that is no later than 2 years after Hydro One receives the balance referenced in paragraph (d), above, subject to the following: in cases where a transmission upgrade or new transmission facilities are required, Hydro One and the proponent may agree to an in-service date that is later than two years after Hydro One receives the balance referenced in paragraph (d), above.
- (f) The Expansion Deposit, as stipulated by Section 3.2.20 of the DSC, shall be paid to Hydro One at the same time as the payment in paragraph (d).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if at any time the above-noted payments to Hydro One are insufficient to cover Hydro One's costs as estimated by Hydro One, the proponent shall pay, to Hydro One, additional funding sufficient to meet the shortfall identified by Hydro One, and Hydro One shall be relieved of its obligation to perform such further work until it receives the said additional funding.

- 4. For the Trout Creek Wind Farm (Hydro One Project #12,780), Hydro One shall be exempted from the current connection cost deposit stipulated in s. 6.2.18(a) of the Distribution System Code (the "DSC") and shall, instead, adhere to the following schedule:
 - (a) \$20,000 per MW of capacity shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One upon the execution of the Connection Cost Agreement.
 - (b) An additional deposit in the amount of 30% of the total estimated cost, as estimated by Hydro One, less the amount received by Hydro One under paragraph (a) above, shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One no later than 4 months after the proponent notifies Hydro One that it has completed the Renewable Energy Approval.
 - (c) No later than 180 days after Hydro One receives payment of the amount referenced in paragraph (b) above, Hydro One shall provide to the proponent a construction schedule and a more accurate estimate of the project cost, if such estimate is requested and paid for by the proponent. The payment for the estimate shall be drawn from the deposit to the extent possible.
 - (d) The balance of the total estimated cost, as estimated by Hydro One based upon the best available information, shall be paid by the proponent to Hydro One no later than 30 days after the proponent notifies Hydro One that it is proceeding to construction. If this notification is not given by September 30, 2013, then the proponent's capacity allocation shall be removed.
 - (e) Hydro One and the proponent shall mutually agree upon an in-service date that is no later than 2 years after Hydro One receives the balance referenced in paragraph (d), above, subject to the following: in cases where a transmission upgrade or new transmission facilities

are required, Hydro One and the proponent may agree to an in-service date that is later than two years after Hydro One receives the balance referenced in paragraph (d), above.

- (f) The Expansion Deposit, as stipulated by Section 3.2.20 of the DSC shall be paid to Hydro One at the same time as the payment in paragraph (d).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if at any time the above-noted payments to Hydro One are insufficient to cover Hydro One's costs as estimated by Hydro One, the proponent shall pay, to Hydro One, additional funding sufficient to meet the shortfall identified by Hydro One, and Hydro One shall be relieved of its obligation to perform such further work until it receives the said additional funding.

5. As per the Board's Decision and Order in EB-2011-0118:

- (a) The Licensee is exempt from section 6.2.6 of the Distribution System Code for micro-embedded generation projects that are an indirect connection requiring a site assessment. This exemption expires April 11, 2012. During the period of exemption, for micro-embedded generation projects that are an indirect connection requiring a site assessment, the Licensee shall be required to issue an offer to connect or issue reasons for refusal within 30 days, for at least 90% of applications. If a customer requests a delay with respect to 6.2.6, the additional time will be added to the timeline. Hydro One Networks Inc. shall track its compliance with this provision. For all projects other than micro-embedded generation projects that are an indirect connection requiring a site assessment, the application of section 6.2.6 of the Distribution System Code shall remain unchanged.
- (b) The Licensee is exempt from the provisions of 6.2.7 of the Distribution System Code for micro-embedded generation applications. This exemption expires April 11, 2012. During the period of exemption, the Licensee shall comply with the provisions of sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.3 of the Distribution System Code.

SCHEDULE 4 LIST OF RRR EXEMPTIONS

The Licensee is exempt from the following sections of the Electricity Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements:

1. Section 2.1.5.5 (b)

APPENDIX A

MARKET POWER MITIGATION REBATES

1. Definitions and Interpretations

In this Licence

“embedded distributor” means a distributor who is not a market participant and to whom a host distributor distributes electricity;

“embedded generator” means a generator who is not a market participant and whose generation facility is connected to a distribution system of a distributor, but does not include a generator who consumes more electricity than it generates;

“host distributor” means a distributor who is a market participant and who distributes electricity to another distributor who is not a market participant.

In this Licence, a reference to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO includes interim payments made by the IESO.

2. Information Given to IESO

- a Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor, the distributor shall provide the IESO, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified by the IESO, with information in respect of the volumes of electricity withdrawn by the distributor from the IESO-controlled grid during the rebate period and distributed by the distributor in the distributor’s service area to:
 - i consumers served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented; and
 - ii consumers other than consumers referred to in clause (i) who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*.
- b Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor which relates to electricity consumed in the service area of an embedded distributor, the embedded distributor shall provide the host distributor, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified in the Retail Settlement Code, with the volumes of electricity distributed during the rebate period by the embedded distributor’s host distributor to the embedded distributor net of any electricity distributed to the embedded distributor which is attributable to embedded generation and distributed by the embedded distributor in the embedded distributor’s service area to:
 - i consumers served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented; and
 - ii consumers other than consumers referred to in clause (i) who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*.
- c Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor which relates to electricity

consumed in the service area of an embedded distributor, the host distributor shall provide the IESO, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified by the IESO, with the information provided to the host distributor by the embedded distributor in accordance with section 2.

The IESO may issue instructions or directions providing for any information to be given under this section. The IESO shall rely on the information provided to it by distributors and there shall be no opportunity to correct any such information or provide any additional information and all amounts paid shall be final and binding and not subject to any adjustment.

For the purposes of attributing electricity distributed to an embedded distributor to embedded generation, the volume of electricity distributed by a host distributor to an embedded distributor shall be deemed to consist of electricity withdrawn from the IESO-controlled grid or supplied to the host distributor by an embedded generator in the same proportion as the total volume of electricity withdrawn from the IESO-controlled grid by the distributor in the rebate period bears to the total volume of electricity supplied to the distributor by embedded generators during the rebate period.

3. Pass Through of Rebate

A distributor shall promptly pass through, with the next regular bill or settlement statement after the rebate amount is received, any rebate received from the IESO, together with interest at the Prime Rate, calculated and accrued daily, on such amount from the date of receipt, to:

- a retailers who serve one or more consumers in the distributor's service area where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented;
- b consumers who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* and who are not served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented; and
- c embedded distributors to whom the distributor distributes electricity.

The amounts paid out to the recipients listed above shall be based on energy consumed and calculated in accordance with the rules set out in the Retail Settlement Code. These payments may be made by way of set off at the option of the distributor.

If requested in writing by OPGI, the distributor shall ensure that all rebates are identified as coming from OPGI in the following form on or with each applicable bill or settlement statement:

“ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. rebate”

Any rebate amount which cannot be distributed as provided above or which is returned by a retailer to the distributor in accordance with its licence shall be promptly returned to the host distributor or IESO as applicable, together with interest at the Prime Rate, calculated and accrued daily, on such amount from the date of receipt.

Nothing shall preclude an agreement whereby a consumer assigns the benefit of a rebate payment to a retailer or another party.

Pending pass-through or return to the IESO of any rebate received, the distributor shall hold the funds received in trust for the beneficiaries thereof in a segregated account.

ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. REBATES

For the payments that relate to the period from May 1, 2006 to April 30, 2009, the rules set out below shall apply.

1. Definitions and Interpretations

In this Licence

“embedded distributor” means a distributor who is not a market participant and to whom a host distributor distributes electricity;

“embedded generator” means a generator who is not a market participant and whose generation facility is connected to a distribution system of a distributor, but does not include a generator who consumes more electricity than it generates;

“host distributor” means a distributor who is a market participant and who distributes electricity to another distributor who is not a market participant.

In this Licence, a reference to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO includes interim payments made by the IESO.

2. Information Given to IESO

- a Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor, the distributor shall provide the IESO, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified by the IESO, with information in respect of the volumes of electricity withdrawn by the distributor from the IESO-controlled grid during the rebate period and distributed by the distributor in the distributor's service area to:
 - i consumers served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented and the consumer is not receiving the prices established under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*; and
 - ii consumers other than consumers referred to in clause (i) who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*.
- b Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor which relates to electricity consumed in the service area of an embedded distributor, the embedded distributor shall provide the host distributor, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified in the Retail Settlement Code, with the volumes of electricity distributed during the rebate period by the embedded distributor's host distributor to the embedded distributor net of any electricity distributed to the embedded distributor which is attributable to embedded generation and distributed by the embedded distributor in the embedded distributor's service area to:
 - i consumers served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented; and

- ii consumers other than consumers referred to in clause (i) who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*.
- c Prior to the payment of a rebate amount by the IESO to a distributor which relates to electricity consumed in the service area of an embedded distributor, the host distributor shall provide the IESO, in the form specified by the IESO and before the expiry of the period specified by the IESO, with the information provided to the host distributor by the embedded distributor in accordance with section 2.

The IESO may issue instructions or directions providing for any information to be given under this section. The IESO shall rely on the information provided to it by distributors and there shall be no opportunity to correct any such information or provide any additional information and all amounts paid shall be final and binding and not subject to any adjustment.

For the purposes of attributing electricity distributed to an embedded distributor to embedded generation, the volume of electricity distributed by a host distributor to an embedded distributor shall be deemed to consist of electricity withdrawn from the IESO-controlled grid or supplied to the host distributor by an embedded generator in the same proportion as the total volume of electricity withdrawn from the IESO-controlled grid by the distributor in the rebate period bears to the total volume of electricity supplied to the distributor by embedded generators during the rebate period.

3. Pass Through of Rebate

A distributor shall promptly pass through, with the next regular bill or settlement statement after the rebate amount is received, any rebate received from the IESO, together with interest at the Prime Rate, calculated and accrued daily, on such amount from the date of receipt, to:

- a retailers who serve one or more consumers in the distributor's service area where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented and the consumer is not receiving the prices established under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*;
- b consumers who are not receiving the fixed price under sections 79.4, 79.5 and 79.16 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* and who are not served by a retailer where a service transaction request as defined in the Retail Settlement Code has been implemented; and
- c embedded distributors to whom the distributor distributes electricity.

The amounts paid out to the recipients listed above shall be based on energy consumed and calculated in accordance with the rules set out in the Retail Settlement Code. These payments may be made by way of set off at the option of the distributor.

If requested in writing by OPGI, the distributor shall ensure that all rebates are identified as coming from OPGI in the following form on or with each applicable bill or settlement statement:

“ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. rebate”

Any rebate amount which cannot be distributed as provided above or which is returned by a retailer to the distributor in accordance with its licence shall be promptly returned to the host

distributor or IESO as applicable, together with interest at the Prime Rate, calculated and accrued daily, on such amount from the date of receipt.

Nothing shall preclude an agreement whereby a consumer assigns the benefit of a rebate payment to a retailer or another party.

Pending pass-through or return to the IESO of any rebate received, the distributor shall hold the funds received in trust for the beneficiaries thereof in a segregated account.

APPENDIX B

TAB 1 MUNICIPALITIES

Name of Municipality:	Township of Addington Highlands
Formerly Known as:	Township of Denbigh, Abinger and Ashby, Township of Anglesea and Effingham, Kaladar, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Adelaide Metcalfe
Formerly Known As:	Township of Adelaide, Township of Metcalfe, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Adjala-Tosorontio
Formerly Known As:	Portions of the Township of Adjala, Township of Tosorontio, Township of Sunnidale, as at December 31, 1993.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Admaston/Bromley
Formerly Known As:	Township of Admaston, Township of Bromley, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Alberton as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Algonquin Highlands, (Formerly known as Township of Sherborne, Stanhope, McClintock, Livingstone, Lawrence and Nightingale)
Formerly Known As:	Township of Sherborne et al, Township of Stanhope, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Alnwick/Haldimand
Formerly Known As:	Township of Alnwick, Township of Haldimand, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Amaranth as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of The Archipelago as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Conger, Cowper, Harrison, Henvey, Wallbridge plus geographic/unorganized townships and unsurveyed areas
Name of Municipality:	Township of Armour as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Armstrong as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Arnprior as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
Formerly Known As:	Township of Arran, Township of Elderslie, Town of Chesley, Village of Tara, Village of Paisley, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh
Formerly Known As:	Township of Ashfield, Township of West Wananosh, Township of Colborne, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Assiginack as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Athens
Formerly Known As:	Township of Rear of Young and Escott, Village of Athens, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Augusta as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Baldwin as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Bancroft

Formerly Known As:	Town of Bancroft, Township of Dungannon, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Barrie Island as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Bayham
Formerly Known As:	Township of Baymen, Village of Port Burwell, Village of Vienna, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Beckwith as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Billings as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Black River-Matheson as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Blandford-Blenheim as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Blind River as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Bonfield as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Bonnechere Valley
Formerly Known As:	Village of Eganville, Township of Grattan, Township of Sebastopol, Township of South Algona, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Brethour as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Brighton

Formerly Known As:	Town of Brighton, Township of Brighton, as at December 31, 2001.
Name of Municipality:	City of Brockville as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Brudenell, Lyndoch and Raglan
Formerly Known As:	Township of Brudenell and Lyndoch, Township of Raglan, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Burpee and Mills
Formerly Known As:	Township of Burpee, Unorganized Twp of Mills, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Caledon
Formerly Known As:	Township of Albion, Township of Caledon, Village of Bolton, Village of Caledon East, Township of Chinguacousy (part), as at December 31, 1973.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Calvin as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Carleton Place as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Carling as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Carlow/Mayo
Formerly Known As:	Township of Carlow, Township of Mayo, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Casey as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Cavan-Millbrook-North Monaghan
Formerly Known As:	Township of Cavan, Township of North Monaghan, Village of Millbrook, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Central Frontenac
Formerly Known As:	Township of Hinchinbrooke, Township of Kennebec, Township of Olden, Township of Oso, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Central Manitoulin
Formerly Known As:	Twp. Of Carnarvon, Unorganized Twp of Sandfield, as at April 30, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Centre Hastings
Formerly Known As:	Village of Madoc, Township of Huntingdon, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Chamberlain as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Champlain
Formerly Known As:	Village of L'Original, Township of West Hawkesbury, Township of Longueuil, Town of Vankleek Hill, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Chapple as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Charlton and Dack
Formerly Known As:	Town of Charlton, Township of Dack, as at December 31, 2002.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Chatsworth
Formerly Known As:	Village of Chatsworth, Township of Holland, Township of Sullivan, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Chisolm as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	City of Clarence-Rockland

Formerly Known As:	Town of Rockland, Township of Clarence, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Cobalt as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Cockburn Island as at March 31, 1999
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Coleman as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Conmee as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Dawn-Euphemia
Formerly Known As:	Township of Dawn, Township of Euphemia, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Dawson
Formerly Known As:	Township of Atwood, Township of Blue, Township of Worthington, Township of Dilke, as at December 31, 1996.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Deep River as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Deseronto as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Dorion as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Douro-Dummer
Formerly Known As:	Township of Douro, Township of Dummer, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Drummond/North Elmsley

Formerly Known As: Township of Drummond, Township of North Elmsley, as at December 31, 1997.

Name of Municipality: City of Dryden

Formerly Known As: Town of Dryden, Township of Barclay

Name of Municipality: Township of Dysart et al as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Municipality of Ear Falls as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of East Ferris as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of East Garafraxa as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of East Hawkesbury as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Elizabethtown-Kitley

Formerly Known As: Township of Kitley, Township of Elizabethtown as at December 31, 2000.

Name of Municipality: City of Elliott Lake as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Emo, as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Englehart as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Enniskillen as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality:	Town of Erin
Formerly Known As:	Township of Erin, Village of Erin, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Ewantural as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Faraday as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Fauquier-Strickland as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of French River
Formerly Known As:	Township of Cosby, Township of Mason, Township of Martland, geographic/unorganized townships of Delamere, Hoskin and Scollard in whole and Bigwood, Cherriman and Haddo in part, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Front of Yonge as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Frontenac Islands
Formerly Known As:	Township of Howe Island, Township of Wolfe Island, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Galway-Cavendish and Harvey
Formerly Known As:	Township of Galway and Cavandish, Township of Harvey, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Gauthier as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Georgian Bay as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Township of Freeman, Township of Gibson, Township of Baxter.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Georgian Bluffs
Formerly Known As:	Township of Derby, Township of Keppel, Township of Sarawak, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Georgina as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Township of North Gwillimbury, Township of Georgina.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Gillies as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Gordon as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Gore Bay as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Greater Madawaska
Formerly Known As:	Township of Bagot, Blythfield and Brougham, Township of Griffith, and Matawatchan, (Jan 1998: Township of Bagot and Blythfield, Township of Brougham amalgamated into Township of Bagot, Blythfield and Brougham), as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Greater Napanee
Formerly Known As:	Township of Adolphustown, Township of North Fredericksburgh, Township of South Fredericksburgh, Township of Richmond, Town of Napanee, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Greenstone
Formerly Known As:	Town of Geraldton, Town of Longlac, Township of Beardmore, Township of Nakina, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Grey Highlands

Formerly Known As:	Township of Artemesia, Township of Euphrasia Village of Markdale, Township of Osprey, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Hamilton as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Harley as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Harris as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Hastings Highlands
Formerly Known As:	Township of Bangor, Wicklow and McClure, Township of Herschel, Township of Monteagle, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Havelock-Belmont-Methuen
Formerly Known As:	Township of Belmont and Methuen, Village of Havelock, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Head, Clara and Maria, as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Highland East
Formerly Known As:	Township of Bicroft, Township Cardiff, Township of Glamorgan, Township of Monmouth, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Hilliard as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Hornpayne as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Horton as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality: The Township of Howick as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Hudson as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Ignace as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of James as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Joly as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: The City of Kawartha Lakes
Formerly Known As: County of Victoria, Town of Lindsay, Municipality of Bobcaygeon/ Verulam, Village of Fenelon Falls, Village of Omemee, Village of Sturgeon Point, Village of Woodville, Township of Bexley, Township of Carden/Dalton, Township of Eldon, Township of Emily, Township of Fenelon, Township of Laxton, Digby and Longford, Township Manvers, Township of Mariposa, Township of Ops, Township of Somerville, (Jan 2000: Township of Carden , Township of Dalton amalgamated into Township of Carden/Dalton), (Jan 2000; Village of Bobcaygeon/Township of Verulam amalgamated into the Municipality of Bobcaygeon/Verulam), as at December 31, 2000.

Name of Municipality: Town of Kearney as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Kerns as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Killarney
Formerly Known As:	Townships of Rutherford and George Island and the geographic/unorganized townships of, Allen, Atlee, Goschen, Hansen, Killarney, Kilpatrick, Sale, Struthers, Travers, and portions of the geographic/unorganized townships of Bigwood, Carlyle, Humboldt, Mowat, and unsurveyed territory and islands, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Kirkland Lake as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of La Vallee as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Lake of Bays as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Township of McLean, Township of Ridout, Township of Franklin, Township of Sinclair, Township of Finlayson.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Lake of the Woods
Formerly Known As:	Township of McCrosson and Tovell, Township of of Morson, unorganized islands in Kenora District and Rainy River District, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Lambton Shores
Formerly Known As:	Village of Arkona, Town of Bosanquet, Town of Forest, Village of Grand Bend, Village of Thedford, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Lanark Highlands
Formerly Known As:	Township of Darling, Township of North West Lanark, (May 1997: Lavant, Dalhousie and North Sherbrook Township/Township Lanark/Village Lanark amalgamated into Township of North West Lanark), as at June 30, 1996.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Larder Lake as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Latchford as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Laurentian Hills
Formerly Known As:	Township of Rolph, Township of Wylie and McKay, Village of Chalk River, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Laurentian Valley
Formerly Known As:	Township of Stafford and Pembroke, Township of Alice and Fraser, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Limerick as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Loyalist
Formerly Known As:	Township of Amherst Island, Township of Ernestown, Village of Bath, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Lucan Biddulph
Formerly Known As:	Village of Lucan, Township of Biddulph, Police Village of Granton, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Machar as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Machin as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Madawaska Valley
Formerly Known As:	Village of Barry's Bay, Township of Radcliffe, Township of Sherwood, Jones and Burns, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Madoc as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Malahide
Formerly Known As:	Township of Malahide, Township of Dorchester, Village of Springfield, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Manitouwadge as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Mapleton
Formerly Known As:	Township of Mapleton, Township of Maryborough, (Jan 1998-Village of Drayton, Township of Peel amalgamated into the Township of Mapleton), as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Marathon as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Markstay-Warren
Formerly Known As:	Township of Hagar, Township of Ratter and Dunnet, geographic/unorganized township of Awrey and portions of the geographic/unorganized townships of Hawley, Henry, Loughrin, Street, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Marmora and Lake
Formerly Known As:	Township of Marmora and Lake, Village of Marmora, (Jan 1998: Village of Deloro, Township of Marmora and Lake amalgamated into the Township of Marmora and Lake, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Matachewan as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Mattawa as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Mattawan as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Mattice-Val Cote as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of McDougall
Formerly Known As:	Township of McDougall, geographic/unorganized township of Ferguson, as at December 31, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	Township of McGarry as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of McKellar as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of McMurrich/Monteith
Formerly Known As:	Township of McMurrich, geographic/unorganized township of Monteith (eastern portion), as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of McNab/Braeside
Formerly Known As:	Township of McNab, Village Braeside, as at December 31, 1997
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Meaford (formerly known as Town of Georgian Highlands)
Formerly Known As:	Township of St. Vincent, Township of Sydenham, Town of Meaford, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Melancthon as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Village of Merrickville-Wolford
Formerly Known As:	Township of Wolford, Village of Merrickville, as at December 31, 1997.

Name of Municipality:	Township of Middlesex Centre
Formerly Known As:	Township of Lobo, Township of London, Township of Delaware, Police Village of Delaware, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Minden Hills
Formerly Known As:	Township of Anson, Hindon and Minden, Township of Lutterworth, Township of Snowdon, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality :	Town of Mono as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Montague as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Moonbeam as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Moosonee as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Moosonee Development Board
Name of Municipality:	Township of Morley
Formerly Known As:	Township of Morley, geographic/unorganized townships Twp's of Dewart and Sifton, as at December 31, 2003.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Morris-Turnberry
Formerly Known As:	Township of Morris, Township of Turnberry, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Mulmar as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Muskoka Lakes as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Township of Cardwell, Township of Watt, Township of Medora, Township of Monck, Township of Wood.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Nairn and Hyman

Formerly Known As:	Township of Nairn, Unorganized Township of Hyman, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	The Nation Municipality
Formerly Known As:	Township of Cambridge, Township of South Plantagenet, Village of St. Isidore, Township of Caledonia, as December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Neebing as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	City of Temiskaming Shores
Formerly Known As:	Town of New Liskeard, Town of Haileybury, Township of Dymond, as at December 31, 2003.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Nipigon as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Nipissing as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Algona-Wilberforce
Formerly Known As:	Township of North Algona, Township of Wilberforce, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Northern Bruce Peninsula
Formerly Known As:	Township of St. Edmunds, Township of Lindsay, Township of Eastnor, Village of Lion's Head, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Dundas
Formerly Known As:	Township of Mountain, Township of Winchester, Village of Chesterville, Village of Winchester, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Frontenac
Formerly Known As:	Township of Barrie, Township of Clarendon, Township of Miller, Township of Palmerston, Township of North Canonto, Township of South Canonto, as at December 31, 1997.

Name of Municipality:	Township of North Glengarry
Formerly Known As:	Township of Kenyon, Township of Lochiel, Town of Alexandria, Village of Maxville, Police Village of Apple Hill, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Grenville
Formerly Known As:	Township of Oxford-on-Rideau, Town of Kemptville, Township of South Gower, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Himsforth as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Kawartha
Formerly Known As:	Township of Burleigh and Anstruther, Township of Chandos, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of North Perth
Formerly Known As:	Township of Wallace, Township of Elma, Town of Listowel, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of The North Shore as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of North Stormont
Formerly Known As:	Township of Finch, Township of Roxborough, Village of Finch, Police Village of Avonmore (in the Township of Roxborough), as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Northeastern Manitoulin and the Islands
Formerly Known As:	Township of Howland, Town of Little Current, all islands not part of other municipalities on Manitoulin Island, as at December 31, 1997.

Name of Municipality:	Township of O'Conner as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Oliver Paipoonge
Formerly Known As:	Township of Oliver, Township of Paipoonge, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Opatika as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Oro-Medonte
Formerly Known As:	Portions of the Township of Medonte, Township of Oro, Township of Orillia, Township of Tay, Township of Flos, Township of Vespra, as at December 31, 1993.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Otonabee-South Monaghan
Formerly Known As:	Township of Otonabee, Township of South Monaghan, as at December 1, 1999.
Name of Municipality:	City of Owen Sound as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Papineau-Cameron as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Perry as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Pelee as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Perth East
Formerly Known As:	Township of Mornington, Township of Ellice, Township of North Easthope, Township of South Easthope, Village of Milverton, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	The Township of Perth South

Formerly Known As:	Township of Downie, Township of Blanshard, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Perth as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Petawawa
Formerly Known As:	Village of Petawawa, Township of Petawawa, as at June 30, 1996.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Pickle Lake as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Plympton-Wyoming
Formerly Known As:	Township of Plympton, Village of Wyoming, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Powassan
Formerly Known As:	Town of Powassan, Township of Himsforth South, Town of Trout Creek, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	County of Prince Edward
Formerly Known As:	County of Prince Edward, Town of Picton, Village of Bloomfield, Village of Wellington, Township of Ameliasburgh, Township of Athol, Township of Hallowell, Township of Hillier, Township of North Marysburgh, Township of South Marysburgh, Township of Sophiasburgh, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	City of Quinte West
Formerly Known As:	City of Trenton, Village of Frankford, Township of Sidney, Township of Murray, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Rainy River as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Ramara
Formerly Known As:	Township of Mara, Township of Rama , as at December 31, 1993.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Red Rock as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Rideau Lakes
Formerly Known As:	Village of Newboro, Township of Bastard and South Burgess, Township of North Crosby, Township of South Crosby, Township of South Elmsley, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Ryerson as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Schreiber as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Seguin
Formerly Known As:	Township of Humphrey, Township of Foley, Township of Christie, geographic/unorganized Township of Monteith (western portion), Village of Rosseau, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Severn
Formerly Known As:	Portions of Village of Coldwater, Township of Matchedash, Township of Medonte, Township of Orillia, Township of Tay, as at December 31, 1993.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Shedden as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Shelburne as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Shuniah as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality:	Township of Sioux Narrows-Nestor Falls
Formerly Known As:	Township of Sioux Narrows, all of the geographic/unorganized townships of Code, Devonshire, Godson, Manross, MacQuarrie, Phillips, Tweedsmuir, and Work, portions of the geographic/unorganized townships of LeMay, McKeekin in Kenora District, and the geographic/unorganized townships of Claxton, Croome, and Mathieu in the Rainy River District, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Separated Town of Smiths Falls as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Town of Smooth Rock Falls as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of South Algonquin
Formerly Known As:	Township of Airy and geographic/unincorporated townships of Dickens, Lyell, Murchison and Sabine, as at May 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Town of South Bruce Peninsula
Formerly Known As:	Township of Albemarle, Township of Amabel, Town of Wiarton, Village of Hepworth, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of South Frontenac
Formerly Known As:	Township of Bedford, Township of Loughborough, Township of Portland, Township of Storrington, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Village of South River as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Southwest Middlesex
Formerly Known As:	Township of Ekfrid, Township of Mosa, Village of Glencoe, Village of Wardsville, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Southwold as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Springwater

Formerly Known As:	Portions of the former Village of Elmvale, Township of Flos, Township of Medonte, Township of Vespra, Town of Wasaga Beach, as at December 31, 1993.
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of St. Charles
Formerly Known As:	Township of Casimir, Jennings & Appleby and the geographic/unorganized townships of Cherriman and Haddo, as at December 31, 1998.
Name of Municipality:	Township of St. Clair
Formerly Known As:	Township of Sombra, Township of Moore, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Stirling-Rawdon
Formerly Known As:	Village of Stirling, Township of Rawdon, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Stone Mills
Formerly Known As:	Township of Camden East, Township of Sheffield, Village of Newburgh, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Strong as at March 31, 1996.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Tay Valley
Formerly Known As:	Township of South Sherbrooke, Township of Bathurst, Township of North Burgess, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Tehkummah as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Temagami as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Terrace Bay as at March 31, 1999
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Thames Centre

Formerly Known As:	Township of North Dorchester, Township of West Nissouri, Village of Dorchester, Police Village of Thorndale, as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Town of Thessalon as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Village of Thornloe as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	City of Thorold as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	City of Timmins as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Tiny as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Trent Hills
Formerly Known As:	Municipality of Campbellford/Seymour, Township of Percy, Village of Hastings, Police Village of Warkworth (Jan 1998-Town of Campbellford, Township of Seymour amalgamated into the Municipality of Campbellford/Seymour), as at December 31, 2000.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Tudor and Cashel as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Tweed
Formerly Known As:	Village of Tweed, Township of Hungerford, Township of Elzevir and Gromsthorpe, as at December 31, 1997.
Name of Municipality:	Township of Tyendinaga as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same
Name of Municipality:	Township of Val Rita-Harty as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As:	Same

Name of Municipality: Township of Wainfleet as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Municipality of West Elgin
Formerly Known As: Township of Aldborough, Village of West Lorne, Police Village of Rodney, as at December 31, 1997.

Name of Municipality: Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Village of Stouffville and portions of the Township of Whitchurch and the Township of Markham.

Name of Municipality: Township of White River as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

Name of Municipality: Municipality of Whitestone
Formerly Known As: Township Hagerman, and the geographic/unorganized townships of Ferrie, McKenzie, East Burpee, and a portion of the Township of Magnetawan, as at December 31, 1999.

Name of Municipality: Township of Wollaston as at March 31, 1999.
Formerly Known As: Same

APPENDIX B

TAB 2 FIRST NATION RESERVES

Reserve Name:	Abitibi I.R. No. 70
Band Name:	Wahgoshig First Nation
Reserve Name:	Alderville I.R No. 37
Band Name:	Alderville First Nation
Reserve Name:	Aroland Indian Settlement
Band Name:	Aroland
Reserve Name:	Big Grassy River I.R. No. 35G
Band Name:	Big Grassy First Nation
Reserve Name:	Big Island Mainland 93
Band Name:	Anishnaabeg of Naongashiing
Reserve Name:	Cape Croker Island I.R. No. 27, Neyaashiinigmiing Reserve
Band Name:	Chippewas of Nawash First Nation
Reserve Name:	Chippewas of the Thames
Band Name:	Chippewas of the Thames First Nation
Reserve Name:	Chapleau I.R. No. 74A
Band Name:	Chapleau Ojibway First Nation
Reserve Name:	Christian Island I.R. No.30
Band Name:	Beausoleil First Nation
Reserve Name:	Cockburn Island 19, 19A
Band Name:	Zhiibaahaasing First Nation

Reserve Name:	Constance Lake I.R. 92
Band Name:	Constance Lake First Nations
Reserve Name:	Couchiching I.R. No. 16A
Band Name:	Couchiching First Nation
Reserve Name:	Curve Lake I.R. No. 35
Band Name:	Curve Lake First Nation
Reserve Name:	Dalles I.R. No. 38C
Band Name:	Ochiichagwe'babigo'ining First Nation
Reserve Name:	Duck Lake R.R. No. 76B
Band Name:	Brunswick House First Nation
Reserve Name:	Dokis I.R. No. 9
Band Name:	Dokis First Nation
Reserve Name:	Eagle Lake I.R. No. 27
Band Name:	Eagle Lake First Nation
Reserve Name:	English River I.R. No.21
Band Name:	Grassy Narrows First Nation
Reserve Name:	Factory Island I.R. No. 1
Band Name:	Moose Factory First Nation
Reserve Name:	Georgina Island I.R. No. 33
Band Name:	Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation
Reserve Name:	Gibson I.R. No. 31 Wahta mohawk
Band Name:	Mohawks of Gibson

Reserve Name:	Golden Lake No. 39
Band Name:	Algonquins Golden Lake First Nation
Reserve Name:	Henvey Inlet I.R. No. 2 French River I.R. 13
Band Name:	Henvey Inlet First Nation
Reserve Name:	Hiawatha I.R. No.36
Band Name:	Ojibways of Hiawatha First Nation
Reserve Name:	Islington I.R No. 29
Band Name:	Wabasemoong Independent Nations
Reserve Name:	Kenora I.R. No. 38B
Band Name:	Wauzhushk Onigum Nation
Reserve Name:	Kettle Point I.R. No. 44
Band Name:	Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point First Nation
Reserve Name:	Lac des Milles Lacs I.R. 22A1, Seine River I.R. 22A2
Band Name:	Lac des Milles Lacs
Reserve Name:	Lac Suel I.R. No. 28
Band Name:	Lac Suel Nation
Reserve Name:	Lake Helen I.R. No. 53A
Band Name:	Red Rock Band
Reserve Name:	Long Lake I.R. No. 77
Band Name:	Ginoogaming First Nation
Reserve Name:	Long Lake I.R. No. 58
Band Name:	Long Lake No. 58 First Nation

Reserve Name:	Magnetewan I.R No. 1
Band Name:	Magnetewan First Nation
Reserve Name:	Manitou Rapids I.R. No. 11
Band Name:	Rainy River First Nation
Reserve Name:	Matachewan I.R 72
Band Name:	Matachewan First Nation
Reserve Name:	Mattagami I.R No.71
Band Name:	Mattagami First Nation
Reserve Name:	Mississagi River I.R No.8
Band Name:	Mississauga First Nation
Reserve Name:	Mobert I.R No. 82
Band Name:	Pic Mobert First Nation
Reserve Name:	Moose Point I.R No. 79
Band Name:	Moose Deer Point First Nation
Reserve Name:	Moravian I.R. No. 47
Band Name:	Delaware First Nation
Reserve Name:	Muncey Delaware Nation No. 1
Band Name:	Munsee-Delaware First Nation
Reserve Name:	Neguaguon Lake I.R No. 25d
Band Name:	Lac La Croix First Nation
Reserve Name:	New Credit I.R 40A
Band Name:	Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation

Reserve Name:	New Post 69, 69a
Band Name:	New Post First Nation
Reserve Name:	Nipissing I.R No. 10
Band Name:	Nipissing First Nation
Reserve Name:	Northwest Angle I.R No. 33B and Whitefish Bay I.R. No. 33a
Band Name:	Northwest Angle No. 33 First Nation
Reserve Name:	Oneida I.R No. 41
Band Name:	ONA YO TE'A:KA
Reserve Name:	Osnaburgh I.R No. 63A, 63B
Band Name:	Osnaburgh First Nation
Reserve Name:	Parry Island I.R No. 16
Band Name:	Wasauksing First Nation
Reserve Name:	Pays Plat I.R. No. 51
Band Name:	Pays Plat First Nation
Reserve Name:	Pic River I..R. No. 50
Band Name:	Ojibways of Pic River No. 50 First Nation
Reserve Name:	Rainy Lake I.R No. 17A, 17B
Band Name:	Naicatchewenin First Nation
Reserve Name:	Rainy Lake I.R. 26A
Band Name:	Nicickousemenecaning First Nation
Reserve Name:	Rainy Lake I.R. No. 18c
Band Name:	Stanjikoming First Nation
Reserve Name:	Rama I.R. No. 32
Band Name:	Chippewas of Mnjikaning First Nation

Reserve Name:	Rat Portage I.R No. 38A
Band Name:	Washagamis Bay First Nation
Reserve Name:	Rocky Bay I.R. No. 1
Band Name:	Rocky Bay First Nation
Reserve Name:	Sabaskong Bay 32c, Whitefish Bay 32a, Yellow Girl Bay 32b
Band Name:	Naotkamegwanning Anishnabe First Nation
Reserve Name:	Sabaskong Bay I.R 35D
Band Name:	Ojibways of Onegaming First Nation
Reserve Name:	Sarnia I.R.No.45
Band Name:	Chippewas of Sarnia
Reserve Name:	Saug-A-Gaw-Sing I.R. No. 1
Band Name:	Big Island First Nation
Reserve Name:	Saugeen I.R. No. 29
Band Name:	Chippewas of Saugeen First Nation
Reserve Name:	Savant Lake Indian Settlement
Band Name:	Saugeen Nation
Reserve Name:	Scugog I.R No. 34
Band Name:	Mississauga of Scugog First Nation
Reserve Name:	Seine River I.R. No. 23A, 23B, Sturgeon Falls No. 23
Band Name:	Seine River First Nation
Reserve Name:	Serpent River I.R. No. 7
Band Name:	Serpent River First Nation

Reserve Name:	Shawanaga I.R. No. 17
Band Name:	Shawanaga First Nation
Reserve Name:	Sheguiandah I.R. No. 24
Band Name:	Sheguiandah First Nation
Reserve Name:	Sheshegwaning I.R. No. 20
Band Name:	Sheshegwaning First Nation
Reserve Name:	Shoal Lake I.R. No 39A
Band Name:	Shoal Lake No. 39 First Nation
Reserve Name:	Shoal Lake I.R. No 40
Band Name:	Shoal Lake No. 40 First Nation
Reserve Name:	Six Nations I.R. No. 40
Band Name:	Six Nations of the Grand River Territory
Reserve Name:	Slate Falls Indian Settlement
Band Name:	Slate Falls Nation
Reserve Name:	Spanish River I.R. No. 5
Band Name:	Sagamok Anishnawbek
Reserve Name:	Sucker Creek I.R NO. 23
Band Name:	Sucker Creek First Nation
Reserve Name:	Thessalon I.R. No. 12
Band Name:	Thessalon First Nation
Reserve Name:	Tyendinaga Mohawk Territory
Band Name:	Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte

Reserve Name: Wabauskang 21

Band Name: Wabauskang First Nation

Reserve Name: Wabigoon Lake I.R No. 27

Band Name: Wabigoon Lake Ojibway Nation

Reserve Name: Wahnapiatae 11

Band Name: Wahnapiatae First Nation

Reserve Name: Walpole Island I.R. No.46

Band Name: Walpole Island First Nation

Reserve Name: West Bay I.R. No. 22

Band Name: West Bay First Nation

Reserve Name: Whitefish Bay I.R No. 32A

Band Name: Whitefish Bay First Nation

Reserve Name: Whitefish Bay I.R No. 34A and Lake of the Woods I.R No. 37

Band Name: Northwest Angle No. 37 First Nation

Reserve Name: Whitefish Lake I.R. No. 6

Band Name: Whitefish Lake First Nation

Reserve Name: Whitefish River I.R. No. 4

Band Name: Whitefish River First Nation

Reserve Name: Wikewemikong I.R. No. 26

Band Name: Wikwemikong Unceded First Nation

APPENDIX B

TAB 3 UNORGANIZED TOWNSHIPS

**Networks provides service to numerous Unorganized geographic townships.
These townships are not incorporated as municipalities.**

APPENDIX B

TAB 4 MUNICIPALITIES IN WHICH A PORTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY IS SERVED BY THE LICENSEE AND ANOTHER PORTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY IS SERVED BY ANOTHER DISTRIBUTOR

Name of Municipality:	Township of Alfred and Plantagenet
Formerly Known As:	Township of Alfred, Village of Alfred, Township of North Plantagenet, Village of Plantagenet, as at December 31, 1996.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Hydro 2000 Inc. described as the former Villages of Alfred and Plantagenet as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0542.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Town of Amherstburg
Formerly Known As:	Town of Amherstburg, Township of Anderdon, Township of Malden, as at December 31, 1997.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Essex Powerlines Corporation described as the former Town of Amherstburg as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0499.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	Two industrial (former Direct Class) customers located at 381 Front Road North, Amherstburg ON, and 99 Thomas Road, Amherstburg ON
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Township of Asphodel-Norwood
Formerly Known As:	Township of Asphodel, Village of Norwood, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Peterborough Distribution Inc. described as the former Village of Norwood as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0504.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: Township of Atikokan

Formerly Known As: Same

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Atikokan Hydro Inc. as set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0001.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: No

Customer(s) within area not Served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: Town of Aylmer as at January 1, 1998.

Formerly Known As: Same

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corporation described as the Town of Aylmer as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0156.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality:	City of Belleville
Formerly Known As:	City of Belleville, Township of Thurlow, City of Quinte West, as at December 31, 1997.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former City of Belleville as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

Name of Municipality:	Town of the Blue Mountains
Formerly Known As:	Town of Thornbury, Township of Collingwood, as at December 31, 1997.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by COLLUS Power Corp. described as the former Town of Thornbury as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0518.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Bluewater
Formerly Known As:	Township of Hay, Township of Stanley, Village of Bayfield, Village of Hensall, Village of Zurich, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Festival Hydro Inc. described as the former Village of Hensall, and the former Village of Zurich as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0513.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Bracebridge

Formerly Known As:

Townships of Macaulay, Draper, Monck, Oakely, Town of Bracebridge,
as at December 31, 1970.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Lakeland Power Distribution Ltd. described as the
former Town of Bracebridge, as more particularly set out in Licence
No. ED-2002-0540.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

One industrial customer located at 154 Beaumont Drive, Bracebridge,
ON.

Name of Municipality:

Town of Bradford-West Gwillimbury

Formerly Known As:

Town of Bradford, Township of West Gwillimbury, as at December 31,
1990.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Barrie Hydro Distribution Inc. described as the
former Town of Bradford as more particularly set out in Licence No.
ED-2002-0534.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality: **County of Brant (Initially known as City of Brant-on-the-Grand)**

Formerly Known As: County of Brant, Town of Paris, Township of Brantford, Township of Burford, Township of Oakland, Township of Onondaga, Township of South Dumfries, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Brant County Power Inc. described as the former Village of Burford, the former Town of Paris, the former Township of Brantford and the former Police Village of St. George (in the former Township of South Dumfries) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0522.
The area served by Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro Inc. as particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0574.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Brock**

Formerly Known As: Village of Beaverton, Village of Cannington, Township of Brock, Township of Thorah, as at December 31, 1973.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former Villages of Beaverton and Cannington and the former Police Village of Sunderland (in the former Township of Brock) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Brockton**

Formerly Known As: Township of Greenock, Township of Brant, Town of Walkerton, as at

December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Town of Walkerton and the portion of the former Police Village of Elmwood (in the former Township of Brant) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Brooke-Alvinston**

Formerly Known As: Township of Brooke, Village of Alvinston

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Bluewater Power Distribution Corp. described as the former Village of Alvinston as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0517.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Central Elgin**

Formerly Known As: Township of Yarmouth, Village of Belmont, Village of Port Stanley, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corporation described as the former Villages of Belmont and Port Stanley as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Central Huron
Formerly Known As:	Township of Goderich, Township of Hullett, Town of Clinton, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Clinton Power Corporation described as the former Town of Clinton as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0496..
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

Name of Municipality:	Township of Centre Wellington
Formerly Known As:	Town of Fergus, Village of Elora, Township of West Garafraxa, Township of Nichol, Township of Pilkington, as at December 31, 1998.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Centre Wellington Hydro Ltd. described as the former Town of Fergus and the former Village of Elora as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0498.
Networks Assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Chatham-Kent
Formerly Known As:	City of Chatham, County of Kent, Town of Blenheim, Town of Bothwell, Town of Dresden, Town of Ridgetown, Town of Tilbury, Town of Wallaceburg, Village of Erie Beach, Village of Eriean, Village of Highgate, Village of Thamesville, Village of Wheatley, Township of Camden, Township of Chatham, Township of Dover, Township of Harwich, Township of Howard, Township of Orford, Township of

Raleigh, Township of Rodney, Township of Tilbury East, Township of Zone, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Chatham-Kent Hydro Inc. described as the former City of Chatham, former Police Village of Merlin (straddling the former townships of Raleigh and Tilbury East), former Village of Eriean, former Village of Thamesville, former Town of Bothwell, former Village of Wheatley, former Town of Dresden, former Town of Blenheim, former Town of Tilbury, former Town of Ridgetown, and the former Town of Wallaceburg as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0563.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Clarington**

Formerly Known As: Town of Bowmanville, Village of Newcastle, Township of Clarke, Township of Darlington, as at December 31, 1973.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former Town of Bowmanville, the former Police Village of Orono (in the former Township of Clarke), the former Town of Newcastle as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: One Industrial customer located at 410 Waverley Road, Bowmanville ON.

Name of Municipality:	Township of Clearview
Formerly Known As:	Town of Stayner, Village of Creemore, Township of Nottawasaga, Township of Sunnidale, as at December 31, 1993.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by COLLUS Power Corp. described as the former Town of Stayner and the former Village of Creemore as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0518.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Town of Cochrane
Formerly Known As:	Town of Cochrane, Township of Glackmeyer, Unorganized Twp. of Lamarche, as at December 31, 1999.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Northern Ontario Wires Inc. described as the former Town of Cochrane as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0018
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Township of Cramahe
Formerly Known As:	Village of Colborne, Township of Cramahe, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Lakefront Utilities Inc. described as the former Village of Colborne as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-

2002-0545.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of Dutton/Dunwich

Formerly Known As:

Township of Dunwich, Village of Dutton, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Dutton Hydro Limited described as the former Village of Dutton as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0025.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of East Gwillimbury as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Newmarket-Tay Power Distribution Ltd. as particularly set out in Licence No. ED- 2007-0624.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of East Luther Grand Valley

Formerly Known As: Township of East Luther, Village of Grand Valley, as at December 31, 1994.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Grand Valley Energy Inc. described as the former Village of Grand Valley as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0512.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **The Township of East Zorra-Tavistock**

Formerly Known As: Township of East Zorra, Town of Tavistock, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corp. described as the former Town of Tavistock as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Edwardsburgh/Cardinal**

Formerly Known As: Village of Cardinal, Township of Edwardsburgh, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution Inc. described as the former Village of Cardinal as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0003.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Essa as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Barrie Hydro Distribution Inc. described as the former Police Village of Thorton as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0534.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Essex

Formerly Known As:

Town of Essex, Town of Harrow, Township of North Colchester, Township of South Colchester, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by E.L.K. Energy Inc. described as the former Town of Essex and the former Town of Harrow as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0015.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Gravenhurst

Formerly Known As:

Formerly the Township of Morrison, the United Townships of Medora and Wood, the Township of Muskoka, the Township of Ryde, the Town of Gravenhurst, as at December 31, 1970.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former

urban boundary of the Town of Gravenhurst as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

City of Greater Sudbury

Formerly Known As:

Region of Sudbury, City of Sudbury, City of Valley East, Town of Capreol, Town of Nickel Centre, Town of Onaping Falls, Town of Rayside-Balfour, Town of Walden, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Greater Sudbury Hydro Inc. described as the former City of Sudbury, the former townsite of the former Town of Capreol, and the former Town of Conniston (part of former Town of Nickel Centre) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0559.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Guelph/Eramosa

Formerly Known As:

Township of Guelph, Township of Eramosa, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Guelph Hydro Electric Systems Inc. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0565.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

City of Hamilton

Formerly Known As:

Region of Hamilton-Wentworth, City of Hamilton, City of Stoney Creek, Town of Ancaster, Town of Dundas, Town of Flamborough, Township of Glanbrook, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Horizon Utilities Corp. described as the former City of Hamilton, the former Police Village of Ancaster, former Town of Dundas, the former Police Village of Lynden (straddling the former Town of Flamborough and Town of Ancaster), the former Village of Waterdown, and the former City of Stoney Creek as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2006-0031.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Hawkesbury as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Hydro Hawkesbury Inc. described as the Town of Hawkesbury prior to annexation or amalgamation pursuant to the Minister's Order or Restructuring Act as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0027.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Huntsville

Formerly Known As:	Township of Brunel, Village of Port Sydney, Town of Chaffey, Township of Stephenson, Township of of Stisted, Town of Huntsville, as at December 31, 1970.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Lakeland Power Distribution Ltd. described as the former Town of Huntsville as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0540.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	One Industrial customer located at 61 Domtar Road, Huntsville ON.
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Huron East
Formerly Known As:	Village of Brussels, Township of Grey, Township of McKillop, Town of Seaforth, Township of Tuckersmith, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Festival Hydro Inc. described as the former Village of Brussels and the former Town of Seaforth as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0513.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Township of Huron-Kinloss
Formerly Known As:	Township of Huron (former Police Village of Ripley amalgamated with twp in 1995), Township of Kinloss, Village of Lucknow, as at December 31, 1998.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Police Village of Ripley (in the former Township of Huron) and the

former Village of Lucknow as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Huron Shores**

Formerly Known As: Township of Day & Bright Add'l, Township of Thessalon, Township of Thompson, Village of Iron Bridge, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Great Lakes Power Limited described as part of the former Township of Thessalon or as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-1999-0227

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: No

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Town of Ingersoll**

Formerly Known As: Same

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corporation described as the Town of Ingersoll as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not

served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Town of Iroquois Falls as at March 31, 1999.**

Formerly Known As: Same

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Northern Ontario Wires Inc. described as the Town of Iroquois Falls as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0018.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **City of Kenora**

Formerly Known As: Town of Kenora, Town of Keewatin, Town of Jaffray Melick, as at December 31, 1999.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Kenora Hydro Electric Corporation Ltd. described as the former Town of Kenora and part of the former Town of Keewatin as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0030.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards**

Formerly Known As: Township of Hagarty and Richards, Village of Killaloe, as at June 30, 1999

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Ottawa River Power Corp. described as the former Village of Killaloe as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0033.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Kincardine**

Formerly Known As: Town of Kincardine, Township of Bruce (Village of Tiverton, Township of Bruce amalgamation), Township of Kincardine, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Town of Kincardine as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of King as at March 31, 1999**

Formerly Known As: Same

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by PowerStream Inc. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2004-0420.

The area served by Newmarket-Tay Power Distribution Ltd. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2007-0624.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
Served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

City of Kingston

Formerly Known As:

City of Kingston, Township of Kingston, Township of Pittsburgh, as at December 31, December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Kingston Electricity Distribution Ltd. described as the former City of Kingston, the former Township of Kingston, and part of the former Township of Pittsburgh as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0057.

The area served by Canadian Niagara Power Inc. described as part of the former Township of Pittsburgh as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0572.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Kingsville

Formerly Known As:

Town of Kingsville, Township of Gosfield North, Township of Gosfield South, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by E.L.K. Energy Inc. described as the former Town of Kingsville and the former Police Village of Cottam (in the former Township of Gosfield North), including Part Lot 269 Part 1 12R-23403, Part Lot 268 Part 1 12R-23674 and Part Lot 269RP 12R-1331 Parts 4

and 5 located at 168 Belle River Road North, as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0015.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Lakeshore

Formerly Known As:

Township of Lakeshore, (Jan 1998: Town of Belle River, Township of Maidstone amalgamated into Lakeshore Township), Township of Rochester, Township of Tillbury North, Township of Tillbury West, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by E.L.K. Energy Inc. described as the former Police Village of Comber (in the former Township of Tillbury West) and the former Town of Belle River as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0015.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of Leamington

Formerly Known As:

Town of Leamington, Township of Mersea, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Essex Powerlines Corporation described as the former Town of Leamington as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0499.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Leeds and the Thousand Islands

Formerly Known As:

Township of Front of Leeds and Lansdowne, Township of Rear of
Leeds and Lansdowne,

Township of Front of Escott, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Canadian Niagara Power Inc. described as part of
the former Township of the Front of Leeds and Lansdowne as more
particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0572.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of Magnetawan

Formerly Known As:

Township of Chapman, Village of Magnetawan, Unorganized Township
of Croft, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Lakeland Power Distribution Ltd. described as the
former Village of Magnetawan as more particularly set out in Licence
No. ED-2002-0540.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Minto

Formerly Known As:	Township of Minto, Town of Palmerston, Town of Harriston, Village of Clifford, as at December 31, 1998.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Town of Harriston, the former Town of Palmerston, and the former Village of Clifford as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	The Corporation of the Town of Mississippi Mills
Formerly Known As:	Town of Almonte, Township of Pakenham, Township of Ramsay, as at December 31, 1998.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Ottawa River Power Corp. described as the former Town of Almonte as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0033.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Town of New Tecumseth
Formerly Known As:	Town of Alliston, the Village of Beeton, the Village of Tottenham and the portion of the Township of Tecumseth, as at December 31, 1991.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Barrie Hydro Distribution Inc. described as the former Town of Alliston, the former Village of Beeton and the former Village of Tottenham (all in the former Township of Tecumseth) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0534.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

One Industrial customer located in the former Town of Alliston.

Name of Municipality:

The Corporation of Norfolk County

Formerly Known As:

Township of Norfolk, Township of Delhi, Town of Simcoe, City of Nanticoke (westerly 'half' only), as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Norfolk Power Distribution Inc. described as the former Town of Delhi (in the former Township of Delhi), the westerly half of the former City of Nanticoke, the former Village of Port Rowan (in former Township of Norfolk), and the former Town of Simcoe as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0521.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

One Industrial customer located at Lake Erie and Regional Rd.. 3, Nanticoke, ON.

Name of Municipality:

Township of North Huron

Formerly Known As:

Town of Wingham, Village of Blyth, Township of East Wawanosh, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Town of Wingham as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

Two Industrial customers located at 40621 Amberly Rd., and 200 Water Street Wingham, ON.

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of North Middlesex

Formerly Known As:

Township of McGillivray, Township of East Williams, Township of West Williams, Town of Parkhill, Village of Ailsa Craig, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Middlesex Power Distribution Corp. described as the former Town of Parkhill as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0059.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

The Township of Norwich as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Township of North Norwich, Township of South Norwich, Township of East Oxford, Village of Norwich, Village of Burgessville, and Police Village of Otterville, as at

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corp. described as the former Village of Norwich, the former Village of Burgessville, and the former Police Village of Otterville as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:	City of Ottawa
Formerly Known As:	Region of Ottawa-Carleton, City of Gloucester, City of Kanata, City of Nepean, City of Ottawa, City of Vanier, Township of Cumberland, Township of Goulbourn, Township of Osgoode, Township of Rideau, Township of West Carleton, Village of Rockcliffe Park, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Hydro Ottawa Limited described as the former City of Gloucester, the former City of Kanata, the former City of Nepean, the former City of Ottawa, the former City of Vanier, the former Township of Goulbourn, the former Village of Rockcliffe Park, and the portion of the former Township of Rideau on Long Island, North of Bridge Street, as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0556.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No.
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Town of Pelham
Formerly Known As:	Township of Pelham, Village of Fonthill, as at December 31, 1969.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Niagara Peninsula Energy Inc. described as the former Village of Fonthill as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0555.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	City of Peterborough as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:	Same
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Peterborough Distribution Inc. described as the City of Peterborough as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0504.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Municipality of Port Hope
Formerly Known As:	Town of Port Hope, Township of Hope (initially restructured as Municipality of Port Hope and Hope), as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former Town of Port Hope as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No
<hr/>	
Name of Municipality:	Township of Puslinch as at March 31, 1999
Formerly Known As:	Same
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Guelph Hydro Electric Systems Inc. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0565.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of Red Lake

Formerly Known As:

Township of Red Lake, Township of Golden, as at June 30, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Gold Corp Inc. described as part of the former Improvement District of Balmertown.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Russell as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Cooperative Hydro Embrun Inc. described as the former Police Village of Embrun as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0493.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

No

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Sables-Spanish Rivers

Formerly Known As:

Town of Massey, Town of Webbwood, Township of the Spanish River, as at June 30, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Espanola Regional Hydro Distribution Corp. described as the former Town of Massey and the former Town of Webbwood as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0502.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Saugeen Shores

Formerly Known As:

Township of Saugeen, Town of Southampton, Town of Port Elgin, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Town of Southampton and the former Town of Port Elgin as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

City of St. Thomas as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by St. Thomas Energy Inc. described as the City of St. Thomas as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0523.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

One Industrial customer located at 1 Cosma Court

Name of Municipality:

Township of Scugog

Formerly Known As:

Township of Scugog, Township of Cartwright, Township of Reach, Village of Port Perry, as at December 31, 1973.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former Village of Port Perry as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of Sioux Lookout**

Formerly Known As: Town of Sioux Lookout, as at December 31, 1997

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Sioux Lookout Hydro Inc. described as the Municipality of Sioux Lookout as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0514.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Smith-Ennismore-Lakefield**

Formerly Known As: Village of Lakefield, Township of Smith-Ennismore (formerly Township of Smith and Township of Ennismore), as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Peterborough Distribution Inc. described as the former Village of Lakefield as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0504.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Municipality of South Bruce

Formerly Known As:

Township of Mildmay-Carrick, Township of Teeswater-Culross, (Jan 1998: Village of Teeswater, Township of Culross amalgamated into the Township of Teeswater-Culross. Village of Mildmay, Township of Carrick amalgamated into the Township of Mildmay-Carrick), as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Village of Mildmay and the former Village of Teeswater as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of South Dundas

Formerly Known As:

Township of Matilda, Township of Williamsburg, Village of Iroquois, Village of Morrisburg, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution Inc. described as the former Police Village of Williamsburg, the former Village of Morrisburg, and the former Village of Iroquois as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0003.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:	Township of South Glengarry
Formerly Known As:	Township of Charlottenburgh, Township of Lancaster, Village of Lancaster, Police Village of Martintown, as at December 31, 1997.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by the Cornwall Street Railway Light and Power Company Limited described as part of the former Township of Charlottenburgh as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2004-0405.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	Three Solar PV generator customers located at: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Part of Lots 5 & 6, Concession 52. Part of Lots 15 & 16, Concession 5 & 63. Lot 41, 41A, Plan 107 except Part 20 and 20A on 14R299, s/t IL 3007, TCH 4416 and Plan 107 – Pt Lot 40 as in AR 1461, Except Pt 1 & 2, 14R2143 S/T TCH 4357

Name of Municipality:	Municipality of South Huron
Formerly Known As:	Township of Stephen, Township of Usborne, Town of Exeter, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Festival Hydro Inc. described as the former Police Village of Dashwood as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0513.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

Name of Municipality:	Township of South Stormont
Formerly Known As:	Township of Osnabruck, Township of Cornwall, as at December 31, 1997
Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Cornwall Street Railway Light and Power

Company Limited described as part of the former Township of Cornwall and part of the former Township of Osnabruk as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2004-0405.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Southgate

Formerly Known As:

Village of Dundalk, Township of Egremont, Township of Proton, Police Village of Holstein, as at December 31, 1999.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Wellington North Power Inc. described as the former Police Village of Holstein as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0511.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:**

No

Name of Municipality:

The Township of South-West Oxford

Formerly Known As:

Township of West Oxford, Township of Dereham, Village of Beachville, as at December 31, 1974.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corp. described as the former Village of Beachville as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:**

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Strathroy-Caradoc

Formerly Known As:

Town of Strathroy, Township of Caradoc, as at December 31, 2000.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Middlesex Power Distribution Corp. described as the former Police Village of Mount Brydges (in the former Township of Caradoc) and the former Town of Strathroy as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0059.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Tay

Formerly Known As:

Village of Port McNicoll, Village of Victoria Harbour, the Township of Medonte, Township of Tay, Township of Tiny, Township of Flos, Police Village of Waubesaushene, as at December 31, 1996.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Newmarket-Tay Power Distribution Ltd. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2007-0624.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Town of Tecumseh

Formerly Known As:

Town of Tecumseh, Village of St. Clair Beach, Township of Sandwich South, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Essex Powerlines Corporation described as the former Town of Tecumseh and the former Village of St. Clair Beach as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0499.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:** No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Uxbridge**

Formerly Known As: Town of Uxbridge, Township of Scott, Township of Uxbridge, as at December 31, 1973.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Veridian Connections Inc. described as the former Town of Uxbridge as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0503.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:** No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Warwick**

Formerly Known As: Village of Watford, Township of Warwick, as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Bluewater Power Distribution Corp. described as the former Village of Watford as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0517.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:** No

Name of Municipality: **Township of Wellington North**

Formerly Known As: Town of Mount Forest, Village of Arthur, Township of Arthur, Township

of West Luther, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Wellington North Power Inc. described as the former Village of Arthur and the former Town of Mount Forest as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0511.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: No

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Township of West Grey**

Formerly Known As: Township of West Grey, Town of Durham (Jan 2000 Township Bentinck, Township of Glenelg, Town Normanby, Village of Neustadt amalgamated into the Township of West Grey), as at December 31, 1999.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Westario Power Inc. described as the former Village of Neustadt and a portion of the former Police Village of Elmwood (in the former Township of Bentinck) as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0515.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks: Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks: No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of West Nipissing**

Formerly Known As: Town of Cache Bay, Town of Sturgeon Falls, Township of Caldwell, Township of Field, Township of Springer, as at December 31, 1998.

Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by West Nipissing Energy Services Ltd. described as the former Town of Cache Bay and the former Town of Sturgeon Falls as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0562.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:** No

Name of Municipality: **Municipality of West Perth**
Formerly Known As: Township of Logan, Township of Fullarton, Township of Hibbert, Town of Mitchell, Police Village of Dublin, as at December 31, 1997.
Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by West Perth Power Inc. described as the former Town of Mitchell and the former Police Village of Dublin as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0508.

**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

**Customer(s) within area not
served by Networks:** No

Name of Municipality: **Town of Whitby**
Formerly Known As: Same
Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Whitby Hydro Electric Corporation and the area served by Veridian Connections Inc. as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0571.

Name of Municipality: **Township of Whitewater Region**
Formerly Known As: Township of Ross, Township of Westmeath, Village of Beachburg, Village of Cobden, as at December 31, 2000.
Area Not Served By Networks: The area served by Ottawa River Power Corp. described as the former Village of Beachburg as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0033.
**Networks assets within area
not served by Networks:** Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

City of Woodstock as at March 31, 1999.

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Woodstock Hydro Services Inc. described as the City of Woodstock as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2003-0011, including the Boot Hill Development located on part of lots 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 and registered plan 86 and 501, and three customers on Mill Street with civic address numbers 388, 390 and 410.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

Township of Zorra

Formerly Known As:

Township of West Zorra, Township of East Nissouri, Township of North Oxford, Village of Embro, Village of Thamesford , as at December 31, 1997.

Area Not Served By Networks:

The area served by Erie Thames Powerlines Corp. described as the former Village of Embro and the former Village of Thamesford as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0516.

Networks assets within area not served by Networks:

Yes

Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:

No

Name of Municipality:

The Town of Penetanguishene as at March 31, 1999

Formerly Known As:

Same

Area Not Served By Networks:	The area served by Barrie Hydro Distribution Inc. described as part of the Town of Penetanguishene as more particularly set out in Licence No. ED-2002-0534.
Networks assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	No

APPENDIX B

TAB 5 CONSUMERS EMBEDDED WITHIN ANOTHER DISTRIBUTOR BUT SERVED BY THE LICENSEE

(Note also that each municipality noted in Tab 5 is a municipality served almost entirely by another distributor but in which the Licensee serves one or more consumers.)

Name of Municipality:	City of Cornwall
Assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	The customers located at and 501 Wallrich Avenue.
Name of Municipality:	County of Haldimand
Assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	One customer located in Caledonia, Ont.
Name of Municipality:	City of Niagara Falls
Assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	Three customers located at 8001 Daly Street, 7780 Stanley Ave, 6225 Progress Street
Name of Municipality:	City of St. Thomas
Assets within area not served by Networks:	Yes
Customer(s) within area not served by Networks:	One industrial customer located at 1 Cosma Court.