
Energy Probe - TCPL 1

Reference: TransCanada's Written Evidence, Page 11, Section 3.5

Preamble: "TransCanada has presented a number of options that can meet Union's objective at a lower cost by using existing infrastructure. One or a combination of these options can be developed in consultation with Union, taking into account growth prospects downstream of Parkway, and Ontario gas consumers will have the right solution without having to pay the costs of redundant infrastructure."

Request:

- (a) For each of the 4 options presented provide details in tabular format with notes
 - i. Who will own the facilities
 - ii. Timing for in-service, including identifying timing of regulatory and other permits/approvals
 - iii. Estimates of capital and operating costs (including return)
 - iv. Estimate of revenue requirement(s) (based on ownership)
 - v. Capacity additions Design day and annual
 - vi. Annualized and volume based impact on Union in franchise and Ex-franchise customers by class
- (b) Please compare the above timing, cost estimates and impacts to Union's Parkway West Project.
- (c) Please provide detailed explanatory notes and support for all calculation/estimates.

Energy Probe -TCPL 1

Response:

- (a) The following table provides the data requested for the four TransCanada options and the data from Union's Parkway West Proposal for comparison.

	Union's Parkway West Proposal¹	TransCanada's STFT proposal²	TransCanada's Parkway compression proposal³	TransCanada's Kirkwall to Parkway proposal	TransCanada's Dawn to Parkway proposal⁴
i.	Union	No additional facilities required	TransCanada	TransCanada	TransCanada for metering expansions, Union for Dawn expansions
ii.	2014	Available immediately	TBD	TBD	TBD
iii.	Please refer to the response to OEB #1 a)				
iv.	Please refer to the response to OEB #1 a)				
v.	All of the options are to provide LCU reliability and will not add any Design Day capacity				
vi.	TransCanada is unable to provide impacts to Union's in-franchise and ex-franchise customers by class. However, the STFT proposal is the lowest cost option and would have the lowest cost impact on Ontario consumers				

- (b) Please refer to the above table.
- (c) Please refer to the response to OEB 1(a).