Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 6

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

2 3

1

1.0 SUMMARY OF REVENUE REQUIREMENT

4 5

Hydro One Transmission has followed standard regulatory practice in the calculation of revenue requirement as follows:

7

9

6

Table 1 8 **Revenue Requirement** (\$ Millions) 10

(\$ Millions)						
Particulars	2013	2014	Reference			
OM&A	451.8	459.7	C1, Tab 3, Schedule 1			
Depreciation	348.6	376.5	C1, Tab 8, Schedule 1			
Income Taxes	42.7	50.9	C1, Tab 9, Schedule 1			
Cost of Capital ¹	621.1	669.6	B1, Tab 1, Schedule 1			
Total Revenue Requirement	1,464.3	1,556.6	E2, Tab 1, Schedule 1			

Includes AFUDC recovery on the Niagara Reinforcement Project (2013 - \$4.9 million and 2014 - \$4.8 million).

12 13

14

15

11

The resultant revenue requirement of \$1,464.3 million for 2013 and \$1,556.6 million for 2014 are the amounts required by Hydro One Transmission to safely address customer service and system reliability needs at the lowest practical cost.

17

18

16

2.0 CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIREMENT

19

The details of the OM&A and Depreciation components of the revenue requirement are 20 as follows: 21

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 6

2.1 OM&A Expense

2	
_	

1

3		(\$ Millions)		
			2013	2014
		Sustaining	233.5	237.6
		Development	13.4	14.4
		Operations	64.3	66.4
		Customer Care	1.3	1.4
		Shared Services and Other Costs	68.0	67.6
		Taxes Other Than Income Tax	71.5	72.3
		Total OM&A	451.8	459.7
4				
5	2.2	Depreciation Expense		
6				
7		(\$ Millions)		
			2013	2014
		Depreciation	342.3	369.5
		Amortization	6.3	7.0
		Total Expense	348.6	376.5

8 9

3.0 RATES REVENUE REQUIREMENT - COMPARISON OF YEAR 2012 TO YEAR 2013

11

10

Table 2 compares, by element, the 2012 rates revenue requirement (as per EB-2011-

13 0268) against the 2013 proposed rates revenue requirement.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 6

Table 2 Comparison of Rates Revenue Requirements: Board Approved 2012 vs. 2013 (\$Millions)

	(pivimons)						
Line	Description	Year 2012	Year 2013	Difference			
no.							
1	OM&A	427.1	451.8	24.7			
2	Depreciation	332.8	348.6	15.8			
3	Capital Taxes	0.0	0.0	0.0			
4	Income Taxes	51.5	42.7	(8.7)			
5	Cost of Capital ¹	607.1	621.1	14.0			
	Total Revenue Requirement	1,418.4	1,464.3	45.9			
6	Deduct External Revenues ²	(28.7)	(31.6)	(2.9)			
	Revenue Requirement less						
	External Revenues	1,389.7	1,432.6	43.0			
7	Deduct Export Revenue Credit ³	(16.0)	(31.0)	(14.9)			
8	Deduct Regulatory Accounts						
	Disposition ⁴	0.0	(15.1)	(15.1)			
9	Add Low Voltage Switch Gear ⁵	11.5	11.8	0.3			
	Rates Revenue Requirement	1,385.1	1,398.5	13.3			

Includes AFUDC on the Niagara Reinforcement Project.

- External revenues addressed in Exhibit E1, Tab 2, Schedule 1.
- Export revenue is addressed in Exhibit H1, Tab 5, Schedule 1.
 - ⁴ See Exhibit F1, Tab 1, Schedule 3 for further details.
 - Low Voltage Switch Gear is addressed in Exhibit G2, Tab 5, Schedule 1.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

4 5

6

7

1 2

3

There are a number of key operational and financial factors contributing to the increased rates revenue requirement that have an impact across the cost components in Table 2. The increase in total rates revenue requirement is largely attributable to the impact of rate base growth reflected in the increase in depreciation, which is partially offset by the impact of lower depreciation rates. Also contributing to the difference is an increase in OM&A work program requirements, offset by lower tax rates, lower return due to decreased interest rates, higher export credit, and the disposition (refund) of Regulatory Accounts.

18

Table 3 illustrates the value of the key impacts on the increase in the rates revenue requirement.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 6

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Table 3} \\ \textbf{Components of Change to Rates Revenue Requirement} \\ \textbf{2012}^1 \ vs. \ \textbf{2013} \end{array}$

Description	Amount (\$M)
Core Rate Base	70.6
Bruce to Milton (Rate Base)	27.3
Impact of new Depreciation Study	(33.1)
Change in OM&A ²	24.7
Change in return on equity	(9.8)
Change in cost of debt	(23.1)
Tax rate change and timing differences	(10.6)
Impact of other changes	(32.5)
Regulatory Accounts Disposition ³	(15.1)
Export Credit	(14.9)
Miscellaneous	(2.6)
Total change	13.3

4

5

1

2

4.0 RATES REVENUE REQUIREMENT - COMPARISON OF YEAR 2013 TO

6 YEAR 2014

7

- 8 Table 4 compares, by element, the 2013 rates revenue requirement against the 2014 rates
- 9 revenue requirement.

 1 2012 Amounts as per Hydro One Transmission's 2012 Revenue Requirement and Charge Determinants for EB-2010-0002 and EB-2011-0268.

² Net of External Revenue

³ See Exhibit F1, Tab 1, Schedule 3 for further details.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 6

Table 4
Comparison of Rates Revenue Requirements 2013 vs. 2014 (\$ Millions)

Year 2013 Year 2014 Line **Description Difference** no. 7.9 OM&A 451.8 459.7 1 2 Depreciation 348.6 376.5 27.9 3 **Income Taxes** 42.7 50.9 8.2 4 Cost of Capital¹ 621.1 669.6 48.5 92.4 **Total Revenue Requirement** 1,464.3 1,556.6 Deduct External Revenues² (0.2)5 (31.6)(31.8)**Revenue Requirement less External Revenues** 1,432.6 1,524.8 92.2 Deduct Export Revenue Credit³ (31.0)(30.1)0.9 6 7 **Deduct Regulatory Accounts** Disposition⁴ 0.0(15.1)(15.1)8 Add Low Voltage Switch Gear⁵ 11.8 12.5 0.8 1,398.5 **Rates Revenue Requirement** 1,492.3 93.9

Includes AFUDC on the Niagara Reinforcement Project.

External revenues addressed in Exhibit E1, Tab 2, Schedule 1.

Export revenue is addressed in Exhibit H1, Tab 5, Schedule 1.

⁴ See Exhibit F1, Tab 1, Schedule 3 for further details.

Low Voltage Switch Gear is addressed in Exhibit G2, Tab 5, Schedule 1.

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

3

4 5

6

1 2

The increase in 2014 rates revenue requirement is primarily due to the increase in core rate base as reflected in the increase in return and depreciation. Other contributing factors include an increase in OM&A work program requirements, and higher ROE due to an increasing consensus forecast on Government of Canada ten year bonds. These are partially offset by lower debt costs and tax rates.

14

15

Table 5 illustrates the value of the key impacts on the movement in the rates revenue requirement.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 6

> Table 5 Components of Change to Rates Revenue Requirement: 2013 vs. 2014

Description	Amount (\$M)
Core Rate Base	76.6
Bruce to Milton (Rate Base)	2.4
Change in OM&A ¹	7.8
Change in return on equity	11.3
Change in cost of debt	(2.8)
Miscellaneous	(1.4)
Total change	93.9

¹ Net of External Revenue

4 5

1

2

3

Exhibit G1, Tab 1, Schedule 1 provides information on how the rates revenue

7 requirements will be recovered through rates.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 6

EXTERNAL REVENUES

2

3

1

1.0 STRATEGY

4

5 Hydro One Transmission's strategy is to focus on core work, while continuing to be responsive

6 to external customer work requests where Hydro One Transmission has available resources

and/or assets to accommodate the request.

8

11

12

External revenues earned through the provision of services to third parties are forecast to be

\$31.6 million in 2013 and \$31.8 million in 2014 and account for approximately 2% of Hydro

One Transmission revenues. These external revenues are used to offset the revenue requirement

from Hydro One Transmission tariffs and thereby reduce the required revenue to be collected

from transmission ratepayers.

14 15

2.0 COSTING AND PRICING

16 17

18

19

20

The costing of external work is determined on the basis of cost causality, with estimates

calculated in the same way as internal work estimates, using the standard labour rates, equipment

rates, material surcharge, and overhead rates (see Exhibit C1, Tab 6, Schedule 1 for a description

of costing of work). An appropriate margin is added to cover, at a minimum, market level

pricing in order to ensure there is an overall benefit for the transmission ratepayers.

22

23 This exhibit identifies the revenues for external work. The associated costs for this work are

described in Exhibit C1, Tab 4, Schedule 6.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 6

3.0 DESCRIPTION

Table 1 External Revenues (\$ Millions)

			(/		
\$M	2009 Historic	2010 Historic	2011 Historic	2012 Bridge	2013 Test	2014 Test
Secondary Land Use	14.2	17.4	20.6	13.3	13.2	13.2
Station Maintenance	14.6	14.7	11.3	10.2	8.1	8.1
Engineering & Project Delivery	3.2	6.5	3.6	11.8	3.0	3.0
Other External Revenues	3.2	3.8	6.1	3.3	7.3	7.5
Totals	35.2	42.4	41.6	38.6	31.6	31.8

3.1 Secondary Land Use

Hydro One Transmission manages the Provincial Secondary Land Use Program (PSLUP) on behalf of the Province, to whom Hydro One Transmission's transmission corridor lands were transferred under Bill 58 on December 31, 2002. The program focuses on licensing and leasing the transmission corridor lands to external parties for "secondary" land use purposes that are compatible with Hydro One Transmission's primary transmission business operations. Typical uses include parking lots, municipal roadways, parks and trails, agricultural areas, water mains and other municipal infrastructure occupations, as well as public transit parking lots and station operations. The PSLUP revenue stream is generated by charging land rentals to external parties for new license and lease occupations and subsequent agreement renewals, as well as lump sum consideration for easements granted (e.g., water mains) and operational land sales completed (e.g., roadway).

Under Bill 58 provisions and subsequently negotiated arrangements, all expiring corridor PSLUP agreements as of December 31, 2002, were transferred to the Province. Remaining unexpired corridor agreements and associated revenue streams are retained by Hydro One until such time as

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 6

these agreements expire. Upon expiration, the previously retained agreements and revenue

streams by Hydro One are then also transferred to the province under PSLUP.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4 Notwithstanding this transfer, Hydro One Transmission has provided front-line delivery services

for the PSLUP on behalf of the Province since 2002 and, under arrangements made on April 1,

6 2005, was granted the right under agreement to continue delivery of the program through March

31, 2010. As of April 1, 2010, the agreement was extended a further five (5) years until March

31, 2015. The arrangements set out in the agreement include Hydro One Transmission's

retention of PSLUP revenues for unexpired agreements until their expiry, as well as a results-

based compensation model involving the sharing of revenues between Hydro One Transmission

and the Province for new PSLUP agreements and for renewals of expired agreements which

were previously transferred to the Province. Hydro One also manages a small portion of

secondary land use revenue that does not fall under current PSLUP arrangements.

14 15

16

17

18

19

As a result, responsibility for the management and re-negotiation (as required) of all existing

secondary land use agreements (including those previously transferred to the Province under the

corridor land transfer arrangements) now rests with Hydro One Transmission. Hydro One

Transmission will continue promotion and negotiation of all new secondary land use business

opportunities, where these are consistent with Hydro One Transmission's short and longer-term

20 operational requirements.

21

23

24

25

The Secondary Land Use Revenue levels are forecasted in 2013 and 2014 at approximately

\$13.2 M each year. The 2011 revenue level was unusually elevated due to one-time events, such

as the granting of easement rights to the Region of York and the City of Toronto, for trunk sewer

lines, resulting in one-off lump sum payments during this timeframe.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 6

3.2 Station Maintenance

2

1

Revenues from external work in the Station Services segment include specialized activities 3 similar to those performed internally for Hydro One Transmission. These activities include such 4 items as repair of electrical equipment (such as transformers, breakers and switches), specialty 5 machining (spindles), protective relay installation, maintenance and calibration, as well as 6 provision of meter services and emergency services. Customers seek out station services skills, 7 resident within Hydro One Transmission requiring highly specialized staff able to perform work 8 on a variety of high voltage equipment in a variety of work settings (such as nuclear 9 environments). Work is performed according to commercially negotiated contracts which reflect 10 market level pricing. 11

12 13

14

15

Hydro One Transmission provides support to the external market place in areas which are related to the Company's transmission business. This work is primarily tied to support Ontario's key generation suppliers: Bruce Power LLP, Ontario Power Generation Inc. and Siemens Westinghouse Inc. (in support of OPGI).

16 17 18

19

20

As can be seen in Table 1, this segment of external revenue is expected to decrease in 2012 through to 2014, primarily due to the expected shift in resources to Hydro One Transmission's growing work programs.

21

3.3 Engineering and Project Delivery

2324

25

26

22

Hydro One Transmission's Engineering & Project Delivery activities continue to focus on internal work supporting the growing transmission work program, while striving to reduce external work to a minimal level. This segment of external revenue is derived from the upgrading of revenue meters at various sites per IESO requirements.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 6

- The focus of Hydro One Transmission's continuing external program is the provision of support
- to OPGI through the installation and removal of major power equipment, control cabling,
- maintenance of stations and other associated work in generator switchyards. This program also
- 4 supports some line design and construction for other transmission companies such as Great
- 5 Lakes Power and TransAlta, and some antenna installations on transmission towers.

6

- In 2011 revenue of \$3.6 million was realized. In 2012 the forecast of \$11.8 million reflects the
- 8 revenue metering project planned for Toronto Hydro. The forecast for 2013 is \$3 million, and \$3
- 9 million in 2014. The decreases are as a result of the lower activities related to revenue metering
- projects per the IESO requirements.

11

3.4 Other External Revenues

13 14

12

Revenues from external work in this segment include items shown in Table 2 below.

15

16

17

Table 2
Other External Revenues

	2009 Historic	2010 Historic	2011 Historic	2012 Bridge	2013 Test	2014 Test
Inergi Royalties	1.0	.5	.4	.4	.4	.4
Other Miscellaneous Revenues	2.2	3.0	6.5	2.9	6.9	7.1
Total	3.2	3.5	6.9	3.3	7.3	7.5

18 19

3.4.1 <u>Inergi Royalties</u>

2021

- As a result of the outsourcing agreement with Inergi LP, Hydro One Transmission receives
- 22 royalty revenue to compensate it for the use of its resources by Inergi LP to service other third
- party customers.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E1 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 6

3.4.2 Other Miscellaneous Revenues

2

- Other Miscellaneous Revenues of \$6.9 million in 2013 and \$7.1 million in 2014 represents those
- 4 arising from telecommunications services to Ontario Hydro successor companies such as lease of
- 5 fiber, revenues from special transmission planning studies, customer shortfall payments (e.g.
- true-ups, temporary bypass), and other miscellaneous external revenues. This includes a transfer
- price charge to Telecom and Remotes, see Exhibit C1, Tab 7, Schedule 3, page 3. In 2013 and
- 8 2014 forecasted revenues include \$4 million each year for the lease of idle transmission lines.

Filed: May 28, 2012 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit E2 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

HYDRO ONE NETWORKS INC. TRANSMISSION

Calculation of Revenue Requirement Year Ending December 31 (\$ Millions)

Line					
No.	Particulars		2013		2014
			(a)		(b)
	Cost of Service				
1	Operating, maintenance & administrative	\$	451.8	\$	459.7
2	Depreciation & amortization		348.6		376.5
3	Capital taxes		0.0		0.0
4	Income taxes		42.7		50.9
5	Cost of service excluding return (Note 1)	\$_	843.2	\$ <u></u>	887.1
6	Return on capital		616.2		664.8
7	AFUDC recovery on Niagara Reinforcement Project		4.9		4.8
8	Total revenue requirement	\$	1,464.3	\$_	1,556.6

Note 1: Per Exhibit C2, Tab 1, Schedule 1