Page 1 of 10

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, being

Schedule B to the Energy Competition Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Milton Hydro

Distribution Inc. to the Ontario Energy Board for an Order or

Orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other

service charges for the distribution of electricity as of May 1, 2012.

MILTON HYDRO DISTRIBUTION INC. ("Milton Hydro")

**APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF 2012 ELECTRICITY** 

**DISTRIBUTION RATES** 

Response to Ms. J. Shewchun Interrogatories

Filed: November 15, 2011

Cameron McKenzie

Director, Regulatory Affairs

Milton Hydro Distribution Inc.

8069 Lawson Road

Milton, Ontario

L9T 5C4

Tel: (905) 876-4611 ext 246

cameronmckenzie@miltonhydro.com

Filed: November 15, 2011

Page 2 of 10

Interrogatory #1

Does the projected increase of 2.2% take into account the Nov.1, 2011 hydro increase. If not,

could a Consumer Impact Statement be produced showing the inclusion of the Nov. 1, 2011

increase?

Response:

Milton Hydro's proposed total bill increase for the average residential customer using 800 kWh

is 1.98%. This assumes no change in electricity rates.

The Ontario Energy Board ("OEB") set the price for electricity, effective November 1, 2011, in

October. The impact on the Residential customer is contained in the OEB press release which

states the following:

"The price change for RPP consumers on TOU pricing represents an increase of

approximately \$2.11 on the "Electricity" line, or about 1.8% on the total bill, for a

residential consumer with a typical consumption pattern who uses 800 kWh per

month."

Page 3 of 10

Interrogatory #2

What consideration was given to the negative impact of this increase on "Vulnerable

Consumers" in Milton (seniors on a fixed income, working poor, unemployed etc.) who cannot

afford to pay for this increase, given that hydro bills have increased dramatically in the past 7

years? Hydro is not a luxury item which cannot be used. It is a necessity which cannot be

avoided, and the rapidly rising hydro rates are creating hardships, especially in these

recessionary times.

Response:

Milton Hydro's portion of the rate application relates to an increase in distribution revenue of

\$0.05 per month or \$0.60 per year for the average Residential customer using 800 kWh per

month. The balance of the proposed increase is in respect of changes in regulatory variances

and retail transmission service charges.

# Interrogatory #3

What "efficiencies" did Milton Hydro undertake in order to avoid this request for increase?

### Response:

Milton Hydro is applying for an annual rate adjustment under the 3rd Generation Incentive Regulation Mechanism ("IRM3"). The very nature of an IRM application is to encourage distributors, including Milton Hydro to continue to improve efficiencies in order to reduce costs of business to reduce the impact of rate increases on customers. An IRM increase is the difference between price increases in the expenditure side of the Gross Domestic Product less two productivity percentages which limits a distributors distribution revenues unless the distributor is actually able to obtain the efficiency gains.

Page 5 of 10

Interrogatory #4

Why does Milton Hydro refuse to hold a public meeting in order to explain the need for an

increase to the Milton ratepayers?

Response:

Milton Hydro has filed its Application in accordance with the OEB Rules of Practice and

Procedures ("the Rules"). The Rules provide for three levels of participation. In particular Part

III - Proceedings, Section 22. Levels of Participation, sets out the levels of public participation

available to any person. Sections 23 to 25 provide for Intervenor Status; Public Comment; and

Observer Status. Milton Hydro submits that the Rules provide for Public participation in its Rate

Application.

# Interrogatory #5

Milton Hydro enjoys over a million dollar profit. Will profits increase with this request for an increase?

## Response:

Milton Hydro's IRM3 Application provides for an increase to existing rates of 0.18% which amounts to approximately \$23,000 of additional distribution revenue. Profit is dependent on productivity.

# Interrogatory #6

Was this request for an increase approved by the Milton Hydro Board of Directors?

## Response:

Milton Hydro's Board of Directors is aware of the proposed rate increase as filed with the OEB. The OEB have the final approval of the Application.

Filed: November 15, 2011

Page 8 of 10

Interrogatory #7

Could you explain "deemed" debt in terms of its origin? It is my understanding, and correct me

if I am wrong, but interest on this debt is paid to the Town of Milton. Debt is created when there

is a tangible exchange of "property". What did Milton Hydro receive from the Town of Milton?

Response:

Deemed debt is the regulated amount of debt that a distributor may include in the calculation of

its regulated return which is used to calculate revenue requirement for setting rates in a Cost of

Service proceeding. Actual interest only is paid on the actual amount of debt.

Upon incorporation Milton Hydro Distribution Inc. acquired the assets and liabilities of the

previous Milton Hydro-Electric Commission from the Town of Milton in exchange for common

shares and debt. Milton Hydro pays interest, on this debt, to the Town of Milton.

Page 9 of 10

Interrogatory #8

The data provided to substantiate this increase is based on a theoretical model using regression analysis referenced in your application as "mechanistic and formulaic adjustment to distribution rates". What evidence is there that this model is accurate?

Response:

The models used in Milton Hydro's IRM3 Application were prepared by OEB Staff and used by other electricity distributors in the preparation of IRM3 applications. In the event a distributor encounters an error, it is reported to OEB Staff for correction. By the time a distributor files the models they have generally been thoroughly tested.

Milton Hydro Distribution Inc. EB-2011-0183 2012 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rate Application

Responses to Ms J. Shewchun Interrogatories Filed: November 15, 2011

Page **10** of **10** 

Interrogatory #9

Milton Hydro states that the distribution to notify the ratepayer was done in a free Publication

circulated to each household and apartment in the Town of Milton. What evidence is there that

newer apartment buildings, condos and residential households are receiving this publication?

Would you agree that a better system of notifying ratepayers of rate increases would be a

notification inserted with the monthly bill before the rate increase is filed, as is done to notify

ratepayers of increases after they are approved? Isn't public input an important input when

seeking a rate increase?

Response:

Milton Hydro submitted evidence of circulation of the Milton Canadian Champion in its 2011

Cost of Service Application in response to the OEB Procedural Order No. 3 issued December 7,

2010. The number of copies circulated at the time exceeded the number of Milton Hydro

Residential customers thereby providing ample coverage.

Milton Hydro filed its Application under the OEB Rules of Practice and Procedures.

Milton Hydro agrees that public input is important and would refer to its response to

Interrogatory #4 above.