



April 24, 2012

BY MAIL and RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319  
27th Floor  
2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Veridian Connections Inc., Notice of Motion to Review  
Board File No. EB-2011-0199**

---

55 Taunton Road East

Ajax, ON L1T 3V3

**TEL (905) 427-9870**

**TEL 1-888-445-2881**

**FAX (905) 619-0210**

[www.veridian.on.ca](http://www.veridian.on.ca)

Further to the Notice of Motion filed by Veridian Connections Inc. ("Veridian") on April 11, 2012 in EB-2011-0199 in which the ground for the motion was regulatory inconsistency, Veridian has recently learned of another pertinent Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") Decision that it wishes to reference.

In its Decision in Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc.'s ("Enersource") 2012 rates proceeding (EB-2011-0100) the Board approved the recovery of lost revenues for 2010, which included the persistence of CDM savings from programs that were implemented prior to Enersource's 2008 cost of service rebasing. Specifically, the Board wrote:

*"The Board will approve Enersource's revised LRAM claim of \$860,339, representing lost revenues arising from the persistence of 2005-2009 CDM programs in 2010 and lost revenues from 2010 CDM programs in 2010. In general, the Board is of the view that LRAM is accruable until new rates (based on a new revenue requirement and load forecast) are set by the Board, as the savings would be assumed to be incorporated in the load forecast at that time. However, as set out in the Settlement Agreement and the transcript from the oral hearing in EB-2007-0706, in which the Settlement Agreement was accepted by the Board, it is apparent that the intent was to remove the CDM effects from the load forecast and defer consideration of those CDM effects to a future LRAM proceeding. As such, the Board is of the view that it is appropriate to deviate from the 2008 CDM Guideline and approve the LRAM recovery sought by Enersource in this application. The Board approves a one year disposition period, May 1, 2012 to April 30, 2013."*

Veridian submits that, just as Enersource, Veridian's intent was to remove the impacts of CDM from its load forecast. Further, both Enersource and Veridian's load forecasts included implicit CDM impacts, yet Enersource's LRAM recovery was granted and Veridian's was denied. For these reasons, we submit that this serves as further evidence of inconsistent decision making and that Veridian should be given the same treatment as Enersource was.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

*Original signed by*

George Armstrong  
Vice President, Corporate Services

The power to make your community better.

Veridian Connections is a wholly owned subsidiary of Veridian Corporation

