

# *Aiken & Associates*

578 McNaughton Ave. West  
Chatham, Ontario, N7L 4J6

Phone: (519) 351-8624

E-mail: [randy.aiken@sympatico.ca](mailto:randy.aiken@sympatico.ca)

May 18, 2012

Ms. Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
Suite 2700  
Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**RE: EB-2011-0210 - Interrogatories of London Property Management Association to Union Gas**

Please find attached the interrogatories of the London Property Management Association in the above noted application related to the reply evidence of Union Gas.

Sincerely,

*Randy Aiken*

Randy Aiken  
Aiken & Associates

Encl.

cc: Chris Ripley Union Gas Limited (e-mail)  
Crawford Smith, Torys (e-mail)  
Intervenors, (e-mail)

**IN THE MATTER OF** the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*,  
S.O. 1998, c.15 (Schedule B);

**AND IN THE MATTER OF** an Application by Union Gas Limited, pursuant to section 36(1) of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, for an order or orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other charges for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas as of January 1, 2013.

**INTERROGATORIES OF THE**  
**LONDON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION**  
**TO UNION GAS ON REPLY EVIDENCE**

**Preamble:** In the November 10, 2011 letter from Union Gas re: EB-2011-0210 - Union Gas Limited - 2013 Rebasing Application, Union stated that it was not proposing the next generation incentive regulation mechanism as part of this proceeding and that it would bring forward a separate application to establish the mechanism for setting rates for 2014 and beyond after the Board renders a decision on this application.

On April 27, 2012, Board staff filed a revised report entitle *Assessment of Union Gas Ltd. and Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. Incentive Regulation Plans* dated April 2012 by Pacific Economics Group Research, LLC ("PEG").

On May 14, 2012, Union Gas filed reply evidence to the PEG report entitled Response to Pacific Economics Group's September 2011 Report (Revised April 2012) by Melvyn A. Fuss, Ph.D.

Each interrogatory noted below refers to this reply evidence.

**Interrogatory #1**

Please indicate which issue or issues approved by the Board that the reply evidence is to address.

**Interrogatory #2**

For each issue identified in Interrogatory #1, please provide the relative section(s) of the reply evidence that relate to the specific issue. Please provide a summary of the reply evidence related to each issue addressed.