



**Natural Resource Gas Limited**  
39 Beech St. E., PO Box 307, Aylmer On N5H 2S1

March 15, 2011

**VIA EMAIL & COURIER**

Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
Suite 2601  
Toronto, Ontario  
M4P 1E4

**Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary**

Dear Ms. Walli:

**RE: EB-2012-0069**

Please find attached our response to Board Staff questions with respect to the above Application.

These have been emailed to the interveners in proceeding EB-2010-0018.

Yours truly,  
**Natural Resource Gas Limited**

Per: Laurie O'Meara  
Controller

Enclosure

**RESPONSE TO BOARD STAFF QUESTIONS**  
**NRG QRAM Application EB-2012-0069**

In the EB-2011-0392 Decision and Order dated December 21, 2011, the Board directed NRG to make the appropriate adjustment in the Purchased Gas Commodity Variance Account ("PGCVA") to ensure that \$21,139.90 was refunded to ratepayers in the next QRAM application. In the current application, NRG has indicated that it has reduced the cost paid to NRG Corp. for PGCVA calculation purposes. Please provide a schedule that shows the adjustment of the refund to ratepayers.

The following table shows the adjustments made to the cost of gas paid to NRG Corp. for PGCVA purposes in October, November and December, 2010 as a result of the Board's directive in EB-2011-0392. The original costs paid to NRG Corp. for these months for PGCVA calculation purposes are shown in Schedule 3 of the EB-2011-0301 QRAM filing. On that schedule these costs are shown on the Local Production (A) line in the Total Gas Cost (\$) section.

The costs included in the current filing reflect the adjusted costs for these months. The reduction in cost of \$21,140 has been carried forward into the March, 2011 balance shown at the bottom of Schedule 2 of the current filing. This can be seen by comparing the March, 2011 balance of (\$147,946.86) shown in the Y-T-D PGCVA column on Schedule 2 of EB-2011-0392 with the March, 2011 balance brought forward of (\$126,807.04) shown in footnote (1) of Schedule 2 in the current proceeding. The difference is a reduction of \$21,139.82 in the PGCVA to be recovered from customers.

	<u>October 2010</u>	<u>November 2010</u>	<u>December 2010</u>	<u>Total</u>
Original Cost (1)	25,629	37,920	17,741	81,290
Adjusted Cost	<u>15,162</u>	<u>27,996</u>	<u>16,992</u>	<u>60,150</u>
Difference	10,467	9,924	749	21,140

(1) As shown in EB-2011-0301 Schedule 3