



Commission de l'Énergie
de l'Ontario
C.P. 2319
26e étage
2300, rue Yonge
Toronto ON M4P 1E4
Téléphone: 416-481-1967
Télécopieur: 416-440-7656
Numéro sans frais: 1-888-632-6273

Ontario Energy
Board
P.O. Box 2319
26th. Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto ON M4P 1E4
Telephone: 416-481-1967
Facsimile: 416-440-7656
Toll free: 1-888-632-6273

BY PRIORITY POST


March 4, 2002

Ms. Marita Morin
Secretary-Treasurer
Chapleau Hydro Electric Commission
110 Lorne Street South,
P.O. Box 670
Chapleau, ON
P0M 1K0

Dear Ms. Morin:

Re: Chapleau Hydro Electric Commission
March 2002 LDC Rate Adjustments
Board File No. RP-2002-0042/EB-2002-0051

The Board has today issued its Decision and Order in the above matter and an executed copy is enclosed herewith.

Yours truly,

Peter H. O'Dell
Assistant Board Secretary

Encl.



RP-2002-0042
EB-2002-0051

**IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act,
1998, S.O. 1998, c.15 (Schedule B);**

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by
Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation for an order or
orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates.

BEFORE: Paul Vlahos
Vice Chair and Presiding Member

George Dorniny
Vice Chair and Member

DECISION AND ORDER

On December 21, 2001 the Ontario Energy Board ("the Board") issued filing guidelines to all electricity distribution utilities for the March 1, 2002 distribution rate adjustments. Supplemental instructions were issued on January 18, 2002. Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation ("the Applicant") filed an Application ("the Application"), dated January 25, 2002, for an order or orders under section 78 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* approving or fixing just and reasonable rates for the distribution of electricity, effective March 1, 2002.

The Board published a generic Notice in newspapers across Ontario informing ratepayers of the distribution rate adjustments to be effective March 1, 2002 and providing the opportunity for ratepayers to participate in the proceeding or comment on the utility's application. In response to the Board's generic Notice,

the Board received a total of 148 submissions in the form of a letter, facsimile, or e-mail. The total may be apportioned to the following four categories:

- 100 were copies of a template submission seeking an oral hearing, wanting to make oral submissions, claiming that rates are not just and reasonable. Another 9 were of the same template but indicated they did not wish to make an oral submission.
- 10 indicated that there should be an oral hearing and wanted to make a submission.
- 11 indicated that there should be an oral hearing but did not wish to make an oral submission.
- 18 made substantive submissions.

In some cases the submission named a specific electricity distributor, in other cases it did not. The Applicant was not named in any of the submissions.

By letter dated February 11, 2002 the Board directed electricity distributors to serve the Notice to the municipal corporation in the distributors' service area. No submissions were received.

The Applicant applied to adjust its distribution rates for the following:

- Input Price Inflation (IPI) and Productivity Factor as provided for in the Performance Based Regulation (PBR) Plan.
- the second of three installments of the utility's incremental Market Adjusted Revenue Requirement (MARR), \$43,807.

- the 2001 deferred Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILs), \$4,997.
- the 2002 Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILs), \$18,475.
- a change in the Applicant's late payment penalty and a provision for the revenue losses incurred by this change, \$11,023.

Copies of the Application and supporting material are available for review at the Board's offices.

While the Board has considered all of the evidence filed in this proceeding, the Board has only referenced the evidence to the extent necessary to provide background to its findings.

Board Findings

As noted above, a number of persons have written to the Board requesting that the Board hold an oral hearing in the matter of the applications by electricity distributors.

Under subsection 5.1(2) of the *Statutory Powers Procedure Act*, the Board shall not hold a written hearing where a party satisfies it that there is good reason not to hold a written hearing, in which case the Board will proceed by way of an oral or electronic hearing. Good reasons for proceeding by way of an oral hearing may include the existence of questions of credibility in which the Board will be assisted by the ability to observe the demeanor of witnesses or the complexity of evidence which parties should have the ability to test through cross-examination. Another good reason may be where an oral hearing would allow the Board to more expeditiously deal with an application.

The persons who have requested an oral hearing have not cited any such reasons but have in most cases merely stated that "the rates are not just and reasonable" and that they "would like the opportunity to present to the Board on this matter".

The current proceeding is an extension of the process undertaken by the Board to restructure Ontario's electricity distribution industry. To facilitate this work, the Board developed a regulatory framework that was the result of extensive consultation and public hearings. The current applications are the result of this framework, which is largely formulaic and includes for the first time the provision for the legislative requirement of PILs.

Persons have received an opportunity to make their concerns known to the Board through the published Notice which invited written submissions on the applications. The Board notes that a written hearing is a public process in which all documents received by the Board are available to the public. The Board further notes that most of the issues raised by the submissions of the persons requesting an oral hearing are outside of the scope of the Board's jurisdiction in this proceeding. For example, some persons raised issues of privatization of electricity services and limitations in international trade agreements on the ability of the government to make changes to Ontario's electricity system in the future. These are not relevant to the Board's duty in this proceeding to approve just and reasonable rates for an individual distributor regulated by the Board.

Therefore, the Board has decided not to hold an oral hearing in this matter.

The Board adjusts the Applicant's proposals for the following reasons. The Applicant did not calculate the capital cost allowance used in the 2001 and 2002 PILs calculations correctly. As a result,

- the 2001 deferred Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILs) amount was adjusted to correct for an understatement of \$71.
- the 2002 Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILs) amount was adjusted to correct for an overstatement of \$848.


Subject to these adjustments, the Board finds that the Applicant's proposals conform with the Board's earlier decisions, directives and guidelines and the resulting rates are just and reasonable.

THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:

- 1) The rates set out in Appendix "A" of this Order are approved effective March 1, 2002.
- 2) The Applicant shall notify its customers of the rate changes coincident with the first bill reflecting the new rates.

DATED at Toronto, March 4, 2002.

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD



Peter H. O'Dell
Assistant Board Secretary