

Hydro One Networks Inc., 8th Floor, South Tower, 483 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5

ATT: Glen MacDonald, Senior Advisor, Regulatory Research and Administration

March 5, 2008.

Dear Mr. MacDonald,

Energy Cost Management Inc (ECMI) Interrogatories on EB-2007-0681 – Hydro One Networks' 2008 Distribution Rate Application Evidence Update Filing, as updated February 20 2008.

ECMI's interrogatories on the above noted evidence are attached below.

Yours truly,

Original signed by R. White

Roger White President

Cc Board Secretary OEB

Registered intervenors and observers

Energy Cost Management Inc (ECMI) Interrogatories

on

EB-2007-0681 – Hydro One Networks' 2008 Distribution Rate Application Evidence Update Filing, as updated February 20 2008.

ECMI Interrogatory #1

Does the statement "Any new customer satisfying the criteria for ST classification, will be classified as an ST account "include a delivery point to an LDC?

Feb 20 2008 ref Exhibit G2 Tab 94 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 8 Page 3476 of February 2008 pdf

- If the answer to ECMI interrogatory #1 is no, what does it mean, and what would happen to a new LDC delivery point? a) b)

How many of the 358 embedded LDC delivery points as referenced in Table 9 are delivery points as Wholesale Market Participant delivery points?
Feb 2008 ref
Ref G1
Tab 2
Schedule 3
9 of 9
Page 1556 of Feb 2008 pdf

- a) How many of the 358 embedded LDC delivery points as referenced in Table 9 are delivery points which are Wholesale Market Participant delivery points through ISO approved delivery point (using current standards for such metering) have resulted in under billing by the applicant due to metering errors?
- b) Please provide the amount in dollars, and
- c) the number of delivery point locations involved in such incidences.

- a) How many of the 358 embedded LDC delivery points as referenced in Table 9 are delivery points which are Wholesale Market Participant delivery points through ISO approved delivery point (using current standards for such metering) have been involved in theft of power by the LDC resulting in losses by the applicant?
- **b)** Please provide the amount in dollars and
- c) the number of delivery point locations involved in such incidences

- a) How many of the 358 embedded LDC delivery points as referenced in Table 9 are delivery points which are Wholesale Market Participant delivery points through ISO approved delivery point (using current standards for such metering) have resulted in a requirement for the applicant to provide surety or similar guarantees to the ISO?
- **b)** Please provide the amount in dollars and
- c) the number of delivery point locations involved in such incidences

- a) How many of the 358 embedded LDC delivery points as referenced in Table 9 are delivery points which are Wholesale Market Participant delivery points through ISO approved delivery point (using current standards for such metering) have been abandoned by the LDC and resulted in a loss in revenue to the applicant (excluding those which were delivering power to LDC's acquired by the applicant or point which where such action was at the request of the applicant)?
- **b)** Please provide the amount in dollars, and
- c) the number of delivery point locations involved in such incidences

ECMI Interrogatory #8 What is the basis of the 500kW demand? Feb 2008 ref Ref G1 Tab 2 Schedule 3 8 of 9 Page 1555 of Feb 2008 pdf

The revised revenue requirement from the current rates in Table 1 for the proposed Sub Transmission class is \$64.2m. If the ST class were split into LDC and retail over500kWseparate classes please provide the values for the expanded table.

Table 9
Exhibit G1
Tab 2
Schedule 3
Page 9 of 9
Page 1572 of Feb 2008 pdf

The revised revenue requirement from proposed rates for the proposed Sub Transmission class is \$27.4m. If the ST class were split into LDC and retail over 500kW separate classes please provide the values for the expanded table.

Table 9
Exhibit G1
Tab 2
Schedule 3
Page 9 of 9
Page 1572 of Feb 2008 pdf