

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998,
S.O. 1998, c. O.15, Sch. B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enbridge Gas
Distribution Inc. for an Order or Orders approving or fixing
rates for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas
commencing January 1, 2008.

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Union Gas Ltd.
("Union Gas") for an Order or Orders approving or fixing rates
for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas
commencing January 1, 2008.

AND IN THE MATTER OF a combined proceeding of the
Board pursuant to section 21(1) of the Ontario Energy Board
Act, 1998.

**RESPONSES TO THE WRITTEN INTERROGATORIES OF THE
LONDON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, THE
WHOLESALE GAS SERVICE PURCHASERS GROUP AND THE
BUILDING OWNERS AND MANAGERS ASSOCIATION OF THE
GREATER TORONTO AREA ON THE
EVIDENCE OF DR. ROBERT LOUBE ON THE TAX CHANGE ISSUE**

**SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF CANADA
THE VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION AND
AND THE CITY OF KITCHENER**

Interrogatory 1

Please provide a copy of the Jack M. Mintz article "Corporate Tax Adjustments and the
Determination of Electricity Rates in Ontario" that is referred to in footnote 7 of the evidence.

Answer:

Please see the attachment.

**CORPORATE TAX ADJUSTMENTS AND THE DETERMINATION OF
ELECTRICITY RATES IN ONTARIO**

By

Jack M. Mintz
Deloitte & Touche Professor of Taxation
J.L. Rotman School of Management
University of Toronto

And

President and CEO, C. D. Howe Institute

I have been asked to consider how corporate tax adjustments should be reflected in rates charged by local distribution companies to ratepayers. These questions have been discussed as part of a draft being prepared for the tax section (Section 3.5) of the Ontario Energy Board Distribution Rate Handbook.

Specifically, three issues are being reviewed:

- *Disallowed Expenses that are Tax-Deductible:* Some expenses (e.g. political or charitable donations, certain types of employee compensation, etc.) are not deductible from profits in determining rate charges of the local distribution companies. However, for corporate income tax purposes such expenses are deducted and therefore reduce the payments-in-lieu of taxes (“PILs”) paid to the Ontario government by the distribution company. The question is whether the lower income tax payments should be reflected in a) lower rates charged to consumers, or b) increased profits received by the owners of the distribution companies.
- *Eligible Capital Expenditures:* A deduction is provided in the Income Tax Act for cumulative eligible capital expenditures in determining income for tax purposes. Cumulative eligible capital is the tax system’s equivalent of the concept of goodwill in accounting rules. Goodwill is deducted by way of amortization charges annually, but it not recoverable from ratepayers in rates. The question is whether ratepayers or the local distribution corporation benefit

from the tax reductions associated with goodwill, because although it is not an allowable recovery for determination of rates, it increases the cumulative eligible capital deduction for tax purposes.

- *Capital Gains and Losses on the Disposition of Distribution Assets:* When assets are disposed, the sale of depreciable assets may be used to reduce the undepreciated capital cost of a class of assets, resulting in a reduction in capital cost allowance deductions for tax purposes. However if the undepreciated capital cost is reduced to zero, or if the asset is a non-depreciable asset, the corporation must report income reflecting capital gains (losses) if the sale price is above (below) the (original) cost basis of the asset. The question raised is whether the tax adjustment should be shared between the ratepayers and the shareholder, and whether the treatment of the gain or loss itself (who receives or pays the net gain or loss) should impact on the treatment of the tax increase or decrease resulting from the gain or loss.

In evaluating these issues, the critical issue that I shall address is whether corporate taxes are recovered

- a) by companies charging higher prices to consumers;
- b) by reducing payments as profits to shareholders; or
- c) by reducing salaries and wages paid to employees.

To provide an answer, the next section provides some background material on regulatory, tax and other issues that related to the setting of rates. In the following section, I review the heart of the issue, which is the “economic incidence” of corporate income and capital taxes, which is defined more exactly below. The final section applies the theoretical and empirical understanding of corporate tax issues to the specific issues outlined above.

Some Background

Under the current regulatory regime in Ontario, the local electricity distribution companies, most of which are owned by provincial or municipal governments, are able to recover from ratepayers operating expenses, interest expense, depreciation, taxes and formula-based profit. Amounts are to be established for 2006 based on 2004 information with adjustments.

Tax payments would include any fixed charges such as payroll taxes. They would also include an amount that is estimated for corporate income and capital tax payments that are payable. The distribution companies owned by provincial or municipal governments, being non-taxable, are now required by Ontario legislation to make payments in lieu of taxes (PILs), using the same rules as are followed by any taxable Canadian corporation according to the federal and Ontario Income Tax Acts.

The PILs are remitted to the Ontario government in order to help reduce the stranded debt created by the government’s requirement to finance Ontario Hydro, which was previously responsible for the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity in Ontario.

Energy consumers in Ontario also pay a Debt Retirement Charge for electricity use to reduce the stranded debt. It is intended that, between the PILs payments, and the Debt Retirement Charge, the stranded debt will eventually be paid in full. One unique point that is important to the analysis below regarding economic incidence is the following one. PILs used to reduce the stranded debt lower dollar for dollar the future Debt Retirement Charge levied on electricity prices. In other words, any reduction in PILs should mean higher electricity charges paid by energy consumers in the future in order to reduce the stranded debt.

It is important to note that there is a significant difference between income, as determined for tax purposes, and corporate profit, as measured for accounting (Canadian GAAP) purposes. For example, companies for tax purposes depreciate assets at a different rate (capital cost allowances) than that provided for accounting purposes, especially when governments provide accelerated depreciation for assets such as in the case of energy conservation equipment. The proposed 2006 electricity distribution rate model would recognize these differences by calculating taxes according to the capital cost allowances provided by the Income Tax Act rather than requiring distribution companies to calculate their tax liabilities based on accounting depreciation. Thus, the PILs calculations will use expected actual tax liabilities to determine rates charged to consumers, rather than adjusting the taxes to reflect accounting depreciation.

Similarly, other differences between taxable income and accounting profit are not relevant in determining PILs and therefore rates to be charged to consumers. These

include, for example, dividends received from other corporations. Dividends paid from corporation to another are typically exempt from taxation, since such dividends have already borne tax paid by the company prior to distribution of the dividend. Accounting profits, however, would include such dividends in revenues. Another example is losses incurred in prior years, which are deductible in calculating taxable income but are not relevant in determining annual accounting profits. Other such differences between taxable and accounting profits are also not applied in determining PILs. The calculation of corporate income taxes in determining rates charged to consumers relies on the deductions and inclusions for tax purposes, and ignores the different deductions and inclusions used to calculate accounting income.

Further, differences between taxable capital for determining capital tax payments and the book value of assets (or liabilities and shareholders' equity) are not relevant in determining PILs associated with the capital taxes that are payable to the federal and Ontario governments. For example, accounts payable of less than a year are not included taxable capital but would be included in the book liabilities of the company. In calculating rates, the tax rule rather than the accounting rule is used. Also, for capital tax purposes, corporations can deduct an allowance for investments in other companies on the presumption that such assets would already be subject to capital tax imposed on subsidiaries. That deduction is not available for accounting purposes.

Thus, there is no prima facie reason why specific items as referenced in the introduction, such as disallowed expense deductions for regulatory purposes, goodwill for cumulative

eligible capital and capital gains and losses on the disposition of distribution assets, would not be treated the same way. If the Income Tax Act allows them to be deducted in calculating tax, like other deductions the tax rules would, it appears, be the most obvious ones to use. After all, there are many differences between taxable and accounting profits and assets. Consistency suggests that all such differences be resolved using the same principle.

In order to determine whether the general rule current in place (ie. follow the tax rules) should apply, one needs to deal with the overall question of principle. Ultimately, that question is one of incidence – in other words, who actually bears the corporate tax – shareholders, employees or consumers – a point to which I now consider in the next section.

Economic Incidence of the Corporate Tax

As a start, it is important to recognize the difference between legal and economic incidence. Legally, corporations are responsible to pay corporate income and capital taxes to governments. However, in an economic sense, the true bearers of the corporate tax may be consumers, who pay higher prices, employees, who receive less compensation, and shareholders, who receive lower profits.

Often we think of the corporate income tax as a payment made on income earned by shareholders of the company. While this is not strictly true, since it is actually paid by the company, not the shareholder, it theoretically reduces the amount of profits available

to be distributed to shareholders. Thus, it is reasonable to think of corporate taxes as reducing income earned by shareholders. This approach assumes that prices and employee compensation are fixed, and any changes in revenues must be borne by the shareholder.

Alternatively, one might think of corporate taxes as if they are no different from other costs that must be passed on by a business in order to remain profitable. Following this paradigm, without higher prices charged to consumers or lower employee compensation, the corporate tax would reduce income paid to investors, who would no longer wish to invest their funds since they could derive better risk-adjusted returns on investments in other assets like foreign corporate shares, real estate or government treasury bills. This approach assumes that investment returns are fixed in the market, and any change in revenues must be adjusted either in prices or in labour costs.

The economic literature on “who pays the corporate tax” does not provide a demonstrably clear theoretical argument (Whalley [1987]). One view is that the corporate tax is shifted forward to consumers through higher prices to recover all costs. An alternative view is that corporate tax falls on owners of the business who are unable to shift their capital investments to other assets with more favourable returns. Economists are not agreed on the correct answer to be applied in all cases, or even whether there is such a universally applicable answer.

Indeed, as pointed out by the report of the Technical Committee on Business Taxation¹, the argument is quite complicated, since the corporate tax has a number of different functions in different circumstances.

One function is that the corporate tax withholds income from investors who would otherwise be able to escape payment of personal income taxes by leaving assets in a corporation that would be untaxed on its income if no corporate tax existed. Thus, the incidence of the corporate tax falls on the saver – a result that is especially consistent with small corporate businesses that are set up by investors to hold assets.

Another view is that the corporate tax withholds income payable to foreign corporate investors, such as those in the US, UK and Japan, who would be able to credit Canadian corporate income taxes against liabilities owing to their resident governments. Thus, the incidence of the Canadian corporate tax falls on foreign governments that receive less tax due to tax crediting.

A final view is that the corporate tax on large corporations falls most heavily on consumers of products and services sold by the corporations. Since large corporations must raise capital from international markets, including the listing of shares in the US and UK financial markets, the cost of finance is determined by investors in international markets. Those investors seek after-tax returns on Canadian investments that are at least as good as in other countries. If the return on Canadian investments is less than that

¹ Report of the Technical Committee on Business Taxation, Finance Canada, Ottawa, 1998. The author of this paper chaired that committee, and was principal author of its final report.

received from other markets, Canadian companies cannot raise capital at favourable terms and must forgo investment. Thus, the true incidence of the Canadian corporate tax is to fall on consumer prices on products and services sold to Canadians or on factors like land that are internationally immobile, since the corporate tax cannot be passed on to shareholders in international markets who will shift their investment funds to alternative investments.

Overall, no clear case can be made regarding shifting. However, as the Technical Committee on Business Taxation noted in its report (p. 3.21):

“One might thus expect the corporate income and capital taxes on large corporations to be substantially shifted to immobile factors – labour and, to a lesser extent, land....

Studies have shown that there is some segmentation in equity capital markets internationally – prices of equity securities of Canadian business are somewhat sensitive to developments in both foreign and Canadian markets. The implication of these studies is that the rate of return on capital is affected to some degree by the supply of Canadian savings so that the corporate income tax can be shifted back in part to owners of capital”.

Empirically, the corporate tax is far more likely to be shifted forward in higher prices charged to consumers than shifted back to owners of capital given the openness of Canada’s markets to international trade and investment.

Local distribution companies are neither small nor foreign-owned companies. They are, however, owned primarily by provincial or municipal governments. Taxes paid by the distribution companies could be a) reflected in higher electricity charges or b) passed back to the owners – the government bodies. Given that governments seek to earn a risk-adjusted rate of return on their local distribution company investments commensurate with other investments, including buying back their risk-free debt, it would seem that corporate taxes in general are viewed as recoverable costs.

This latter point is also consistent with the general approach used for regulation. PILs that are paid to the Ontario government are generally treated as costs of doing electrical business. The tax payments are therefore included in determining the rates to be charged. Further, PILs paid by local distribution companies that result in higher rates charged to consumers, are used to retire Ontario Hydro's debt related to electrical generation and distribution. The consumers make up for the higher rate charges by paying lower Debt Retirement Charges that are added on to the cost of electricity bills in order to pay off the stranded debt. Thus, the PILs are ultimately borne by the consumers who are provided an offset in the Debt Retirement Charge ultimately assessed on the consumers. If consumers pay more in PILs, they will pay less in future Debt Retirement Charges, and vice versa.

Application of Economic Incidence to Tax Adjustments

Given the above discussion, it would seem that there is no need to make any adjustment to actual tax calculations in order to determine rates charged to consumers. If the

economic incidence of the corporate tax falls on consumers, higher PILs payments would be expected to result in higher electricity prices. That is in fact the case. It follows that if a tax deduction results in lower taxes (PILs) paid by the local distribution company, it should result in a lower rate charged to consumers on the basis of the same principle. Given that the Ontario government then receives a smaller PILs payment, it will need to assess a higher future Debt Retirement Charge on the consumers as an offset. Application of the principle has a practical effect. Ultimately, consumers are no better or worse off, and neither are the distribution companies, who pass on taxes in rates.

However, these conclusions apply to corporate and capital taxes in general, not to specific items.

In that regard, I would conclude with respect to the contested issues that corporate income or capital tax adjustments should be consistently applied. Rates should be raised to reflect tax costs or not at all, or some combination of both to reflect economic incidence.

Given that generally corporate income and capital taxes paid by local distribution companies are treated as costs and therefore result in higher rate charges paid to consumers, I see no reason to differentiate these specific tax impacts from application of this general principle.

- Deductions given for tax purposes, even if disallowed for regulatory purposes, will result in lower PILs paid by the companies. These tax reductions should be passed on to the consumer as lower rates, just as in the case of other tax provisions. This would apply to political and charitable donations, executive compensation payments, and any other corporate expenditure that generates a tax deduction but are not recoverable from ratepayers in rates.
- The same principle should apply to eligible capital deductions, which should be applied to reduce rates to the ratepayers.
- In the case of capital gains or losses on the disposition of distribution assets, the general principle should be applied that would result in increases and decreases in taxes resulting from these amounts increasing or decreasing rates to ratepayers. I note that this approach is not at all considered as an alternative (pages 8 and 9 of Section 3.5 of the Handbook in the draft I saw) which only allows a 50 percent passing of the benefits to ratepayers or not at all.

In conclusion, therefore, I suggest that no adjustment to PILs are necessary and that rates should be reflect actual payments expected to be made by the local distribution companies to the Ontario government.

References

Technical Committee on Business Taxation, *Report*, Finance Canada, Ottawa, 1998.

Whalley, John, *The Incidence of the Corporate Tax Revisited*, Working Paper 97-7, Technical Committee on Business Taxation, Finance Canada, 1997.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Jack Maurice MINTZ

PERSONAL DATA:

Date of Birth: March 6, 1951

Married to: Eleanor née Schwartz with 2 children, Avi and Gaela.

Address: 305 Hillhurst Blvd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M6B 1M9.

Telephone: 416-978-3985 (University of Toronto)
and 416-978-0002 (University of Toronto fax).
416-865-1904 (C.D. Howe Institute) and 416-865-1866 (C.D. Howe Institute fax).
416-783-1432 (home) and 416-782-7915 (home fax).

EDUCATION:

1. 1980, Doctorate of Philosophy, University of Essex, Colchester, England.
2. 1974, Master of Arts, Economics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, First Class.
3. 1973, Honours Bachelor of Arts, Economics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, awarded with distinction.

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

1. 1989 – Present, Deloitte & Touche LLP Professor of Taxation, Joseph L. Rotman School of Management with cross-appointment in the Department of Economics, University of Toronto.
2. 1999 – 2004, President and Chief Executive Officer, C.D. Howe Institute, Canada.

3. 1996 – 1997, Clifford Clark Visiting Economist Department of Finance and Chair, Technical Committee on Business Taxation.
4. 1993 – 1995, Associate Dean (Academic), Faculty of Management, University of Toronto.
5. 1989, Professor of Economics, Department of Economics, Queen's University, Kingston.
1984 – 1989, Associate Professor (with tenure).
1978 – 1984, Assistant Professor.
6. 1986 (January – June), Visiting Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Carleton University.
7. 1984 (August) – 1985 (December), Department of Finance, Government of Canada – Special Advisor to Assistant Deputy Minister – Corporate Tax Research.
8. 1981, 1985 – Visiting Researcher, CORE, Belgium, sponsored by Institute of Management, Belgium.
9. 1974 (September) – 1975 (August), 1976 (July – August), Economic Council of Canada - Consultant - Financial Markets Group.
10. 1971, 1972, 1973 (Summers), Budget Bureau and Fiscal Planning, Alberta Government.
11. Consultant to the World Bank; OECD; IMF; Peat Marwick, Washington; Finance Canada; Justice Canada; Government of Saskatchewan, Ontario, New Brunswick, British Columbia and Alberta; PricewaterhouseCoopers, Washington and Toronto; C.D. Howe Institute; Conference Board of Canada; Ernst and Young; International Finance Corporation; Harvard Institute of International Development; Economic Council of Canada; Ontario Teachers Pension Plan, Union Gas, Stikeman Elliot, Canadian Chamber of Commerce, Thomson Corporation, Retail Council of Canada

SCHOLARLY and PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

1. 2002 – Director, Atlantic Council Canada
2. 2002 – Director, Royal Ontario Museum Foundation

3. 2002 – Director, Brascan Corporation
4. 2003 – Director, Ontario Financing Authority
5. 2002 – Member, National Statistics Council, Statistics Canada
6. 1999 – Present, Research Fellow, CESifo, University of Munich, Germany.
7. 1998 – 2002, Board of Governors, National Tax Association, Washington D.C.
8. 1994 – Present, Board of Editors, Contemporary Accounting Research.
9. 2002 – Present, Board of Editors, International Tax and Public Finance.
10. 1993 – 2001, Editor-in-Chief, International Tax and Public Finance.
11. 1998 – 2003, Board member, Jewish Federation/United Jewish Appeal of Greater Toronto.
12. 1999 – Present, Minister's Advisory Committee, Canada Customs and Revenue Agency.
13. 1984 – Present, Advisory Council Member, John Deutsch Institute.
14. Member, Scientific Committee, International Institute of Public Finance, 1995 – 2000 Conferences.
15. 1993 – Present, Co-Director, International Centre for Tax Studies, University of Toronto.
16. 1987 – 1989, Director, John Deutsch Institute.
17. 1987 – 1989, Executive Member, Institute of Intergovernmental Relations.
18. Associate, Centre for International Studies, University of Toronto.
19. Associate, Institute for International Business, University of Toronto.
20. Associate, Institute for Policy Analysis, University of Toronto.

PUBLICATIONS: REFEREED JOURNALS

“Conduit Entities: Implications of Indirect Tax-Efficient Financing Structures for Real Investment”, *International Tax and Public Finance*, August, 2004 (forthcoming)

“Taxing Financial Activity”, *Bulletin*, International Bureau of Fiscal Documentation, Vol. 58, No. 3, 2004, pp. 99-111.

“Corporate Tax Harmonization in Europe: It’s All About Compliance”, *International Tax and Public Finance*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2004, pp. 221-234.

“Income shifting, investment, and tax competition: theory and evidence from provincial taxation in Canada” *Journal of Public Economics*, with Michael Smart, 88 (6), 2004, pp. 1149-78.

“Corporate Tax Harmonization in the European Union: the Use of Allocation”, *International Tax and Public Finance*, with J. Weiner, Vol. 10, No. 6, 2003, pp. 695-712

“The Optimal Threshold for VAT”, with M. Keen, *Journal of Public Economics* 88, 2004, pp. 559-576.

“An Exploration of Formula Apportionment in the European Union”, with Joann Martens Weiner, *European Taxation*, Special Issue, Vol. 42, No. 8, 2002, pp. 346-351.

“Taxes and Fiscal instruments in the New Economy”, *ISUMA*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2002, pp. 107-112.

“Tax-exempt Investors and the Asset Allocation Puzzle”, with Michael Smart, *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 83, No. 2, 2002, pp. 195-215.

“National Tax Policy and Global Competition”, *Brooklyn Law Journal*, XXVI (4) 2001, pp. 1285-1302.

“The Deductibility of Provincial Business Taxes in a Federation with Vertical Fiscal Externalities”, with Bev Dahlby and Sam Wilson, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 2000, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 677-694.

“Globalization of the Corporate Income Tax: The Role of Allocation”, *FinanzArchiv*, 1999, pp. 56, 389-422.

“Economic Aspects of Personal Income Tax Coordination, with Finn Poschmann, *Canadian Tax Journal*, 1999, Vol. 47, No. 4, pp. 902-926

“International Implications of the Report of the Technical Committee on Business Taxation”, *National Tax Journal*, Proceedings, 1999, pp. 149-154.

“Funding Canada's Health Care System: A Tax-based Alternative to Privatization”, with M. Gordon and D. Chen, *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 159:493-501, 1998.

“Tax of Foreign Capital in the Mediterranean Region”, *Transnational Corporations*, Vol. 6, No. 2 (August, 1997), 51-94.

“The Measuring Effective Tax Rate in the Presence of Multiple Inputs: A Production-Based Approach”, with K. McKenzie and K. Scharf, *International Tax and Public Finance*, Vol 4 (3), 1997, 337 - 360.

“The Thorny Problem of Implementing New Consumption Taxes”, *National Tax Journal*, Vol. XLIX (3), 1996, pp. 461-74.

“The Corporation Tax: A Survey”, *Fiscal Studies*, Vol. 16. No. 4, 1995, pp. 23-68. Reprinted in *The Economics of Tax Policy*, edited by M. Devereux, Oxford University Press, London, 1996, pp. 127-188.

“Transfer Pricing Rules and Corporate Tax Competition”, with R. Elitzur, *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 60 (3), 1996, 401-422.

“Optimality Properties of Alternative Systems of Taxation of Foreign Capital Income”, with Henry Tulkens, *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 60 (3), 1996, 373-400.

“Realization and Revenue Effects of Lifetime Capital Gains Exemptions”, with Tom Wilson, *Canadian Public Policy*, Supplement, Nov. 1995, S174-S192.

“U.S. Interest Allocation Rules: Effects and Policy”, with Roseanne Altshuler, *International Tax and Public Finance*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1995, pp 7-36.

“Future Developments in Tax Policy”, with Richard Bird, *Federal Law Review*, Vol 22, No. 3, 1994, 402-413.

“Is There a Future for Capital Income Taxation”, *Canadian Tax Journal*, Vol. 42, No. 6, 1994, 1469-1503.

“Options for the Goods and Services Tax”, with Tom Wilson, *Canadian Business Economics*, Vol. 3, No. 1, Fall 1994, 27-36.

“On the Effectiveness of Corporate Tax Incentives for Foreign Investment in the Presence of Tax Crediting: An Application to Central-Eastern European Countries”, with Tom Tsiopoulos, *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 55, 1994, pp. 233-255.

“On the Taxation of Multinational Investment when the Capital Exporting Country Uses the Deferral Method”, with C. Leechor, *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 51, May 1993, pp. 75-96.

“Contrasting Corporate Tax Policies: Canada and Taiwan”, *Canadian Tax Journal*, with Thomas Tsiopoulos, Vol. 42, No. 4, 1992, 902-917.

“Wealth Taxation in Canada: An Introduction”, with J. Pesando, *Canadian Public Policy*, 17(3), 1991, 227-36.

“The Role of Wealth Taxes in the Overall Tax System”, *Canadian Public Policy*, 17(3), 1991, 248-63.

“Cash Flow or Income: The Choice of the Company Tax Base”, with J. Seade, *World Bank Economic Observer*, 6(2), 1991, 177-90.

“Risk and Economic Policy”, *Canadian Public Policy*, September 1990, 298-307.

“Alternatives to the Goods and Services Tax”, with Peter Dungan and Thomas A. Wilson, *Canadian Tax Journal*, Vol. 38, No. 3, 1990, 644-665.

“Tax Holidays and Investment”, *World Bank Economic Review*, 4, No. 1, 1990, 81-102.

“An Empirical Estimate of Refundability and Effective Corporate Tax Rates”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, February 1988, 225-31.

“The Effective Tax Rates on Mining Industries”, with R. Boadway, N. Bruce, and K. McKenzie, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, February 1987, 1-17.

“Commodity Tax Competition Among Members of a Federation”, with H. Tulkens, *Journal of Public Economics*, May 1986, 29, 133-72.

“Public Production and Shadow Pricing in a Model of Disequilibrium in Labour and Capital Markets”, with M. Marchand and P. Pestieau, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 1985, 36(2), 287-256.

“The Role and Design of the Corporate Income Tax”, *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, 1984, 86, 286-299.

“Shadow Pricing Labour and Capital in an Economy with Unemployed Labour”, with M. Marchand and P. Pestieau, *European Economic Review*, 1984, 25, 239-252.

“Taxation, Inflation and the Effective Marginal Tax Rate on Capital in Canada”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 1984, 17 (1), 62-79.

“On the Neutrality of Flow of Funds Corporate Taxation”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, *Economica*, 1983, 50, 49-62.

“Neutral Corporate Taxation, Risk Taking and Optimal Profit Taxation”, *Recherches Economiques de Louvain*, 1982, 48(2), 107-132.

“Corporate Taxation and the Cost of Holding Inventories”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, May 1982, 278-93.

“A Note on Multiproduct Economies of Scale and Economies of Scope”, *Economic Letters*, 1982, 8, 29-33.

“Some Additional Results on Investment, Risk Taking and Full Loss Offset Corporate Taxation with Interest Deductibility”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Nov. 1981, 631-42.

PUBLICATIONS: BOOKS and MONOGRAPHS

Most Favored Nation: Building a Framework for Smart Economic Policy, Policy Study 36, C.D. Howe Institute, 2001. Reviewed in “Comments on Most Favored Nation,” *Canadian Tax Journal*, 2002, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 1320-1353.

D. Chen, J. Mintz, K. Scharf and S. Traviza, *Taxation of Virgin and Recycled Material: Analysis and Policy*, Canadian Council of Ministers of Environment, Winnipeg, 1995.

Dividing the Spoils: The Federal-Provincial Allocation of Taxing Powers, with Irene Ip, C.D. Howe Institute, Toronto, 1992.

Taxation of Mining Capital in Canada, Centre for Resource Studies, Kingston, Ontario, with R. Boadway and K. McKenzie, 1989.

Taxes on Capital Income in Canada: Analysis and Policy, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1987.

The Measure of Rates of Return of Canadian Banks, Economic Council of Canada, 1979, pp. 144.

PUBLICATIONS: BOOKS or MONOGRAPHS EDITED

Jack Mintz and James E. Pesando, *Putting Consumers First - Reforming the Canadian Financial Services Industry*, C.D. Howe Institute, Toronto, 1996.

Jack Mintz and Stephen R. Richardson, eds. Canadian Experience of the Lifetime Capital Gains Exemption, *Canadian Public Policy*, Supplement, Nov. 1995.

Infrastructure, Productivity and Competitiveness, edited with R. Preston, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1994.

Who Pays the Piper: Canada's Social Policy, edited with R. Hogg, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1994.

Capital Budgeting in the Public Sector, edited with R. Preston, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1993.

Tax Policy in Turbulent Times, edited with R. Hogg, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1993.

Tax Effects on the Financing of Medium and Small Public Corporations, edited with R. Hogg, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1992.

Taxation to the Year 2000 and Beyond, with R. Bird, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1992.

“Wealth Taxation in Canada”, with J. Pesando, *Canadian Public Policy*, 17(3), 1991.

Takeovers and Taxes, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1991.

Public Sector Management, ed. with Gordon Cassidy, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, Ontario, 1990.

Economic Impacts of Tax Reform, ed. with J. Whalley including "Introduction" by editors, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1989.

Rent Controls: The International Experience, ed. with Richard Arnott, John Deutsch Institute, 1988.

Policy Forum on *Rent Controls in Ontario*, ed. with Richard Arnott, John Deutsch Institute, 1988.

Policy Forum on *Macroeconomic Policy Issues*, ed. with Douglas D. Purvis, John Deutsch Institute, 1988.

The Business Transfer Tax, ed. with R. Boadway, John Deutsch Institute, 1987.

The Impact of Taxation on Business Activity, ed. with D. Purvis, including “Introductory Survey”, John Deutsch Institute, 1987.

Report of the Policy Forum on the Corporate Income Tax System, ed. with D. Purvis, John Deutsch Institute, December 1986.

Policy Forum on *Tax Reform and the Consumption Tax*, John Deutsch Institute, 1985.

PUBLICATIONS: INVITED

“Growth and Taxes: Some Implications for Developing Economies”, *Public Finance*, in *Developing and Transitional Economies*, Edward Elgar 2003, 205-311, edited by J. Martinez-Vazquez and J. Alm.

“Income Trusts and Shareholder Taxation: Getting it Right” with Lalit Aggarwal, *Canadian Tax Journal*, 2004 (forthcoming).

“If Taxes Fall, Does Health Care Suffer?” with Yvan Guillemette, *C. D. Howe e-brief*, June 2004.

“The 2004 Business Tax Outlook: Lowering Business Taxes Would Spur Investment”, *C. D. Howe e-brief*, February 2004.

“Is the Debt War Over? What have we Learnt?” *Is The Debt War Over? Dispatches from Canada’s Fiscal Frontline*”, prepared for IRPP Conference, Montreal, 2004, pp. 351-357.

“Brooking no Favorites: A New Approach to Regional Development in Atlantic Canada”, *C. D. Howe Commentary*, December 2003.

“Cashing Out Profits: Approaches to Dividend Taxation”, *Tax Notes International*, July 2003, pp. 255.

“A Comparison Between Property And Casualty Insurance Taxation in Canada and Other G-7 Countries”, with Duanjie Chen, *Tax Notes International*, reprinted from *Tax Notes Int’l*, 7 July 2003, p. 47

“Taxing Investments: On the Right Track, But at a Snail’s Pace”, with Duanjie Chen, *C. D. Howe Backgrounder*, 72, June 2003.

“Focus on the Future: A Shadow Federal Budget for 2003”, with Finn Poschmann and William B. P. Robson, *C. D. Howe Backgrounder*, 69, February 2003.

“Building a Framework for Smart Economic Policy”, with Sergio Traviza, *National Visions and Strategies*, edited by Chin-Seung Chung and Jin Park, KDI School of Public Policy and Management, OECD & World Bank, pp. 339-358, January 2003.

“How Canada’s Tax System Discourages Investment,” with Duanjie Chen, *C. D. Howe Commentary*, 68, January 2003.

“Why Tax Point Transfers Will Strengthen Canada,” with Michael Smart, *The CRIC Papers*, Sharing the Wealth: Choices for the Federation, September 2002

“Funding Public Provision of Private Health: The Case for a Copayment Contribution through the Tax System,” with S. Aba and W. Goodman, *C. D. Howe Commentary*, 163, May 2002; reprinted in *Health Law in Canada*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp 85-100, June 2002; also in *Current Tax Reading* (2002), Vol. 50, No. 4.

“Sharing the International Tax Base in a Changing World, with Richard M. Bird, by Sijbren Cnossen and Hans-Werner Sinn, Essays in Honour of Richard Musgrave, *Public Finance and Public Policy in the New Century*, MIT Press, 2000, pp 405-446,ed..

“Productivity and Demography: Twin Challenges for Canadians in the Twenty-First Century,” *Canadian Conundrums*, View from the Clifford Clark Visiting Economists, 2002, pp. 49-61.

“Tax Policy as a Contribution to Canada’s Economic Advantage”, *The TD Forum on Canada’s Standard of Living*”, 2002.

“Preserving Control: Canada and the International Market for Corporate Acquisitions”, with Shay Aba, *C. D. Howe Institute Backgrounder*, September 2002.

“Industry Issues, Taxation, SMEs and Entrepreneurship”, with Duanjie Chen, Frank C. Lee, *OECD, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, STI Working Papers*, 2002/9.

“European Company Tax Reform: Prospects for the Future”, *CESifo Forum*, Spring 2002, pp. 3-9.

“Why Canada needs to improve productivity: Countering an economic Slowdown”, Keynote luncheon address, *Proceedings from IBC’s Financial Affairs Symposium, Emerging Issues for P & C Insurers*, March 27, 2002, pp. 24-32.

“Taxation of Financial Intermediation Activities in Hong Kong, with Stephen R. Richardson, *Tax Notes International*, Vol. 25, No. 7, February, 2002, pp. 771-796

“Taxation with the Least Pain: A New Tax Structure for Canada”, *Tax Reform in Canada, Our Path to Greater Prosperity*, edited by Herbert G. Grubel, Fraser Institute, 2003, pp. 41-51.

“Taxing Future Consumption”, *The State of Economics in Canada: Festschrift in Honour of David Slater*, Center for the study of Living Standards and the John Deutsch Institute for the study of Economic Policy edited by Patrick Grady and Andrew Sharpe 2001, pp. 79-94.

“Taxes, Efficiency and Economic Growth, with Thomas A. Wilson, *The State of Economics in Canada: Festschrift in Honour of David Slater*, Center for the study of Living Standards and the John Deutsch Institute for the study of Economic Policy, edited by Patrick Grady and Andrew Sharpe 2001, pp. 95-133.

“Time to Settle the Tax Issue for the Resources Industry, *C. D. Howe Institute Backgrounder*, 2001.

“Smart Sovereignty: Canadian Prosperity in an Integrating World Economy”, *Canadian Speeches*, Vol. 15, Issue 2, May/June, 2001, pp. 41-46, (Canadian Club speech)

“Some Reflections on the Budget Process and the Fiscal Issues Confronting Canada”, *The 2000 Federal Budget: Retrospect and Prospect*, Policy Forum Series 37, pp. 195-204.

“Growth and Taxes: Some Selected Topics”, *Public Finance in Developing and Transitional Countries*, Essays in honour of Richard Bird, Edited by Jorge Martinez-Vazquez and James Alm, Georgia State University, USA, Studies in Fiscal Federalism and State-Local Finance, pp 205-231, 2003.

“A Tax Treaty for Canada and Hong Kong?” Commentary, *Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada*, No. 19, March 2001.

“Premium and Capital Taxes Impact Negatively on Canadian Property and Casualty Insurance Industry”: Duanjie Chen and Jack Mintz, *Tax Notes International*, Vol. 22. No. 12, March 2001, pp. 1415-1431.

“A Eulogy for the Average Tax Rate in Investment Equations”, in J. Hines, *Studies in International Taxation*, University of Chicago Press, 2001, pp. 9-38.

“Cooperation and the Design of Federal Institutions: an Economic Perspective”, with Henry Tulkens, 2003, *Autonomie, solidarité et coopération*, pp.467-489

“Withholding Taxes on Income Paid to Non-Residents: A Canada-US Border Irritant”, *C.D. Howe Institute Backgrounder*, 2000.

“Economic, Tax and Subsidy Policy in the Telecommunications Industry”, Jack Mintz and Duanjie Chen, 2000, *The Canadian Telecommunications Policy Forum*, pp. 39-46.

“Government Policy and the Canadian Advantage”, 2000, *Canadian Business Economics*, Vol. 8, 2, pp. 6-9.

“Recent Developments in Tax Coordination: A Panel Discussion by Bev Dahlby, Robert Henry, Michael Keen and David E. Wildasin”— Rapporteurs: Jack M. Mintz and Michael Smart, *Canadian Tax Journal*, 2000, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 389-444.

“Tax Assignment in Canada: A Modest Proposal”, with Richard M. Bird, in *The State of Federation 1999/2000*, pp. 262-292, ed. by H. Lazar, Institute of Intergovernmental Relations.

“Corporations and Taxation: A Largely Private Matter?” with Robert D. Brown and Thomas A. Wilson, in an *NBER* volume, *Concentrated Corporate Ownership*, edited by Randall Morck and Ron Daniels, 2000 pp. 105-136.

“Reforming the Tax Cut Agenda”, *Canadian Tax Journal*, 2000, Vol. 48, 3, pp. 689-709.

“Will the Corporate Income Tax Wither”, with D. Chen, *World Tax Conference Proceedings*, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, Ontario, 2000.

“Capitalizing on Cuts to Capital Gains Taxes”, with Thomas A. Wilson, *C.D. Howe Institute Commentary* No. 137, 2000.

“The 2000 Federal Budget: Is Canada Missing the Boat?” *C.D. Howe Institute Background*, March 2000.

“Region-State, Nation-State: Policy-Wise, it Doesn’t Much Matter, in *Policy Options*, January-February, 2000, 22-24. See also Ontario: Exploring the Region-State Hypothesis, Colloquium Proceedings, Department of Political Science, University of Toronto.

“Why Canada Must Undertake Business Tax Reform Soon”, *C.D. Howe Institute Background*, November 4, 1999. See also: Emerging Issues for P & C Insurers, Insurance Bureau of Canada, Toronto, March 2000, pp. 25-28.

“Taxing Issues with Privatization: A Checklist,” with Duanjie Chen and Evangelia Zorotheos, *Institute for International Business*, Rotman Working Paper Series, IIB paper no. 6, 04/99.

“The Future of Canadian Tax Policy or ‘What the Minister of Finance can Look Forward to’”, in Canadian Tax Paper No. 104, *Rationality in Public Policy: Retrospect and Prospect, A Tribute to Douglas G. Hartle*, ed. By Richard M. Bird, Michael J. Trebilcock, and Thomas A. Wilson, Canadian Tax Foundation, 1999, pp. 61-78.

“Canada-U.S. Treaty Interest Withholding Rates: Revenue and Policy Considerations”, prepared by PricewaterhouseCoopers on behalf of the Cross-Border Coalition on Interest Withholding, (primary authors are Jack M. Mintz, Larry Chapman, Nick Pantaleo, Peter R. Merrill, Cathy Koch and Carol A. Dunahoo), *Tax Notes International*, April 1999.

“Is National Tax Policy Viable in the Face of Global Competition?” *Tax Notes International*, 1999, (presented to *Great Minds for Great Business Lecture and Symposium “Sustaining Canadian Growth in the Global Economy: What can Business and Governments do?”*, October 22-23, 1998, Rotman School of Management).

“Business Tax Reform Key to Improving Canada’s Economic Performance”, *Canadian Business Economics*, Vol. 7, No. 1, February 1999, pp. 26-28.

“Room to Manoeuvre: Rapporteur’s Remarks”, in *Room to Manoeuvre?: Globalization and Policy Convergence*, Proceedings of a conference held at Queen’s University 5-6 November 1998, ed. by Thomas J. Courchene, The Bell Canada Papers on Economic and Public Policy, John Deutsch Institute for the Study of Economic Policy, McGill-Queen’s University Press: Montreal and Kingston, 1999, pp. 445-459.

“Tax Reform, Tax Reduction: The Missing Framework”, with Finn Poschmann, *C.D. Howe Institute Commentary*, The Taxation Papers, No. 121, February 1999, pp. 1-40.

“Business Tax Structure and Economic Growth”, with J. Sargent, *Business Tax Reform*, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1998.

“A Comment by Jack Mintz” in “The Report of the Technical Committee on Business Taxation (the Mintz Report): A Panel Discussion by Michael P. Devereux, Roger Gordon, and John Helliwell, with a Comment by Jack Mintz”, *Canadian Tax Journal*, Vol. 46, No. 6, 1998, pp. 1273-1277.

“Tax Incentives and Comparative Advantage”, with Paul Halpern, in *Competitive Industrial Development in the Age of Information: The Role of Cooperation in the Technology Sector*, ed. by Richard J. Braudo and Jeffrey G. MacIntosh, Routledge, New York, 1998, pp. 73-92.

“Economics are Relevant and Could be More So”, *Policy Options*, September 1997, in the Symposium on “Is Economics Still Relevant”, pp. 25-27.

“Tax Reform in Canada and China – what China can learn from Canada”, *China Tax Reform*, edited by Jinyan Li, China Economics Press, Beijing, China, 1997.

“Policy Perspectives on Capital Market Issues”, in *Capital Market Issues*, ed. by P. Halpern, University of Calgary Press, 1997, pp. 729-752.

“Private Provision of Income for Retirement”, with T. Wilson, edited by Keith G. Banting and Robin Boadway in *Reform of Retirement Income Policy*, School of Policy Studies, 1997, pp. 209-239.

“Cost of Capital for United States, Japan and Canada”, in *Capital Market Issues*, ed. by P. Halpern, University of Calgary Press, 1997, pp. 220-224.

Gendron, Pierre-Pascal, Jack M. Mintz and Thomas A. Wilson, “VAT Harmonization in Canada: Recent Developments and the Need for Flexibility, in *International VAT Monitor*, Volume 7, Number 6, 1996, IBFD Publications BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 332-342.

“Business Taxation Issues for the 1990’s, in *Income Tax and GST Planning for the Purchase, Sale, and Canada-U.S. Expansion of Business*, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1996, p. 25:1 to 25:10.

“The Federal Deficit: The Taxing Question of Savings: Should Retirement Savings be Taxed”, with T. Wilson, *Perspectives*, Institute of Public Policy Research, June 1996.

“Tax Effects on Investment in Morocco”, with D. Sewell and T. Tsiopoulos, *Tax Notes International*, Vol. 12, Feb. 19, 1996, pp. 573-596.

“Allow Sales Tax Flexibility”, with Stephen Richardson, *Policy Options*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1996, pp. 45-48.

“Realization and Revenue Effects of Lifetime Capital Gains Exemptions”, with Thomas A. Wilson, *Canadian Public Policy* XXI: Suppl: 174-192, 1995.

“Lifetime Capital Gains Exemption”, with Steve Richardson, Department of Finance, Ottawa, 1995. Reprinted in *Canadian Public Policy*, Nov. 1995, Supplement, pp. 1-12.

“Canada's Future Tax Policy”, with Richard M. Bird, *Policy Options*, Vol. 16, No. 5, 1995, pp. 34-38.

“Tax Holidays and Investment”, in *Fiscal Incentives for Investment and Innovation*, ed. by A. Shah, Oxford University Press, 1995, pp. 165-194.

“Corporate Income Taxation and Foreign Direct Investment in Central/Eastern Europe”, with Thomas Tsiopoulos, in *Fiscal Incentives for Investment and Innovation*, ed. by A. Shah, Oxford University Press, 1995, pp. 455-480.

“The Business Transfer Tax as a Consumption Tax”, *Tax Notes International*, January 2, 1995, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 75-86.

“The Future of Tax Policy in Canada: Creeping Back to Tax Reform”, *CABE News*, Summer 1994.

“Little “Fair” in the Fair Tax Commission Report”, *C.D. Howe Institute Commentary*, June 1994, No. 62.

“Sales Tax Harmonization: The Key to Simplification,” with T. Wilson and Pierre-Pascal Gendron, in *Tax Notes International*, March 1994, pp. 661-678.

“Alternatives to the GST”, *Policy Options*, October 1993, pp. 40-43.

“Taxation of Capital in Ontario and Canada: An Interindustry and Interprovincial Comparison”, with D. Chen, in *Business Taxation in Ontario*, edited by Alan Maslove, University of Toronto Press, 1993, pp. 3-42.

“Risk, Taxation and the Social Cost of Electricity”, *Financial/Technical Bulletin*, Supplement to IPPSO FACTO, Oct. 1993, Vol. 7, pp. 1-10.

“Canadian and U.S. Tax Reform Impacts on the Financing of Canadian Subsidiaries of U.S. Parents”, with R. Hogg, in *International Aspects of Taxation*, ed. by A. Giovannini, G. Hubbard and J. Slemrod, University of Chicago Press, 1993, pp. 47-76.

“Differential Taxation of Canadian and U.S. Passenger Transportation”, with K. McKenzie and K. Scharf, in *Directions: The Final Report of the Royal Commission on National Passenger Transportation*, Vol. 4, Supply and Services, Ottawa, 1992, 1645-1698.

“Financial Markets and Taxes: Stories about Lemons, Mangos and Kiwis”, with K. McKenzie and M. Zimnicki, in *Tax Effects on the Financing of Medium and Small Public Corporations*, John Deutsch Institute, 1992, pp. 29-51.

“Competitiveness and Tax Policy: How Does Canada Play the Game”, *1991 Conference Report*, Canadian Tax Foundation, 1992, 5:1-5:14.

“The Allocation of Tax Authority in the Canadian Federation”, with Thomas A. Wilson, *Economic Dimensions of Constitutional Change*, ed. by R.W. Boadway, T.J. Courchene and D.D. Purvis, John Deutsch Institute, 1992, pp. 169-188.

“Tax Effects on the Cost of Capital: A Canada-U.S. Comparison”, with K. McKenzie, in *Canada-U.S. Tax Comparisons*, ed. by J. Shoven and J. Whalley, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1992, pp. 189-216.

“Taxation and its Impact on Market Structure”, with P. Halpern in *Tax Policy to 2000 and Beyond*, ed. by R. Bird and J. Mintz, Canadian Tax Foundation, 1992, pp. 214-268.

“The 1991 Federal Budget, Tax Policy and the Constitutional Crisis”, *The February 1991 Federal Budget*, ed. by M. Prachowny and D. Purvis, John Deutsch Institute, Kingston, 1991, pp. 46-55.

“Taxation and Canada-U.S. Cross-Border Acquisitions”, with P. Halpern, in *Corporate Globalization through Mergers and Acquisitions*, ed. by L. Waverman, University of Calgary Press, Calgary, 1991.

“The Magnitude and Size of Corporate Tax Losses in Canada”, with Graham Glenday, in *Policy Options for the Treatment of Tax Losses in Canada*, Clarkson Gordon Foundation, Toronto, 1991.

“The OECD Convention: A “Model” for Corporate Tax Harmonization?” with H. Tulkens, *Public Finance with Several Levels of Government*, ed. by R. Prud'homme, Foundation Journal, Public Finance, The Hague, 1991.

“Economic Implications of Tax Loss Refundability”, *Policy Options for the Treatment of Tax Losses*, Clarkson Gordon Foundation, Toronto, 1991.

“Taxation of Capital in an Open Economy: Fiscal Aspects of Capital Mobility”, *Revista Hacienda Publica Espanola*, Institute of Fiscal Studies, Spain, 1991.

“The Role of Economic Policy in the Tax Reform Process”, *Retrospective on Public Finance*, ed. by L. Eden, Duke University Press, Durham, 1991, 338-343.

“Taxation of Multinational Investment: The Case of Thailand”, with C. Leechor, Javad Khalilzadeh-Shirazi and Anwar Shah, *Tax Policy in Developing Countries*, The World Bank, Washington, D.C., 1991, 100-124.

“Comment on The Efficiency Cost of Public Expenditure in an Open Economy”, in J. Slemrod, *Taxes and the Open Economy*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1990, 349-56.

“Business Tax Expenditures: Rationale and Measurement Issues”, with V. Jog, in *Tax Expenditures*, John Deutsch Institute, ed. by N. Bruce, Kingston, 1990.

“Corporate Tax Reform and its Economic Impact: An Evaluation of the June 18, 1987 Proposals”, with V. Jog, in *Economic Impacts of Tax Reform*, ed. with J. Whalley, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1989.

“Economic Policy Implications of the Meech Lake Accord”, with R. Boadway and D. Purvis, *Competing Constitutional Visions: The Meech Lake Accord*, ed. by K. Swenton and C. Rogerson, Carswell, Toronto, 1988, 225-337.

“Alternative Views of the Corporate Tax: A Reassessment of the Carter Report Twenty Years Later”, *The Quest for Tax Reform*, ed. by W. Neil Brooks, 1988, 213-38.

“Tax Reform in 1987: What Lies Ahead for 1988 and Beyond?” in *1987 Conference Report*, Canadian Tax Foundation, Toronto, 1987, 3:1-3:14.

“Design of the Business Transfer Tax”, in *The Business Transfer Tax*, John Deutsch Institute, 1987.

“Remarks in the Proposed Minimum Tax”, Report of the Policy Forum on the Federal Budget, ed. by D. Purvis, May 1985.

“Effective Taxation of Mining, Industries in Canada”, with R. Boadway, *Canadian Mining Taxation*, Centre for Resource Studies, Proceedings No. 17, 1985.

“The Effect of Pension Funding on Investment, Savings and Financial Intermediation”, in *Pensions Today and Tomorrow*, by D. Conklin, J. Bennett and T. Courchene, Ontario Economic Council, 1984.

“The Corporate Tax - Should it be Reformed or Abolished?”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, Canadian Tax Foundation, 1983 Conference Volume.

“Discussant Remarks” in *Inflation and the Taxation of Personal Investment Income*, ed. by D. Conklin, Ontario Economic Council, 1982.

“Issues in Public Finance: Reflections on a Conference Held at Queen's University”, with R. Boadway, *Canadian Tax Journal*, August 1982.

“Corporate Taxation in Canada: Towards an Efficient System”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, *Tax Policy Options in the 1980's*, ed. by W. Thirsk and J. Whalley, Canadian Tax Foundation, 1982.

“Mixed Enterprises and Risk Sharing in Industrial Development”, in *Public Enterprise in Less Developed Countries*, ed. by L. Jones, University of Cambridge Press, 1982.

PUBLICATIONS: OTHER SCIENTIFIC

“Ontario’s Fiscal Competitiveness”, with Duanjie Chen, Institute for Competitiveness and Prosperity, 2003

“Industry Issues, Taxation, SMEs and Entrepreneurship” with Duanjie Chen, Frank C. Lee, OECD, *Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, STI Working Papers*, 2002/9.

“Why Canada Needs to Improve Productivity: Countering an economic Slowdown,” Keynote luncheon address, *Proceedings from IBC’s Financial Affairs Symposium, Emerging Issues for P & C Insurers*, March 287, 2002, pp. 24-32.

“Canada's Competitive Advantage: Time to Change the Tax Structure”, *CIB Perspectus*, 1995, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 5-6.

Centre for International Business, *CIB Perspectives*, “Taxing Times for the 1990's”, Vol. 1, October 1993, p. 6; “Savings and Tax Policy”, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1994, pp. 1-2, “Need for Business Tax Reform”, Vol. 6 No. 2 pp. 1-2.

“Business Tax Reform: Necessary Now”, *IIB Perspectives*, October 1998.

Principal author, *Report of the Technical Committee on Business Taxation*, Department of Finance, Ottawa, 1998.

“The Future of Economic Instruments and Waste Management”, Recycling Council of Ontario, 1996.

“Taxing Developments in the Middle East and Northern African Countries”, with D. Chen and R. Malhotra, World Bank discussion paper, forthcoming, 1996.

“Taxation of Capital in North-Western African Countries”, with D. Chen and B. Cherniavsky, World Bank, 1995.

“Taxation of Foreign Direct Investment in Namibia”, with D. Chen, The World Bank, 1995.

One of three principal authors, *Replacing the GST: Options for Canada*, Ninth Report of the Standing Committee on Finance, House of Commons, June 1994.

“Tax Policy and Export-Led Growth in Egypt”, with T. Tsiopoulos, The World Bank, 1994.

“Tax Reform, Investment and Economic Growth in Trinidad and Tobago”, with T. Tsiopoulos, Foreign Investment Advisory Service of the World Bank Group, 1994. This report led to tax measures adopted in the 1994 Budget of the Trinidad and Tobago government.

“Taxation of Foreign Capital in the Mediterranean Region”, with T. Tsiopoulos, Foreign Investment Advisory Service of the World Bank Group, 1994.

“Cost Impact of the Royalty Withholding Tax”, with Duanjie Chen, IBM Canada, 1993.

“Taxation of Foreign Investment in South Asia”, with T. Tsiopoulos, Internal Discussion Paper, South Asia Region, World Bank, 1993.

“Latin American Taxation of Foreign Direct Investment in a Global Economy”, Foreign Investment Advisory Service of the World Bank Group, 1993.

“Restructuring the Corporate Income Tax in Guatemala”, Peat Marwick Technical Memorandum No. 12, Washington, D.C., February 1991.

“Taxation and Financial Policy of Firms: Theory and Empirical Application to Canada”, with G. Fisher and J. Bartholdy, Economic Council of Canada, 1987.

A principal author of *The Corporate Tax System: A Direction for Change*, Department of Finance, 5-16, 21-39, 1985. Also a discussion paper issued as a background paper for marginal tax rate calculations.

“Determining the Subsidy Involved with Government Credit Programs”, with J. Carriere and C. McCaughey, Economic Council of Canada, Discussion Paper #256, 1984.

BOOK REVIEWS:

Review of H. Rosen (editor), *Quantitative Studies in Fiscal Federalism*, in *Economica*, 1990.

Review of Auerbach and L. Kotlikoff, *Dynamic Fiscal Policy*, in *Journal of Economics*, 1989.

Review of Economic Council of Canada document on Tax Reform, *Canadian Public Policy*, 1987.

Review of R. Prichard, *Crown Corporation in Canada - The Calculus of Instrument Choice*, Butterworths, 1983, in *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 1985.

Review of two monographs on *FIRA* and Foreign Investment, *Canadian Public Policy*, 1985.

Review of D. Bos, *Public Enterprise* in *Recherches Economiques de Louvain*, 1982.

Review of monograph on property taxation in *Urban Focus*, May-June 1980.

UNPUBLISHED PAPERS:

“Thailand: Taxation of Financial Instruments and Markets”, with Isaias Coelho, Eduardo Ley, Christopher Morris, Aide-Mémoire, International Monetary Fund, Fiscal Affairs Department, Bangkok, August 2003.

“How Tax Barriers Stifle North American Capital-Market Efficiency – and How Their Removal Would Deepen NAFTA Integration”, Background notes for the Luncheon Address, International Fiscal Association Canada-U.S. Seminar, Mayflower Hotel, Washington D.C., June 5, 2003.

“Capital Mobility and Tax Competition: A Survey”, with Clemens Fuest, Bernd Huber, *CESIFO Working Paper No. 957*, Category I: Public Finance, May 2003

“Ontario’s Future Prosperity: Issues, Challenges and Recommendations,” with William Robson, prepared for the Panel on the Future Role of Government, January 2003.

“A Proposal for A Tax-Efficient Privatization of Hydro One”, with Robert D. Brown, prepared for the Ontario Teachers’ Pension Plan.

“Hard Choices: The Internet Revolution and Canadian Retail Sales Taxation in the 21st Century: with Michael Friedman, Leonid Gorelik, Alexander Rose.

“Property Taxation: Reform or Abolish?” prepared for the Canadian Property Tax Association, 35th Annual National Workshop, “Property Tax in the Information Age”, Kelowna, British Columbia, October 1, 2001.

“Provincial Fiscal Competition,” prepared for the John F. Graham Lecture, Atlantic Economics Society Meetings, September 28, 2001.

“Sharing the Wealth from Growth: Comparing the Canadian and U.S. Experiences” by Shay Aba and Jack Mintz, Prepared for the IRPP/CSLS Conference on Growth and Inequality, Ottawa, January 2001.

“Taxation and Venture Capital”, prepared for the roundtable on Financing High Tech Ventures, Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, September 8, 2000.

“The Environment, Solid-Waste, Marginal Effective Tax Rates, and Computable General Equilibrium”, with Rein Luus and Sergio Traviza, 1999.

“Should Canada’s Tax Rate on Capital Gains be Lowered to US Rates?”, mimeograph, 1999.

“A Proposed Tax Strategy for Economic Growth and Job Creation in New Brunswick”, prepared for the Regional Development Corporation, New Brunswick, May 1999.

“Tax Treatment of Energy Investments”, with Duanjie Chen, prepared for the Office of the Auditor General of Canada, April 1999.

“The 1999 Budget and What’s Up for Next Year? Background Notes on Taxation Issues”, with Thomas A. Wilson, prepared for the Institute of International Business Roundtable, Rotman School of Management, March 1999.

“Taxing Issues with Privatization: A Checklist”, with Duanjie Chen and Evagelina Zorotheos, Working Paper No. 6, Institute for International Business, University of Toronto, 1999.

“Tax Recommendations to Improve the Investment Climate in Bulgaria”, Foreign Investment Advisory Service (International Finance Corporation), World Bank, September 1998.

“Corporation Tax Asymmetries and Firm-Level Investment in Canada”, with Gordon J. Anderson and Pierre-Pascal Gendron, February 1998, (revise and resubmit to *Journal of Public Economics*).

“A Tax Structure Designed for Growth and Fairness”, Industry Canada, 1995.

“Alternatives to the GST”, with Tom Wilson, prepared for the conference *Tough Choices: Challenges Facing Ottawa and the Provinces*, Laurentian University, 1994.

“A New Measure of Tax Impacts on Cost Competitiveness with an Application to Inter-City Passenger Transportation”, with K. McKenzie and K. Scharf, 1994.

“Corporate Taxation in India”, with Thomas Tsiopoulos, World Bank, 1993.

“Taxation of Foreign Direct Investment in the Ukraine”, World Bank, 1993.

“A Note on Corporate Income Taxation and Incentives for Foreign Direct Investment in Russia”, with Thomas Tsiopoulos, prepared for the Foreign Investment Advisory Service (International Finance Corporation, World Bank, Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency), May 1992.

“The Strategic Use of Tax Credits in a Model of Corporate Tax Competition”, with H. Tulkens, CORE Discussion Paper, 1991.

“Risk, Effective Tax Rates and the Welfare Costs of Capital Tax Distortions”, with B. Hamilton and J. Whalley, NBER Discussion Paper 3628, February 1991.

“Privatization Issues in Less Developed Countries”, prepared for the World Bank, May 1989, (Queen’s University Discussion Paper version “Privatization: Issues for Less Developed Countries”, October 1989).

“Taxation and the Firm's Leverage Decision: A Survey of Theoretical Issues”, with J. Bartholdy and G. Fisher, Queen's University Discussion Paper 327, 1988.

“Small Enterprise Tax Policy”, with J. Seade, *John Deutsch Institute Working Paper 5*, 1988.

“Corporate Tax Design in an International Setting”, November 1986.

“Potash Taxation in Saskatchewan: An Evaluation of the Current Agreement”, May 1986.

“Uranium Taxation in Saskatchewan: An Evaluation of the Current Agreement”, November 1985.

“Conflict of Taste and Conflict of Claim”, with R. Simeon, *Institute of Intergovernmental Relations* 13, 1982.

“The Effect of Taxation on the User Cost of Capital of Agricultural Firms”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, Economic Council of Canada, 1982.

“Taxation and its Effect on the Financing of Small and Large Businesses”, with R. Boadway and N. Bruce, Economic Council of Canada, 1982.

“Spanning and Financial Intermediation with Incomplete Markets”, Queen's University Discussion Paper 370, 1980.

“Public-Private Mixed Enterprises: The Canadian Example”, Queen's University Discussion Paper 325, 1978.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS: NON-SCIENTIFIC

Various articles in: National Post
 Time Magazine (Canadian Edition)
 Globe and Mail
 Canadian Business (monthly column since 2001)
 Worth Magazine

EXPERIENCE as EXPERT WITNESS:

“Pre-Budget Consultations”, Ontario Legislative Finance Committee, 2004

“An Assessment of the Transfer Price for Cimetidine Paid by SmithKline Canada” Dept. of Justice, 2003.

“Pre-budget discussions” House of Commons, Finance Committee, April 30, 2002

“Corporate Tax Changes in Rate Adjustment”, Union Gas (Ontario Energy Board).

Kimberly Clark vs the Government of Ontario. Expert witness report on constitutionality of the Ontario stumpage fee system, 1995.

“Retail Sales Tax Gap”, Standing Committee on Public Accounts, Government of Ontario, Dec. 1995.

“Deficit Reduction and Taxes”, Standing Committee on Finance, House of Commons, November 8, 1994.

“Notes on the Underground Economy”, Standing Committee on Finance and Economic Affairs, Government of Ontario, November 1993.

“Risk, Taxation and the Social Cost of Electricity”, prepared for the Independent Power Producers intervention in Environmental Assessment Board hearing on Ontario Hydro's Demand/Supply Plan, 1991.

“Value and Taxes and Goods and Services Tax: A Reply to Richard Bird”. Expert report used in Alberta Factum in the constitutional challenge on the GST. Government of Alberta vs. Government of Canada, February 1991.

Winterhaven Stables Ltd. vs. the Crown. Expert witness report on “The Relationship Between Federal Income Tax Revenues and Cash Expenditures on Post-Secondary, Health and Canada Assistance Plan Transfers to Provinces”, December, 1985.

HONOURS:

1. 2003 – 2006, Deloitte & Touche LLP Professor of Taxation, University of Toronto.
2. Second Prize (\$10,000.), Donner Prize for Best Book in Public Policy 2002 (“Most Favored Nation”).
3. Winner, Purvis Prize for best writing in Economic Policy for “Most Favored Nation”, 2002.
4. Who’s Who of Canadian Business (2001 -)
5. National Register’s Who’s Who In Executives and Professionals
6. 2001, Nationwide Register’s Who’s Who
7. 2001, Distinguished Speaker Series, Environment Canada
8. 2000, Alumni Excellence Award, University of Alberta, Edmonton.
9. 2000, Global Who’s Who of Economists.
10. 1990 – 2001, Arthur Andersen Professor of Taxation, University of Toronto.
11. Who’s Who of Canada (Since 1989).
12. 1998, Visiting Scholar, International Monetary Fund.
13. 1997, Distinguished Speaker, Industry Canada.
14. 1996 and 1997, Clifford Clark Visiting Economist, Finance Canada.
15. 1994, Distinguished Visiting Professor, University of Alberta.
16. 1993, Distinguished Visiting Professor, University of Calgary.
17. 1992, Graduate Business Council, University of Toronto, Outstanding Contribution to MBA Program.

PH.D STUDENTS: MAIN SUPERVISOR at the UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Marketing

Ganesh Iyer	(1996)
David Soberman	(1996)
David Dunne	(1995)
Khai Lee	(1994)

Economics

Henry Li	(2001)
Kevin Milligan	(2001)
Sanjit Dhami	(1997)
Pierre-Pascal Gendron	(1997)
Kim Scharf	(1995)

PH.D STUDENTS: MAIN SUPERVISOR at QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY

Economics

Ken McKenzie	(1990)
Jan Bartholdy	(1987)
Ian Gorman	(1987)
Murray Frank	(1984)
Mike Peters	(1979)

Interrogatory 2

The evidence at page 2 states that “the specific conditions of the gas distribution industry, related to the gas industry’s capital intensity, causes the corporate income tax rate to have a different impact on the gas distribution industry.”

Please comment on the specific conditions of the gas distribution industry related to the gas industry’s capital intensity and how this impacts on the industry with relation to the provincial capital tax and the capital cost allowance rate for distribution assets (Class I).

Answer:

Capital intensity can be measured by ratio of assets to revenue. For Canadian non-financial corporations it is necessary to have \$1.06 in assets for each \$1.00 in revenue. For Canadian utilities including electric, gas and water, it is necessary to have \$3.16 in assets for every \$1.00 in revenue. See Canada Statistics Table 179-0004 for the year 2005. Union reported \$2.20 in assets for every \$1.00 in revenue for 2006 (www.uniongas.com/aboutus/overview/ataglance.asp), while Enbridge reported \$2.04 in assets for every \$1.00 in revenue for 2005 (Enbridge 2005 Annual Report Consolidated Statements). Thus, gas utilities are more capital intensive than most non-financial corporations but less capital intensive than other utilities.

As noted in my testimony, price decreases faster in capital intensive industries following a tax decrease than in other industries.

Interrogatory 3

The evidence states at page 8 “that it is necessary, at a minimum, to pass at least seventy-five percent of the corporate tax reduction through the Z-factor.”

- a) If the corporate tax reduction is effective January 1 of the year amounts to a reduction in taxes of \$100 for the year, please confirm that, in your opinion, at least \$75 of this reduction should be accounted for through the Z factor.
- b) Does this \$75 Z factor continue on for the subsequent years or is the entire \$100 reduction in taxes that takes place in the first year of the reduction accounted for in subsequent year through the inflation factor? Please explain.

Answer:

- a) **Yes**
- b) **The impact of the Z factor change in the first year on the price continues on through the subsequent years. The inflation factor will, at a maximum, account for only 25 percent of the impact by year 5 after the corporate tax decrease.**