

Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge St 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

February 1, 2012

Dear Ms. Walli,

Re: <u>Halton Hills Hydro Inc. Technical Conference Responses to School Energy Coalition (SEC)</u> in proceeding EB-2011-0271

Halton Hills Hydro Inc. ("HHHI") hereby submits its responses to SEC Technical Conference Questions to the Ontario Energy Board ("the Board").

Please find attached to this cover letter:

- 2 paper copies of the Technical Conference Responses to SEC in proceeding EB-2011-0271;
- 1 electronic copy of the Technical Conference Responses to SEC in proceeding EB-2011-0271.

A copy of the Technical Conference Responses to SEC has also been filed through the Web Portal and electronic copies forwarded to all intervenors in EB-2011-0271.

In the event of any additional information, questions or concerns, please contact David Smelsky, Chief Financial Officer, at dsmelsky@haltonhillshydro.com or (519) 853-3700 extension 225, or Tracy Rehberg-Rawlingson, Regulatory Affairs Officer, at tracy@haltonhillshydro.com or (519) 853-3700 extension 257.

Sincerely,

(Original signed)

David J. Smelsky, CMA Chief Financial Officer Halton Hills Hydro Inc.

Cc: Arthur Skidmore, President & CEO, HHHI Richard King, Counsel to HHHI Intervenors in proceeding EB-2011-0271

[This page left intentionally blank]

Halton Hills Hydro Inc. EB-2011-0271 Responses to SEC Technical Conference Questions

1. [SEC #22]

Please explain the variance between the 2011 OM&A spending (based on a 12 month projection of the year-to-date spending as of November 30, 2011) and the 2011 budgeted amount contained in the Application.

The 2011 values reported are January to November preliminary numbers subject to year end audit adjustments. Further, Table SEC 2-1 is prepared under CGAAP whereas the 2011 Bridge Year is prepared under MIFRS.

2. [SEC #26]

SEC will seek further clarification and details at the Technical Conference.

Please see Exhibit 4, Appendix B of the Application for Affiliate Service Agreements. HHHI has continued to follow the methodology reviewed in HHHI 2008 Cost of Service Rate Application proceeding EB-2007-0696.

3. [EP #49d]

Has the Applicant updated its revenue requirement to account for changes to the in-service date of various capital projects?

Please refer to HHHI response to Energy Probe Technical Conference question #1.

February 1, 2012 1 of 1