

**J. Mark Rodger**  
T (416) 367-6190  
F (416) 361-7088  
mrodger@blg.com  
**John A.D. Vellone**  
T (416) 367-6730  
F (416) 361-2758  
jvellone@blg.com

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street W  
Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 3Y4  
T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749  
blg.com



December 13, 2011

**Delivered by Email**

Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
Suite 2701  
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: CANDAS Application - OEB File No.: EB-2011-0120**

We write on behalf of Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited ("THESL") with respect to the Ontario Energy Board's (the "Board") Decision and Order dated December 9, 2011 (the "Order"). THESL has carefully reviewed the Board's Order, and expects to be able to respond to the following items pursuant to the Order by December 23, 2011:

- "Therefore, the Board will order THESL to:
  - a) Confirm whether THESI has a distribution pole attachment agreement with Cogeco in respect of One Zone attachments to poles that are to be transferred to THESL. If so,
  - b) Explain whether THESL will be bound by any existing contract between THESI and Cogeco? If so, produce the relevant agreement.
  - c) If the answer to (b) is no, provide a copy of any agreement which will govern the relationship between THESL and Cogeco in respect of the One Zone attachments on poles which are transferred from THESI to THESL.
  - d) If there is no agreement, explain what arrangements will govern the relationship between THESL and Cogeco in respect of the One Zone attachments on poles which will be transferred from THESI to THESL.
  - e) Provide an estimate of the number of THESI poles which will be transferred to THESL and which have One Zone attachments."<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Board's Order, p. 10.

- “The Board will however require THESL to provide a list of all the types of wireless attachments used for electricity distribution and the technical information for a representative sample of each type of wireless attachment.”<sup>2</sup>
- “The Board therefore orders THESL to:

Provide an estimate of the percentage of the total number of applications for wireless attachments rejected for (i) administrative; (ii) operational; and (iii) safety reasons;

In the case of those applications for wireless attachments rejections for reasons relating to safety, indicate the specific nature of the safety concern that resulted in rejection.”<sup>3</sup>

As detailed in the affidavit of Ivano Labricciosa sworn November 15, 2011 and submitted in THESL’s motion materials in respect of the Order, satisfying the remaining requests made pursuant to the Order will require significant time and resources. THESL will be unable to complete the efforts required in order to satisfy these requests by December 23, 2011, but undertakes to use best efforts to generate the requested information as soon as possible. THESL seeks the Board’s indulgence in this regard. For ease of reference, the remaining items in this respect are the following:

- “If THESL intends to make a claim of privilege, the Board will require THESL to produce a list of the documents for which a claim of privilege is being made and the grounds upon which the claim is being made.”<sup>4</sup>
- “The Board will therefore require THESL to produce the information and material requested in CANDAS IR 1(h) and CCC IR 1.”<sup>5</sup>
  - *CANDAS IR 1(h): Were any presentations (oral or in writing) made to the THESL Board of Directors in relation to any of the subjects discussed in the THESL Letter, prior to the letter being filed with the Ontario Energy Board ("Board")? If yes, provide particulars of any oral presentations and copies of any written presentations, including, without limitation, power points, notes, memoranda, executive summaries and any similar writing.*
  - *CCC IR 1: Please provide copies of all reports, analyses, written communications, including email, with respect to the policy referred to in the letter of August 13, 2010. Please include copies of all reports to THESL’s management and board of directors with respect to that policy.*
- “The Board will order THESL to:

---

<sup>2</sup> Board’s Order, p. 12

<sup>3</sup> Board’s Order, p. 16.

<sup>4</sup> Board’s Order, p. 5.

<sup>5</sup> Board’s Order, p. 7.

- a) identify the parties (including the TTC and One Zone and any other parties with attachments which facilitate wireless communications) that currently have wireless attachments on THESL's poles;
  - b) provide THESL's master agreement with each party;
  - c) identify the price for the wireless attachments (if not covered in b);
  - d) identify the approximate number of attachments for each party; and
  - e) identify whether there are associated wireline attachments for the wireless attachments.”<sup>6</sup>
- “The Board therefore orders THESL to:
    - a) provide copies of all reports including incident reports, analyses and communication, in support of the contention that wireless attachments impair operations efficiency and present incremental safety hazards to electricity distribution; and
    - b) provide copies of all reports, analyses, and communications, reporting on the issues described in paragraphs 42 to 46, of Ms Byrne's Affidavit.”<sup>7</sup>

Yours very truly,

**BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP**

*Original signed by J. Mark Rodger*

J. Mark Rodger

copy to: Pankaj Sardana and Amanda Klein, THESL  
Applicant and intervenors in EB-2011-0120

JMR/ak

---

<sup>6</sup> Board's Order, p. 9.

<sup>7</sup> Board's Order, p. 15.