IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Hydro One Networks Inc. for an order or orders approving the use of USGAAP commencing in 2012.

INTERROGATORIES

FROM THE

SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION

- 1. [Ex. B/3/1, p.13] Please confirm that the Applicant uses the same capitalization policies in its distribution and transmission businesses, and proposes to continue to do so. If there are material differences in the capitalization policies between the two businesses, either now or planned, please provide an explanation of those differences.
- [Ex. C/1/2] Please confirm that this exhibit and attachments set out all material impacts on rates of moving to USGAAP rather than MIFRS. Please confirm that there are no material impacts on pension and OPEB amounts charges to rates that either would arise under MIFRS and not under USGAAP, or would arise under USGAAP and not under MIFRS.
- 3. [Ex. C/1/2, Attach. 2 and 3] Please confirm that Attachment 2 assumes a distribution revenue requirement in 2012 of \$1.020 million under USGAAP and \$1.186 million under MIFRS. Please confirm that Attachment 3 assumes that the distribution revenue requirement under MIFRS remains the same for 2013-2016 (i.e. \$1.186 million), but that distribution revenue requirement under USGAAP increases annually by about 1.4%. Please confirm that in the case of both MIFRS and USGAAP the calculation assumes no rate increases due to cost pressures or other changes in revenue requirement (other than these accounting changes).

Submitted by the School Energy Coalition this 22 nd	day of December, 2011.
	 Jav Shepherd