

74 Commerce Crescent Tel. (705) 474-8100 P1B 8Y5

P.O. Box 3240 Fax: (705) 495-2756 Administration

North Bay, Ontario Fax: (705) 474-3138 Engineering/Purchasing Fax: (705) 474-8579 Customer Services/Accounting

Fax: (705) 474-4634 Operations

Thursday, December 15, 2011

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Kristen Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd. (EB-2011-0187)

Application for 2012 Electricity Distribution Rates

Responses – D. Rennick Interrogatories

Please find attached a complete copy of the Mr. Rennick's interrogatory responses.

Two hard copies of this submission will be sent via courier. An electronic copy of the response in PDF format will be submitted through the Ontario Energy Board's RESS.

An electronic copy of the response in PDF format will be forwarded via email to the Intervenors as follows:

Donald Rennick

a) Donald Rennick, Independent Participant

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition

- a) Michael Buonaguro, Public Interest Advocacy Centre
- b) Shelley Grice, Econalysis Consulting Services Inc.

Yours truly,

Original signed by

Melissa Wanner, Regulatory Manager North Bay Hydro Distribution Limited (705) 474-8100 (300) mwanner@northbayhydro.com

Manager's Summary – 9) Tax Changes (page 12 of 13)

1. NBHDL intends to use account number 1595 - Recovery of Regulatory Asset Balances to record the difference resulting from the change in the corporate tax rate for 2012. Please indicate the name and number of the other account(s) involved in this entry.

Response:

The other account involved in this entry is account 4080 – Distribution Revenue.

Manager's Summary - 4) LRAM/SSM Cost Claims

1. What was the cost of the report prepared by IndEco Strategic Consulting Inc?

Response:

NBHDL believes this question is outside the scope of the IRM application.

2. What is the estimate of the cost for staff time over the years 2008 – 2010 to assemble, calculate and record the information used by IndEco to verify the LRAM/SSM claims made by NBHDL?

Response:

NBHDL believes this question is outside the scope of the IRM application.

3. Have the carrying charges of \$4,433 included in this claim been recorded in NBHDL records and if they have when were they recorded and what accounts were involved?

Response:

The carrying charges of \$4,433 included in this claim have not been recorded in NBHDL's records as the LRAM claim has not yet been approved by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

Manager's Summary – 6) Deferral and Variance Account Rider – Page 4 of 13

- a) Account 1562 Deferred PILs
- 1. What was the cost of the report prepared by IMBSI?

Response:

NBHDL believes this question is outside the scope of the IRM application.

2. It is my understanding that the deferred PILS account is intended to track a series of differences between what customers pay (PILS embedded in rates) and the actual taxes paid by the LDC.

Appendix 1 - Monthly Continuity Report prepared by IMBSI which arrives at the total principal and interest claim by comparing monthly PILs entitlement included in the rates and the PILs collected from customers on a monthly basis but does not appear to reference any actual liability or payments for income taxes. Please explain how the continuity report provides the result intended of tracking the differences between what customers pay and the actual taxes paid. Have the carrying charges been recorded in the NBHDL records and if they have when were they recorded and what accounts were involved?

Response:

The IMBSI OEB Evidence Package does not reference actual taxes paid. The 1562 – Deferred PILS variance account tracks the differences between the PILS entitlement approved in rates and the actual PILS recovered from customers. In other words, the variance account tracks the difference between the PILS approved to be recovered and the actual PILS recovered as opposed to PILS paid. The annual SIMPILS true-up models (Appendix 12 to Appendix 16 in the IMBSI report) attempt to true-up some of the differences between the PILS entitlement approved and the PILS paid by the LDC.

With respect to the carrying charges or interest improvement, these costs were recorded in account 1562; however, with the change in methodology recently approved in the combined PILS proceeding (EB-2008-0381) there have been recent adjustments to the interest values.

D.Rennick Interrogatory Responses 2012 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rates North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd. EB-2011-0187

Page 4 of 10

Below is the 1562 Deferred PILS section from the Accounting Procedures Handbook for

reference:

1562 Deferred Payments In Lieu of Taxes

A. This account shall record the amount resulting from the Board approved PILs

methodology for determining the 2001 Deferral Account Allowance and the PILs

proxy amount determined for 2002 and subsequent years. The amount

determined using the Board approved PILs methodology will be recorded equally

over the applicable PILs period. The 2001 PILs Deferral Account Allowance

should be recorded in three equal installments in October, November and

December for utilities with a December 31, 2001 taxation year end. For a full

year each applicable proxy will be divided by 12, and a monthly amount should

be posted for each applicable period.

B. Any entries resulting from the PILS Deferral Account Allowance will be

effective at the end of a utility's taxation year (December 31) and any entries

from the pass-through of variances between the Deferral Account Allowance and

the actual results reflected in a utility's tax filing (e.g. to the Ministry of Finance for

payments in lieu of tax) will be effective as of the filing deadline (i.e. tax returns

are due six months after year end).

C. The distributor shall use, on a consistent basis, one of the three accounting

methods approved for recording entries in this account in accordance with the

Board's accounting instructions for PILs as set out in the April 2003 issued

Frequently Asked Questions on the APHandbook.

D. Adjustments shall be made to this account as directed by the Board.

E. Any amounts included rates shall be debited/credited back to this account at

the time of billing or other account specified by the Board.

F. Carrying charge amounts shall be calculated using simple interest applied to

the monthly opening debit or credit balances in the account (exclusive of

accumulated interest) and recorded in a separate sub-account of this account.

For the period up to April 30, 2006, the distributor shall use a rate of interest

equal to its deemed debt rate set out in Chapter 3 of the 2000 Electricity

D.Rennick Interrogatory Responses 2012 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rates North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd. EB-2011-0187

Page 5 of 10

Distribution Rate Handbook, Table 3-1. Effective May 1, 2006, the rate of interest shall be the rate prescribed by the Board for the respective quarterly

period.

Note: Account 1562 relates to the rate periods that ended on or before April 30,

2006. For rate periods starting on or after May 1, 2006, the distributor must

apply the requirements of Chapter 7 of the Board's 2006 Electricity Distribution

Rate Handbook, Report of the Board, applicable accounting guidance (e.g.

Frequently Asked Questions) and other requirements the Board may specify.

Account 1592 shall be used for these purposes.

c) Group 1 Accounts

1. Please explain how the write off of carrying charges can result in an increase in the closing

interest amount balances due from ratepayers.

For example, the North Bay_2012_IRM_Rate_Generator_20111014.xls - Tab 9 - Column BA

shows an increase in closing interest amounts for Account # 1588 of \$543,960. This is the

amount of carrying charges, shown in the Manager's summary - Table 6, to be written off

following the Board's decision to disallow certain Group 1 and Group 2 balances. Please explain

and indicate the accounts affected in recording this entry.

Response:

The adjustments referenced in Table 6 are in relation to a regulatory proceeding

undertaken by NBHDL in 2009. NBHDL was required to correct its RSVA balances after

the results of an external audit; in some accounts the correction to carrying charges that

resulted from corrected principal balances resulted in an increase in carrying charges.

Further information on this specific regulatory proceeding can be found in EB-2009-0113

and information on the 2008 RSVA balances can also be found in NBHDL's Cost of

Service (COS) application EB-2009-0270, exhibit 9.

2012 IRM3 Shared Tax Savings Workform.xls - Z Factor Tax Changes tab

1. The 2012 tax payable amount resulting from applying the 2012 Federal and Provincial tax rates to the 2010 COS taxable income figure has been grossed up to calculate the difference tax savings between 2010 and 2012.

It is counterintuitive that a reduction of almost 4% in the tax rate would result in higher taxes. Please provide details of NBHDL's reasoning behind the rationale for grossing up the tax impact figure. The explanation given in the Manager's summary (page 12 of 13) is not clear.

My calculation of a tax saving of \$112,570 follows.

1. Tax Related Amounts Forecast from Capital Tax Rate Changes	2010		2012
Taxable Capital	\$ 44,105,306	\$	44,105,306
Deduction from taxable capital up to \$15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	\$	15,000,000
Net Taxable Capital	\$ 29,105,306	\$	29,105,306
Rate	0.150%		0.000%
Ontario Capital Tax (Deductible, not grossed-up)	\$ 21,650	\$	-
2. Tax Related Amounts Forecast from Income Tax Rate Changes	2010		2012
Regulatory Taxable Income	\$ 2,313,638	\$	2,313,638
Corporate Tax Rate	28.72%		24.79%
Tax Impact	\$ 664,477	\$	573,557
Grossed-up Tax Amount	\$ 664,477	\$	573,557
Tax Related Amounts Forecast from Capital Tax Rate Changes	\$ 21,650	\$	-
Tax Related Amounts Forecast from Income Tax Rate Changes	\$ 664,477	\$	573,557
Total Tax Related Amounts	\$ 686,126	\$	573,557
Incremental Tax Savings		-\$	112,570
Sharing of Tax Savings (50%)		-\$	56,285

Response:

NBHDL spoke with Board staff prior to submitting the IRM application and were advised to input the same regulatory taxable income from its 2011 IRM and allow the model to calculate (and gross up) the total tax amount which is used in the calculation of the incremental tax savings; it is NBHDL's understanding that the model reflects Board policy

D.Rennick Interrogatory Responses 2012 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rates North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd. EB-2011-0187 Page 7 of 10

on this issue. For further information on the Board's policy with regards to this issue, please refer to the "Supplemental Report of the Board on 3rd generation incentive regulation – September 17, 2008". For ease of reference, the following link is provided to access the referenced Board report:

http://www.oeb.gov.on.ca/OEB/_Documents/EB-2007-0673/Supp_Report_3rdGen_20080917.pdf

Appendix K – LRAM – 3rd Party Review - IndEco Strategic Consulting Inc.

1. Appendix A - Please explain how the LRAM (2012\$) amounts in this appendix were calculated from the figures supplied in the other columns.

Response:

The calculation of LRAM follows the following basic formula:

LRAM claim

= $Units \times Annual\ energy\ savings \times (1 - \% free\ riders) \times electricity\ distribution\ rate \times (Measure\ life,\ or\ span\ of\ LRAM\ claim,\ whichever\ is\ smaller) + carrying\ charges$

The units, annual energy savings, %free riders and measure life are supplied in Appendix "A" of IndEco's 3rd party review report. The electricity distribution rates for each rate class are found in Table 4 of IndEco's 3rd party review report. The heading 'LRAM (2012\$)' in the table referenced refers to the fact that LRAM in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 are carried forward into 2012 dollars by applying appropriate carrying charges, which are calculated using deferral and variance account interest rates provided by the Board.¹

As an example, the calculation of the LRAM claim for the second line item found in IndEco's Appendix "A" Table 7 is provided below. This line item consists of 67 chest freezers installed in 2008 within the residential rate class, each with an annual energy savings of 740 kWh per year. A total of 48% of these installs were free riders. LRAM was calculated between January 1 2008 and April 30 2012.

http://www.oeb.gov.on.ca/OEB/Industry/Rules+and+Requirements/Rules+Codes+Guidelines+and+Forms/Prescribed+Interest+Rates

¹ For prescribed interest rates, see

Quarter	Year	Energy savings per quarter	Energy rate \$/kWh	LRAM (yeardollars)		Carrying charges per quarter
Q1	2008	6,453	0.0112	\$72	1.29%	\$1
Q2	2008	6,453	0.0112	\$72	1.02%	\$1
Q3	2008	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.84%	\$2
Q4	2008	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.84%	\$2
Q1	2009	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.61%	\$2
Q2	2009	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.25%	\$1
Q3	2009	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.14%	\$1
Q4	2009	6,453	0.0112	\$72	0.14%	\$1
Q1	2010	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.14%	\$1
Q2	2010	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.14%	\$1
Q3	2010	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.22%	\$2
Q4	2010	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.30%	\$3
Q1	2011	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.37%	\$4
Q2	2011	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.37%	\$4
Q3	2011	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.37%	\$4
Q4	2011	6,453	0.0127	\$82	0.37%	\$5
Jan 1 to Apr 30	2012	8,604	0.0127	\$109	0.49%	\$7
LRAM				\$1,343		
Total carrying charges						\$41
Total LRAM claim (2012\$)						\$1,384

2. Presuming the "Measure life" column in this appendix is expressed in years; please explain the line item on page 13 of the report that indicates that Air conditioner/Furnace filters installed in 2008 with a measure life of 1 year continue to produce KWh savings in 2012. Additionally, a number line items on page 24 and page 28 of the report indicate that measures were installed in 2009 with measure lives of 1 year and are also shown as producing KWh savings in 2012.

Response:

The line item referenced refers to air conditioner/furnace filters installed in 2008 with a measure life of 1 year. An LRAM was only claimed for this measure for one year (between January 1 and December 31 2008). This measure did not produce kWh savings beyond 2008 and thus an LRAM was not calculated for this measure beyond December 31 2008. The column in the referenced table with the heading 'Annual energy savings' refers to the annual savings achieved only during the life of the measure.

The LRAM for this measure was calculated as shown in the table below. An equivalent calculation was done for the other line items mentioned.

Quarter	Year	Energy savings per quarter		LRAM (yeardollars)		Carrying charges per quarter
Q1	2008	679	0.0112	\$7.6	1.29%	\$0.10
Q2	2008	679	0.0112	\$7.6	1.02%	\$0.16
Q3	2008	679	0.0112	\$7.6	0.84%	\$0.19
Q4	2008	679	0.0112	\$7.6	0.84%	\$0.25
Q1	2009	0	0	\$0	0.61%	\$0.19
Q2	2009	0	0	\$0	0.25%	\$0.08
Q3	2009	0	0	\$0	0.14%	\$0.04
Q4	2009	0	0	\$0	0.14%	\$0.04
Q1	2010	0	0	\$0	0.14%	\$0.04
Q2	2010	0	0	\$0	0.14%	\$0.04
Q3	2010	0	0	\$0	0.22%	\$0.07
Q4	2010	0	0	\$0	0.30%	\$0.09
Q1	2011	0	0	\$0	0.37%	\$0.11
Q2	2011	0	0	\$0	0.37%	\$0.11
Q3	2011	0	0	\$0	0.37%	\$0.11
Q4	2011	0	0	\$0	0.37%	\$0.11
Jan 1 to Apr 30	2012	0	0	\$0	0.49%	\$0.15
LRAM				\$30		
Total carrying charges						\$2
Total LRAM claim (2012\$)						\$32