

## OPERATING MAINTENANCE AND OTHER COSTS

1. The purpose of this evidence is to present Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (“Enbridge” or the “Company”) Operating and Maintenance (“O&M”) expense of \$426.1 million for the 2013 Test Year (“2013 Budget”). Enbridge’s O&M expense is comprised of the cost to carry out the required business activities for each department within Enbridge. Summaries of projected costs by cost type and year over year variance explanations are provided at Exhibit D3, Tab 2, Schedule 3, and Exhibit D4, Tab 2, Schedule 4.

### 2013 Budget

2. The 2013 O&M budget is a consolidation of the traditional ‘grassroots’ budget prepared by all departments within Enbridge in accordance with the guidelines and assumptions set forth in the Budget Letter. The budget was developed in consideration of the Company’s key business objectives of a continued focus on safety and reliability, customer service, and adherence to legislative and regulatory requirements. The O&M budget was reviewed and approved by the Executive Management Team (the “EMT”).
3. The Company’s total O&M is grouped into five categories: Customer Care Service Charges, Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology (“RCAM”), Demand Side Management (“DSM”), Pension Expense, and Other O&M. The groupings are meant to provide a better insight into the Company’s O&M structure and cost drivers. A summary of 2013 O&M Budget and the five categories is provided in Table1 on the following page.

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

Table 1  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expense by Category  
2013 Budget, 2012 Estimate, 2011 Historical, and 2007 Board Approved

Line No. Categories (\$ Millions)	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7
	Budget <u>2013</u>	Estimate <u>2012</u>	Historical <u>2011</u>	Board Approved <u>2007</u>	2013 Budget vs. Estimate <u>2012</u>	2012 Estimate vs. Historical <u>2011</u>	2011 Historical vs. Board Approved <u>2007</u>
1. Customer Care Service Charges	\$89.4	\$90.4	\$82.6	\$90.8	(\$1.0)	\$7.8	(\$8.2)
2. Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology(RCAM)	30.3	30.2	26.7	18.1	0.1	3.5	8.6
3. Demand Side Management (DSM)	28.6	28.1	28.1	22.0	0.5	0.0	6.1
4. Pension Expense	27.7	20.6	3.2	1.7	7.1	17.3	1.5
5. Other O&M	<u>250.0</u>	<u>232.9</u>	<u>215.0</u>	<u>193.6</u>	<u>17.1</u>	<u>17.9</u>	<u>21.4</u>
6. Total Net Utility O&M Expense	\$426.1	\$402.2	\$355.7	\$326.2	\$23.9	\$46.5	\$29.5

4. Within the EB-2011-0226 Customer Care/Customer Information System (“CC/CIS”) proceeding which took place in 2011, the Ontario Energy Board (the “Board”) approved a Settlement Agreement which established O&M related Customer Care Service Charges of \$89.4 million for 2013. Please refer to Exhibit D1, Tab 12, Schedule 1 for a review of the treatment of CC/CIS costs as a result of the ADR Settlement.
5. The RCAM amount of \$30.3 million is determined in accordance with the methodology approved by the Board in EB-2006-0034. The Company undertakes an update of the RCAM as approved by the Board to establish amounts for each year. The Company’s ongoing review of the RCAM methodology and related processes includes an evaluation and review with intervenor groups. Service schedules underpinning RCAM are thoroughly reviewed and revised by Enbridge on an annual basis. The review results in the appropriate level of specific services, activities, and/or departmental charges from Enbridge Inc. (“EI”), the parent

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

company of Enbridge. The RCAM amounts embedded in the 2013 Budget and 2012 Estimate are placeholders which will be replaced by the final numbers in later updates. The details of RCAM are explained in evidence at Exhibit D1, Tab 4, Schedule 1.

6. The DSM budget of \$28.6 million is driven by the forecasted inflationary rate increase (GDP IPI FDD) of 1.73% over the 2012 Estimate that is based on the Board issued guidelines in EB-2008-0346, dated June 30, 2011. The Company's 2012 DSM Plan was filed with the Board on November 4, 2011 in EB-2011-0295. This plan was developed in consultation with intervenor groups, follows recently issued 2012-2014 DSM Guidelines, and the 2012 DSM Budget was the subject of a complete settlement with intervenors. The DSM evidence can be found at Exhibit D1, Tab 7, Schedule 1.
7. The pension expense established for the 2013 Budget of \$27.7 million includes a change from the cash basis of pension expense for rate regulated accounting to the accrual basis of expense. This aligns the aspects of reporting for financial reporting and rate making, which provides more transparency and consistency for the users of the financial statements. The rationale for the accounting change for the pension expense can be found at Exhibit A1, Tab 6, Schedule 2.
8. Other O&M represents the remaining departmental O&M costs net of Customer Care service charges, RCAM, DSM, and pension expense. The year over year variances by major cost type are explained in the following comparison sections.

2013 Budget Comparison to 2012 Estimate – Other O&M

9. The 2013 Other O&M Budget is \$250 million. This is an increase of \$17.1 million or 7.3% over the 2012 Estimate. The variances by cost type between the two

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

years are summarized on Table 2. The principal drivers of this increase are identified and articulated below.

Table 2  
Enbridge Gas Distribution  
Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Budget 2013 (a)	Estimate 2012 (b)	Difference (c)	% (d)
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$170.9	\$160.7	\$10.2	6.3%
2.	Benefits	30.5	25.9	4.5	17.4%
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	20.3	19.4	0.8	4.3%
4.	Employee Training and Development	4.1	4.0	0.1	2.4%
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.3%
6.	Outside Services	79.0	77.9	1.1	1.4%
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	7.3	5.8	1.5	25.7%
8.	Consulting	9.5	6.7	2.9	42.7%
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.8%
10.	Fleet	10.0	9.8	0.2	2.1%
11.	Rents and Leases	7.7	7.4	0.2	3.1%
12.	Telecommunications	3.7	3.6	0.0	1.4%
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	4.9	4.7	0.2	4.0%
14.	Memberships	3.4	3.2	0.2	7.1%
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	0.8	0.8	0.1	8.2%
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	2.7	1.9	0.8	40.5%
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	15.2	13.7	1.5	10.7%
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.3)	(25.1)	(0.1)	0.5%
19.	Other	7.1	5.9	1.3	21.3%
20.	Subtotal	<u>359.2</u>	<u>333.7</u>	<u>25.5</u>	<u>7.6%</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(35.7)	(31.4)	(4.3)	13.6%
22.	Capitalization	(69.2)	(65.3)	(3.9)	6.0%
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	<u>(3.4)</u>	<u>(3.2)</u>	<u>(0.2)</u>	<u>6.5%</u>
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>250.9</u>	<u>233.8</u>	<u>17.1</u>	<u>7.3%</u>
25.	Conservation Services	1.5	7.0	(5.5)	-78.4%
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>252.5</u>	<u>240.8</u>	<u>11.6</u>	<u>4.8%</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>				
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	<u>(2.5)</u>	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>5.5</u>	<u>-68.9%</u>
29.	Total Eliminations	<u>(2.5)</u>	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>5.5</u>	<u>-68.9%</u>
30.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$250.0</u>	<u>\$232.9</u>	<u>\$17.1</u>	<u>7.3%</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

10. Salaries and wages are higher by \$10.2 million as a result of two drivers: merit increases and new FTE additions. The merit increase follows the Human Resources (“HR”) guidance: 3.3% salary increase for non-union employees and 3.0% salary increase for union employees. Increase in staff levels is primarily due to safety requirements for integrity management, leak management, damage detection and prevention, and safety related training. The increase is also a response to increases in customer demands. /u
11. The benefits increase of \$4.5 million is driven by a higher salary base, an increase in FTE’s, and other post employment benefits (“OPEB”). OPEB accounts for a \$2.9 million increase resulting from the change in accounting methodology; please refer to Exhibit A1, Tab 6, Schedule 2 for the details. The rationale for benefits increase is described at Exhibit D1, Tab 19, Schedule 1.
12. Short term incentive program (“STIP”) increase of \$0.8 million is reflective of a higher salary base and higher FTE’s in 2013.
13. The increase of \$1.1 million in outside services is primarily driven by the Envision application operations service contract renewal efforts, which includes assessing and selecting service providers and performing transition activities. Inflationary pressures, market cost adjustments for contracts, and a higher customer base account for the rest of the increase, partially offset by lower incremental costs to revise and implement standards and processes related to leak management.
14. The increase of \$1.5 million in regulatory proceeding costs is the result of an anticipated increase in costs in relation to Enbridge’s 2013 cost of service rate proceeding. This is due to the anticipated increase in complexity and time required for discovery and review within a cost of service process compared to

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

what has been required each year during Enbridge's IR mechanism for 2008-2012. The result is an anticipated increase in intervenor costs, consulting costs in relation to studies required for the 2013 rebasing application as agreed to by parties within the EB-2011-0008 proceeding, legal costs, and administrative process related costs.

15. The increase of \$2.9 million in consulting is primarily due to incremental services required to achieve the Company's goal of zero safety incidents through the Path to Zero initiative.
16. The increase of \$0.8 million in interest on security deposits results from the higher short term interest rate (3.95%) forecasted in 2013, relative to 2.75% in 2012.
17. The Provision for Uncollectible Accounts recognizes that, due to customer default, not all billings will be collected. The 2013 Budget of \$15.2 million is \$1.5 million higher than 2012 Estimate of \$13.7 million. This is driven by higher billed receivables due to customer growth and an increase in commodity price. Commodity prices have been at very low levels and declining since early 2009. The 2012 Estimate assumes that gas supply charge will approximate the very low levels reflected in the October 2011 QRAM. However, the Consensus Wholesale Energy Price Forecast indicates an 8%-11% increase from 2012 to 2013. Therefore a price increase has been assumed in the 2013 Budget.

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

Table 3  
Enbridge Gas Distribution  
Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Estimate 2012 (a)	Historic 2011 (b)	Difference (c)	% (d)
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$160.7	\$145.0	\$15.7	10.8%
2.	Benefits	25.9	23.2	2.7	11.8%
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	19.4	22.3	(2.8)	-12.8%
4.	Employee Training and Development	4.0	3.6	0.4	11.8%
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.5	4.8	0.7	13.8%
6.	Outside Services	77.9	62.4	15.5	24.8%
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	5.8	5.8	(0.0)	-0.1%
8.	Consulting	6.7	5.3	1.3	25.0%
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	1.9	1.2	0.8	64.9%
10.	Fleet	9.8	8.9	0.9	10.2%
11.	Rents and Leases	7.4	6.3	1.2	18.6%
12.	Telecommunications	3.6	3.3	0.3	9.8%
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	4.7	3.7	1.0	26.4%
14.	Memberships	3.2	2.9	0.3	8.9%
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	0.8	1.2	(0.4)	-36.9%
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	1.9	1.1	0.8	68.6%
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	13.7	16.8	(3.1)	-18.4%
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.1)	(24.1)	(1.1)	4.4%
19.	Other	5.9	5.4	0.5	8.5%
20.	Subtotal	<u>333.7</u>	<u>299.2</u>	<u>34.5</u>	<u>11.5%</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(31.4)	(25.3)	(6.1)	23.9%
22.	Capitalization	(65.3)	(55.0)	(10.3)	18.7%
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	<u>(3.2)</u>	<u>(3.4)</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>-6.0%</u>
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>233.8</u>	<u>215.5</u>	<u>18.4</u>	<u>8.5%</u>
25.	Conservation Services	<u>7.0</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.3%</u>
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>240.8</u>	<u>222.4</u>	<u>18.4</u>	<u>8.3%</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>				
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>(7.4)</u>	<u>(0.5)</u>	<u>6.9%</u>
29.	Total Eliminations	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>(7.4)</u>	<u>(0.5)</u>	<u>6.9%</u>
30.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$232.9</u>	<u>\$215.0</u>	<u>\$17.9</u>	<u>8.3%</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

2012 Estimate Comparison to 2011 Historical – Other O&M

18. The 2012 Other O&M Estimate is \$232.9 million. This is an increase of \$17.9 million or 8.3% over the 2011 Historical. The variances by cost type between the two years are summarized on Table 3. The principal drivers of this increase are identified and described below.
19. Salaries and wages are higher by \$15.7 million as a result of two drivers: merit increases and new FTE additions. The merit increase follows the HR guidance: 3.3% salary increase for non-union employees and 3.5% salary increase for union employees. Increase in staff levels is primarily due to safety requirements for integrity management, leak management, damage detection and prevention, safety related training, and increased work activities in various functions.
20. The benefits increase of \$2.7 million is driven by a higher salary base and additional FTE's, higher prescription costs, dental fees, and an increase in employee utilization of benefits. Please refer to Exhibit D1, Tab 19, Schedule 1 for a detailed explanation of benefit costs.
21. STIP decreases by \$2.8 million principally due to the estimate of the corporate performance multiplier relative to 2011, partially offset by an increase in base salary and new staff additions.
22. The increase of \$0.7 million in materials and supplies is the result of increased pipeline inspection to meet safety requirements.
23. The increase of \$15.5 million in outside services is primarily driven by incremental advertising and community outreach programs, an increase in maintenance and safety activities, higher activities for the sewer lateral program, an increase in IT

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei



hardware and software maintenance, higher program costs for growth opportunities, an increase in units of work, a greater number of required pipeline locates, increased leak survey and corrosion activities, and inflationary pressures for all other outside services.

24. The increase of \$1.3 million in consulting is primarily due to the incremental services required to achieve the Company's goal of zero safety incidents through the Path to Zero initiative.
25. The increase of \$0.8 million in repairs and maintenance reflects higher storage maintenance costs in relation to compressor parts and instrumentation and higher pipe and fitting maintenance activities.
26. The increase of \$0.9 million in fleet is the result of higher vehicle costs driven by higher operating activity, higher fuel costs, and increased maintenance related to work equipment.
27. The increase of \$1.2 million in Rents and Leases is mainly due to the planned acquisition of additional office space to accommodate the business growth at the head office facility, and an increase in land easement costs.
28. Travel and other business expenses increase by \$1.0 million as a result of inflationary pressures, higher travel costs, and anticipated increased business activity and related travel costs.
29. The increase of \$0.8 million in interest on security deposits results from higher short term interest rate forecasted in 2012.

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

30. The 2012 Provision for Uncollectible Accounts estimate of \$13.7 million is \$3.1 million lower than the 2011 estimate of \$16.8 million. While overall, the 2012 Estimate is lower than that of 2011, the 2012 Estimate reflects an expected increase in the value of billed receivables in 2012, which is more than offset by adjustments required to correct deficiencies in accounts receivable reporting that were recognized in 2011.

2011 Historical Comparison to 2007 Board Approved – Other O&M

31. While the Company has used a grass roots department by department approach to forecast its 2012 and 2013 O&M, the comparison by cost type and by department between 2011 and 2007 cannot be performed in a truly meaningful manner due to two reasons. First, the 2007 other O&M approved by the Board was based on an envelope amount which reflects a lump-sum reduction from the 2007 budget as filed. The Board did not approve individual departmental O&M Budgets. The 2007 Budget by department was not adjusted at the department level to reflect the reduction to the envelope amount. Accordingly, there is no Board Approved O&M budget by cost type and by department. The regulatory presentation of 2007 Board Approved amounts by department in some exhibits is an arbitrary allocation that simply involved prorating the total reduction. It is not a true representation of real costs required for each department. Second, the Company has undergone a series of re-organizations since 2007, the organizational structure today is different from what it was in 2007. An attempt to compare the costs line by line between the two distinct time periods would lead to inaccurate interpretations as the roles and responsibilities of groups within departments have changed.
32. A more appropriate comparison between 2007 Board Approved O&M Expense and 2013 Budget is by cost category as identified in paragraphs 3 to 8 and

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

Table 1 provided on page 2 of this exhibit. Several of these cost categories are the subject of a separate process and settlement agreement (CC/CIS and DSM) or a Board approved methodology (RCAM). The pension expense is a function of whether it is in a deficit position, a matter beyond the Company's control. The aggregate of the expenses associated with these four categories when subtracted from the Total Net Utility O&M Expense leaves the remainder "Other O&M" of \$250 Million. Table 4 below sets out the O&M Expense by each category from 2007 Board Approved to 2013 Budget. The high level year over year variance explanations for Other O&M in historical years from 2007 Actual to 2011 Estimate can be found at Exhibit D5, Tab 2, Schedule 5.

Table 4  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expense by Category  
From 2007 Board Approved to 2013 Budget

Line No. Categories (\$ Millions)	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8
	Budget 2013	Estimate 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010	Actual 2009	Actual 2008	Actual 2007	Board Approved 2007
1. Customer Care Service Charges	\$89.4	\$90.4	\$79.2	\$87.5	\$87.5	\$82.5	\$84.4	\$90.8
2. Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology(RCAM)	32.1	30.2	26.7	24.3	21.2	19.1	18.1	18.1
3. Demand Side Management (DSM)	31.4	28.1	26.7	25.5	24.3	23.1	22.0	22.0
4. Pension Expense	37.3	20.6	3.2	4.0	2.6	1.7	1.5	1.7
5. Other O&M	247.8	232.9	224.7	205.5	201.5	197.0	196.0	193.6
6. Total Net Utility O&M Expense	\$438.1	\$402.2	\$360.5	\$346.7	\$337.0	\$323.4	\$322.0	\$326.2

Full Time Equivalents ("FTE")

33. The FTE's presented in Table 5 on the following page represent the Company's total gross FTE's before capitalization. A portion of the FTE's is capitalized and, therefore, their compensation and employee related expenses are included in the

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

capital budget. 2013 FTE's are forecast at 2,287. This is an increase of 56 FTE's over the 2012 Estimate of 2,231 FTE's. The increase is primarily due to integrity management (twenty FTE's), worker and public safety (eight FTE's), system operations in work management and extended alliance (seven FTE's), IT support for new business applications and the conversion of contractors (six FTE's), measurement and regulation inspectors (five FTE's), leak management (five FTE's), damage prevention (two FTE's), incident response (two FTE's), and other (one FTE's). Please refer to the FTE evidence filed at Exhibit D3, Tab 2, Schedule 4.

Table 5  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Full Time Equivalent (FTE)  
2013 Budget, 2012 Estimate, 2011 Historical, and 2007 Board Approved

Line No. <u>Salary Bands</u>	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7
	Budget <u>2013</u>	Estimate <u>2012</u>	Historical <u>2011</u>	Board Approved <u>2007</u>	2013 Budget vs. Estimate <u>2012</u>	2012 Estimate vs. Historical <u>2011</u>	2011 Historical vs. Board Approved <u>2007</u>
1. Management	140	138	129	250	1	9	(121)
2. Supervisory	1,452	1,393	1,266	955	60	127	310
3. Union	695	700	675	755	(5)	25	(80)
4. Total FTE	<u>2,287</u>	<u>2,231</u>	<u>2,070</u>	<u>1,961</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>110</u>

34. 2012 FTE's increase by 161 FTE's over the 2011 Historical of 2,070 FTE's. The increase is primarily due to distribution asset management (twenty FTE's), pipeline evaluation and inspection (sixteen FTE's), Operations (fourteen FTE's) needed to improve records integrity, revise standards and processes around leaks, damages, and emergency response times, and replace targeted assets based on risk studies, damage prevention and leak survey and corrosion (twelve FTE's), environmental health and safety (ten FTE's), safety and technical training

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

(ten FTE's), GTA reinforcement project (nine FTE's), customer support (eight FTE's), system operations (seven FTE's), IT system specialists, project manager, and analysts (seven FTE's), Operations union collective agreement (five FTE's), safety supervisors (five FTE's), measurement and regulation inspectors (five FTE's), HR support and plant maintenance (five FTE's), regulatory support and gas control management (five FTE's), DSM and conservation services (five FTE's), an overlap of resources to allow for the training and knowledge transfer (five FTE's), Finance unfilled replacements and return of maternity leave (six FTE's), legal contracts lead and records management (three FTE's), public and government affairs (three FTE's), other (one FTE's). Please refer to the FTE evidence filed at Exhibit D4, Tab 2, Schedule 5 and Exhibit D5, Tab 2, Schedule 4.

#### O&M Cost Per Customer

35. Table 6 on the following page provides the O&M cost per customer from 2004 to 2013 in constant dollars and in nominal dollars. The inflation index being used for the calculation of constant dollars is GDP IPI FDD, which is consistent with what is used in the IR formula. The O&M cost per customer for 2013, in constant dollars, has slightly increased by 0.2% since 2004 due to FTE growth, increased customer numbers, and higher safety requirements, partially offset by the continued productivity improvements.

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei

Table 6  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Operation and Maintenance Expense  
Cost Per Customer

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
<u>2013 Constant Dollars per Customer</u>										
Utility O&M Cost Per Customer <sup>1</sup>	197.09	186.14	183.71	180.03	172.30	174.91	173.86	173.16	191.73	197.42
<u>Nominal Dollars per Customer</u>										
Utility O&M Cost Per Customer <sup>1</sup>	168.40	162.44	164.11	164.40	161.02	165.63	166.76	167.35	188.49	197.42
Number of Customers (000's) <sup>2</sup>	1,676.38	1,724.72	1,782.81	1,824.79	1,865.02	1,887.61	1,926.29	1,957.73	1,984.73	2,013.35

Notes:

1. Does not include ancillary program costs, or demand side management costs
2. Number of Customers represent total unlock customers

Summary

36. The level of costs submitted are required to continue to provide an acceptable quality of service to Enbridge's existing and new customers and maintain the distribution system to ensure continued safety and reliability. Enbridge respectfully requests approval of the 2013 O&M Budget of \$426.1 million.

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No. Notes:	(\$ millions)	
<u>Salaries and Wages (Line 1)</u>		
1. 2013 Budget	170.9	
2. 2012 Estimate	160.7	
3. Difference	<u>10.2</u>	
Reasons:		
4. Annual salary and wage increase of 3.3% for non-unions and 3.0% for unions	5.3	/u
5. Increase of 56 FTE's	4.9	
6. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>10.2</u>	
<u>Benefits (Line 2)</u>		
7. 2013 Budget	30.5	
8. 2012 Estimate	25.9	
9. Difference	<u>4.5</u>	
Reasons:		
10. Increase in OPEB expense due to the change in accounting methodology	2.9	
11. Increase in staff levels - 56 FTE's	0.7	
12. Increase in prescription costs, dental fees, and increase in benefit claims	0.5	
13. Increase in CPP, EI & Employers Health Tax from higher salary base	0.4	
14. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>4.5</u>	
<u>Short Term Incentive Program (Line 3)</u>		
15. 2013 Budget	20.3	
16. 2012 Estimate	19.4	
17. Difference	<u>0.8</u>	
Reasons:		
18. The increased in STIP is a result of the higher salary base in 2013	0.8	
19. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>0.8</u>	

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No. <u>Notes:</u>	(\$ millions)
<u>Outside Services (Line 6)</u>	
20. 2013 Budget	79.0
21. 2012 Estimate	<u>77.9</u>
22. Difference	<u><u>1.1</u></u>
Reasons:	
23. EnVision application operations service contract renewal efforts to assess and select a service provider as well as to perform transition activities	1.1
24. Additional work relating to in line inspection program	1.0
25. Higher number of pipeline locates required, increased leak survey and corrosion activities	0.9
26. Higher contractor cost, inflationary increases for building utility costs	0.5
27. Inflationary pressures and market cost adjustments for IT contracts	0.4
28. Increase in inserts, video, first time customer kit, and translation costs	0.4
29. Contract cost increase for all other departments	0.3
30. Reduction in contractors cost as a result of conversion of four FTE's	(0.5)
31. Lower incremental costs for leak management, repairs, and maintenance	<u>(3.0)</u>
32. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u><u>1.1</u></u>
<u>Regulatory costs (Line 7)</u>	
33. 2013 Budget	7.3
34. 2012 Estimate	<u>5.8</u>
35. Difference	<u><u>1.5</u></u>
Reasons:	
36. Higher regulatory proceeding costs in anticipation of a more lengthy 2013 cost of service rate application, as compared to recent IR rate applications	1.5
37. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u><u>1.5</u></u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei



Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No. Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Consulting (Line 8)</u>	
38. 2013 Budget	9.5
39. 2012 Estimate	6.7
40. Difference	<u>2.9</u>
Reasons:	
41. Incremental services required for the Path to Zero initiative	1.9
42. Envision contract renewal efforts	0.6
43. Market cost adjustment for contracts	0.4
44. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>2.9</u>
<u>Interest on Security Deposits (Line 16)</u>	
45. 2013 Budget	2.7
46. 2012 Estimate	1.9
47. Difference	<u>0.8</u>
Reasons:	
48. The short term interest rate forecast is 120 basis points higher than 2012	0.8
49. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>0.8</u>
<u>Provision for Uncollectibles (Line 17)</u>	
50. 2013 Budget	15.2
51. 2012 Estimate	13.7
52. Difference	<u>1.5</u>
Reasons:	
53. higher billed receivables due to customer growth and an increase in commodity price, and a higher risk related to customers' ability to pay	1.5
54. Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>1.5</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No.	Notes:	(\$ millions)
	<u>Other (Line 19)</u>	
55.	2013 Budget	7.1
56.	2012 Estimate	<u>5.9</u>
57.	Difference	<u><u>1.3</u></u>
	Reasons:	
58.	The Company's performance management initiative aimed at improving overall efficiency and effectiveness	1.3
59.	Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u><u>1.3</u></u>
	<u>Capitalization (A&amp;G) (Line 21)</u>	
60.	2013 Budget	(35.7)
61.	2012 Estimate	<u>(31.4)</u>
62.	Difference	<u><u>(4.3)</u></u>
	Reasons:	
63.	Higher pension and OPEB costs	(2.1)
64.	Higher underpinning O&M costs for A&G	<u>(2.1)</u>
65.	Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u><u>(4.3)</u></u>
	<u>Capitalization (Line 22)</u>	
66.	2013 Budget	(69.2)
67.	2012 Estimate	<u>(65.3)</u>
68.	Difference	<u><u>(3.9)</u></u>
	Reasons:	
69.	Driven by salary increase and FTE additions in 2013	<u>(3.9)</u>
70.	Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u><u>(3.9)</u></u>
71.	All other items for variances less than \$0.5 million	<u><u>0.8</u></u>
	Total variance	<u><u>17.1</u></u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Salaries and Wages (Line 1)</u>		
1.	2012 Estimate	160.7
2.	2011 Historical	145.0
3.	Difference	<u>15.7</u>
Reasons:		
4.	Annual salary and wage increase of 3.3% for non-unions and 3.5% for unions	4.8
5.	Increase of 161 FTE's	10.9
6.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>15.7</u>
<u>Benefits (Line 2)</u>		
7.	2012 Estimate	25.9
8.	2011 Historical	23.2
9.	Difference	<u>2.7</u>
Reasons:		
10.	Increase in staff levels - 161 FTE's	1.9
11.	Increase in prescription costs, dental fees, and increase in benefit claims	0.4
12.	Increase in CPP, EI & Employers Health Tax from higher salary base	0.4
13.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>2.7</u>
<u>Short Term Incentive Program (Line 3)</u>		
14.	2012 Estimate	19.4
15.	2011 Historical	22.3
16.	Difference	<u>(2.8)</u>
Reasons:		
17.	Higher corporate performance multipliers in 2011	<u>(2.8)</u>
18.	Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>(2.8)</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Material and Supplies (Line 5)</u>		
19.	2012 Estimate	5.5
20.	2011 Historical	4.8
21.	Difference	<u>0.7</u>
Reasons:		
22.	Increased pipeline inspection	0.5
23.	Increase in material and supplies for all other department	<u>0.2</u>
24.	Total 2013 vs. 2012 Difference	<u>0.7</u>
<u>Outside Services (Line 6)</u>		
25.	2012 Estimate	77.9
26.	2011 Historical	62.4
27.	Difference	<u>15.5</u>
Reasons:		
28.	Advertising and other community outreach related to the safety related initiatives	6.2
29.	Increase in maintenance activities relating to safety and sewer lateral program	4.8
30.	Increase in IT hardware and software maintenance contract costs	1.3
31.	Higher program costs for growth opportunities	1.1
32.	Increase in units of work in Operations	1.0
33.	Higher number of pipeline locates required, increased leak survey and corrosion activities	0.5
34.	Outside services for all other departments	<u>0.7</u>
35.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>15.5</u>
<u>Consulting (Line 8)</u>		
36.	2012 Estimate	6.7
37.	2011 Historical	5.3
38.	Difference	<u>1.3</u>
Reasons:		
39.	the incremental service required to continue the Path to Zero initiative	<u>1.3</u>
40.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>1.3</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No. Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Repairs and maintenance (Line 9)</u>	
41. 2012 Estimate	1.9
42. 2011 Historical	1.2
43. Difference	<u>0.8</u>
Reasons:	
44. Higher storage maintenance costs associated to compressor parts, instrumentation, and higher pipeline fitting costs	0.8
45. Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>0.8</u>
<u>Fleet (Line 10)</u>	
46. 2012 Estimate	9.8
47. 2011 Historical	8.9
48. Difference	<u>0.9</u>
Reasons:	
49. Higher vehicle costs driven by higher operating activity, higher fuel costs, and increased maintenance in work equipment	0.9
50. Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>0.9</u>
<u>Rents and leases (Line 11)</u>	
51. 2012 Estimate	7.4
52. 2011 Historical	6.3
53. Difference	<u>1.1</u>
Reasons:	
54. Planned acquisition of additional office space to accommodate requirements at the head office facility	0.7
55. Increase in land easement requirements	0.4
56. Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u>1.1</u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Travel and other business expenses (Line 13)</u>		
57.	2012 Estimate	4.7
58.	2011 Historical	<u>3.7</u>
59.	Difference	<u><u>1.0</u></u>
Reasons:		
60.	inflationary pressures, higher travel costs, and increase in business activity	<u>1.0</u>
61.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>1.0</u></u>
<u>Interest on Security Deposits (Line 16)</u>		
62.	2012 Estimate	1.9
63.	2011 Historical	<u>1.1</u>
64.	Difference	<u><u>0.8</u></u>
Reasons:		
65.	The short term interest rate forecast is 114 basis points higher than 2011	<u>0.8</u>
66.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>0.8</u></u>
<u>Provision for Uncollectibles (Line 17)</u>		
67.	2012 Estimate	13.7
68.	2011 Historical	<u>16.8</u>
69.	Difference	<u><u>(3.1)</u></u>
Reasons:		
70.	Higher estimates in 2011 due to one time non-recurring adjustments	<u>(3.1)</u>
71.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>(3.1)</u></u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Notes:	(\$ millions)
<u>Internal allocations and recoveries (Line 18)</u>		
72.	2012 Estimate	(25.1)
73.	2011 Historical	<u>(24.1)</u>
74.	Difference	<u><u>(1.1)</u></u>
Reasons:		
75.	Additional safety and records management initiatives driving additional O&M capitalization	(1.1)
76.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>(1.1)</u></u>
<u>Capitalization (A&amp;G) (Line 21)</u>		
77.	2012 Estimate	(31.4)
78.	2011 Historical	<u>(25.3)</u>
79.	Difference	<u><u>(6.1)</u></u>
Reasons:		
80.	Higher pension contribution expense	(3.7)
81.	Higher underpinning O&M costs for A&G	<u>(2.4)</u>
82.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>(6.1)</u></u>
<u>Capitalization (Line 22)</u>		
83.	2012 Estimate	(65.3)
84.	2011 Historical	<u>(55.0)</u>
85.	Difference	<u><u>(10.3)</u></u>
Reasons:		
86.	Driven by salary increase and FTE additions in 2013	<u>(10.3)</u>
87.	Total 2012 vs. 2011 Difference	<u><u>(10.3)</u></u>
88.	All other items for variances less than \$0.5 million	<u><u>0.8</u></u>
Total variance		<u><u>17.9</u></u>

Witnesses: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

UPDATED OPERATING MAINTENANCE AND OTHER COSTS

1. 2011 Historical and 2013 Budget have been updated to reflect 2011 actual results and material changes to the 2013 Test Year since EB-2011-0354 was filed. There were no changes made to the 2012 Estimate.

Table 1  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expense by Category  
2013 Budget, 2012 Estimate, 2011 Actual, and 2007 Board Approved

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7
Line No. <u>Categories (\$ Millions)</u>	Updated Budget <u>2013</u>	Estimate <u>2012</u>	Actual <u>2011</u>	Board Approved <u>2007</u>	2013 Budget vs. Estimate <u>2012</u>	2012 Estimate vs. Actual <u>2011</u>	2011 Actual vs. Board Approved <u>2007</u>
1. Customer Care Service Charges	\$89.4	\$90.4	\$79.2	\$90.8	(\$1.0)	\$11.2	(\$11.6)
2. Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology(RCAM)	32.1	30.2	26.7	18.1	1.9	3.5	8.6
3. Demand Side Management (DSM)	31.4	28.1	26.7	22.0	3.3	1.4	4.7
4. Pension Expense	37.3	20.6	3.2	1.7	16.7	17.3	1.5
5. Other O&M	<u>247.8</u>	<u>232.9</u>	<u>224.7</u>	<u>193.6</u>	<u>14.9</u>	<u>8.2</u>	<u>31.1</u>
6. Total Net Utility O&M Expense	\$438.1	\$402.2	\$360.5	\$326.2	\$35.9	\$41.7	\$34.4

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei



Table 2  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expense by Category  
2013 Test Year

Line No.	Categories (\$ Millions)	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3
		Updated Budget <u>2013</u>	Original Budget <u>2013</u>	Updated Budget vs. Budget <u>2013</u>
1.	Customer Care Service Charges	\$89.4	\$89.4	\$0.0
2.	Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology(RCAM)	32.1	30.3	1.8
3.	Demand Side Management (DSM)	31.4	28.6	2.8
4.	Pension Expense	37.3	27.7	9.6
5.	Other O&M	<u>247.8</u>	<u>250.0</u>	<u>(2.2)</u>
6.	Total Net Utility O&M Expense	\$438.1	\$426.1	\$12.0

2. The 2013 updated total net utility O&M expense increases by \$12.0 million from \$426.1 million to \$438.1 million due to higher RCAM, DSM, and pension expense. Table 2 provided above summarizes the changes in major five cost categories.

- RCAM increases by \$1.8 million to reflect MNP's recommended amount of \$32.1 million, which replaces the placeholder of \$30.3 million in the original rate case filing.
- DSM increases by \$2.8 million, which represents 10% increase for the incremental low income program spending.
- Pension expense increases by \$9.6 million as a result of the updated report from Mercer.
- Other O&M decreases by \$2.2 million due to higher pension expense being capitalized to A&G at a rate of 21.2%.

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Table 3  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expense by Category  
2011 Year

Line No. Categories (\$ Millions)	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3
	Actual <u>2011</u>	Historical <u>2011</u>	Actual vs. Historical <u>2011</u>
1. Customer Care Service Charges	\$79.2	\$82.6	(\$3.4)
2. Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology(RCAM)	26.7	26.7	(0.0)
3. Demand Side Management (DSM)	26.7	28.1	(1.4)
4. Pension Expense	3.2	3.2	0.0
5. Other O&M	224.7	215.0	9.6
6. Total Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$360.5</u>	<u>\$355.7</u>	<u>\$4.8</u>

3. The 2011 Actual net utility O&M was \$360.5 million, which was \$4.8 million higher than the filed 2011 Historical year of \$355.7 million due to higher other O&M partially offset by customer care service charges. Table 3 provided above summarizes the changes in major five cost categories.

- Customer care service charges decreased by \$3.4 million due to lower outsourcing charges in billing, credit and collection, meter reading, and postage partially offset by higher call centre service costs.
- DSM decreased by \$1.4 million because the actual incremental low income program spending approved by the Board was booked in the DSMVA account as opposed to in the O&M.
- Other O&M increased by \$9.6 million primarily as a result of higher provision for uncollectibles and higher short term incentive program.

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Table 4  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Updated	Estimate	Difference	%
		Budget	2012		
		2013	2012	(c)	(d)
		(a)	(b)		
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$170.9	\$160.7	\$10.2	6.3%
2.	Benefits	30.5	25.9	4.5	17.4%
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	20.3	19.4	0.8	4.3%
4.	Employee Training and Development	4.1	4.0	0.1	2.4%
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.3%
6.	Outside Services	79.0	77.9	1.1	1.4%
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	7.3	5.8	1.5	25.7%
8.	Consulting	9.5	6.7	2.9	42.7%
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.8%
10.	Fleet	10.0	9.8	0.2	2.1%
11.	Rents and Leases	7.7	7.4	0.2	3.1%
12.	Telecommunications	3.7	3.6	0.0	1.4%
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	4.9	4.7	0.2	4.0%
14.	Memberships	3.4	3.2	0.2	7.1%
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	0.8	0.8	0.1	8.2%
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	2.7	1.9	0.8	40.5%
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	15.2	13.7	1.5	10.7%
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.3)	(25.1)	(0.1)	0.5%
19.	Other	7.2	5.9	1.4	23.0%
20.	Subtotal	<u>359.3</u>	<u>333.7</u>	<u>25.6</u>	<u>7.7%</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(37.7)	(31.4)	(6.3)	20.1%
22.	Capitalization	(69.2)	(65.3)	(3.9)	6.0%
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	(3.4)	(3.2)	(0.2)	6.5%
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>249.0</u>	<u>233.8</u>	<u>15.2</u>	<u>6.5%</u>
25.	Conservation Services	1.5	7.0	(5.5)	-78.4%
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>250.5</u>	<u>240.8</u>	<u>9.7</u>	<u>4.0%</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>				
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	(2.5)	(7.9)	5.5	-68.9%
29.	Incremental O&M Allocated to Unregulated Storage	(0.2)	-	(0.2)	
30.	Total Eliminations	<u>(2.7)</u>	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>5.2</u>	<u>-66.0%</u>
31.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$247.8</u>	<u>\$232.9</u>	<u>\$14.9</u>	<u>6.4%</u>
32.	Management	140	138	2	1.4%
33.	Supervisory	1,452	1,393	59	4.2%
34.	Union	695	700	(5)	-0.7%
35.	FTE	<u>2,287</u>	<u>2,231</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2.5%</u>

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Table 5  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Actual Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Estimate	Actual	Difference	%
		2012 (a)	2011 (b)		
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$160.7	\$141.5	\$19.2	13.6%
2.	Benefits	25.9	24.3	1.7	6.9%
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	19.4	26.0	(6.6)	-25.3%
4.	Employee Training and Development	4.0	5.6	(1.5)	-27.4%
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.5	5.2	0.3	5.6%
6.	Outside Services	77.9	63.6	14.3	22.4%
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	5.8	4.8	1.0	21.1%
8.	Consulting	6.7	5.0	1.7	33.1%
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	1.9	1.4	0.6	40.3%
10.	Fleet	9.8	9.0	0.8	8.5%
11.	Rents and Leases	7.4	7.3	0.2	2.1%
12.	Telecommunications	3.6	3.1	0.5	15.4%
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	4.7	3.5	1.2	32.8%
14.	Memberships	3.2	4.0	(0.8)	-20.6%
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	0.8	1.6	(0.8)	-52.8%
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	1.9	1.0	0.9	86.6%
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	13.7	21.5	(7.8)	-36.4%
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.1)	(25.7)	0.6	-2.4%
19.	Other	5.9	6.8	(0.9)	-13.5%
20.	Subtotal	<u>333.7</u>	<u>309.5</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>7.8%</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(31.4)	(24.5)	(6.9)	28.3%
22.	Capitalization	(65.3)	(55.3)	(10.0)	18.1%
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	(3.2)	(4.9)	1.7	-34.2%
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>233.8</u>	<u>224.9</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.0%</u>
25.	Conservation Services	7.0	7.3	(0.3)	-4.3%
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>240.8</u>	<u>232.2</u>	<u>8.6</u>	<u>3.7%</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>				
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	(7.9)	(7.3)	(0.6)	8.6%
29.	Incremental O&M Allocated to Unregulated Storage	-	(0.2)	0.2	-100.0%
30.	Total Eliminations	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>(7.5)</u>	<u>(0.4)</u>	<u>5.2%</u>
31.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$232.9</u>	<u>\$224.7</u>	<u>\$8.2</u>	<u>3.7%</u>
32.	Management	138	129	9	7.1%
33.	Supervisory	1,393	1,266	127	10.0%
34.	Union	700	675	25	3.7%
35.	FTE	<u>2,231</u>	<u>2,070</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>7.8%</u>

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Table 6  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Operation and Maintenance Expense  
Cost Per Customer

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
<u>2013 Constant Dollars per Customer</u>										
Utility O&M Cost Per Customer <sup>1</sup>	197.09	186.14	183.71	180.03	172.30	174.91	173.86	176.18	191.73	201.23
<u>Nominal Dollars per Customer</u>										
Utility O&M Cost Per Customer <sup>1</sup>	168.40	162.44	164.11	164.40	161.02	165.63	166.76	170.27	188.49	201.23
Number of Customers (000's) <sup>2</sup>	1,676.38	1,724.72	1,782.81	1,824.79	1,865.02	1,887.61	1,926.29	1,960.38	1,984.73	2,020.96

Notes:

1. Does not include ancillary program costs, or demand side management costs
2. Number of Customers represent total unlock customers

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

CORRECTION ON 2011 ACTUAL OPERATING MAINTENANCE AND OTHER COSTS

1. The 2011 Actual has been updated to regroup two items into the correct cost types. However, the total O&M costs remain unchanged. The tables below provides the changes made to 2011 actual results.
  - Short Term Incentive Program: the reduction of \$0.8 million represents the reversal of a portion of 2010 STIP accrual which was booked in the account under Other category. The regrouping reflects the total STIP amount in Line No. 3.
  - Outside services: \$0.4 million between Outside services and Other is a correction.

Table 5A  
 Enbridge Gas Distribution  
 Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Updated Actual 2011	Actual 2011	Difference
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$141.5	\$141.5	-
2.	Benefits	24.3	24.3	-
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	25.2	26.0	(0.8)
4.	Employee Training and Development	5.6	5.6	-
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.2	5.2	-
6.	Outside Services	63.2	63.6	(0.4)
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	4.8	4.8	-
8.	Consulting	5.0	5.0	-
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	1.4	1.4	-
10.	Fleet	9.0	9.0	-
11.	Rents and Leases	7.3	7.3	-
12.	Telecommunications	3.1	3.1	-
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	3.5	3.5	-
14.	Memberships	4.0	4.0	-
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	1.6	1.6	-
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	1.0	1.0	-
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	21.5	21.5	-
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.7)	(25.7)	-
19.	Other	8.0	6.8	1.2
20.	Subtotal	<u>309.5</u>	<u>309.5</u>	<u>-</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(24.5)	(24.5)	-
22.	Capitalization	(55.3)	(55.3)	-
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	(4.9)	(4.9)	-
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>224.9</u>	<u>224.9</u>	<u>-</u>
25.	Conservation Services	7.3	7.3	-
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>232.2</u>	<u>232.2</u>	<u>-</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>			
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	(7.3)	(7.3)	-
29.	Incremental O&M Allocated to Unregulated Storage	(0.2)	(0.2)	-
30.	Total Eliminations	<u>(7.5)</u>	<u>(7.5)</u>	<u>-</u>
31.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$224.7</u>	<u>\$224.7</u>	<u>-</u>

Witness: S. Kancharla  
 R. Lei

Table 5  
Enbridge Gas Distribution  
Other Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type  
2012 Bridge Year vs. 2011 Historical Year

Line No.	Particulars (\$ millions)	Estimate	Updated Actual	Difference	%
		<u>2012</u> (a)	<u>2011</u> (b)		
1.	Salaries and Wages	\$160.7	\$141.5	\$19.2	13.6%
2.	Benefits	25.9	24.3	1.7	6.9%
3.	Short Term Incentive Program	19.4	25.2	(5.8)	-22.9%
4.	Employee Training and Development	4.0	5.6	(1.5)	-27.4%
5.	Materials and Supplies	5.5	5.2	0.3	5.6%
6.	Outside Services	77.9	63.2	14.7	23.2%
7.	Regulatory Proceeding Costs	5.8	4.8	1.0	21.1%
8.	Consulting	6.7	5.0	1.7	33.1%
9.	Repairs and Maintenance	1.9	1.4	0.6	40.3%
10.	Fleet	9.8	9.0	0.8	8.5%
11.	Rents and Leases	7.4	7.3	0.2	2.1%
12.	Telecommunications	3.6	3.1	0.5	15.4%
13.	Travel and Other Business Expenses	4.7	3.5	1.2	32.8%
14.	Memberships	3.2	4.0	(0.8)	-20.6%
15.	Claims, Damages and Legal Fees	0.8	1.6	(0.8)	-52.8%
16.	Interest on Security Deposits	1.9	1.0	0.9	86.6%
17.	Provision for Uncollectibles	13.7	21.5	(7.8)	-36.4%
18.	Internal Allocations and Recoveries	(25.1)	(25.7)	0.6	-2.4%
19.	Other	5.9	8.0	(2.1)	-26.5%
20.	Subtotal	<u>333.7</u>	<u>309.5</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>7.8%</u>
21.	Capitalization (A&G)	(31.4)	(24.5)	(6.9)	28.3%
22.	Capitalization	(65.3)	(55.3)	(10.0)	18.1%
23.	Non-Utility Allocations	<u>(3.2)</u>	<u>(4.9)</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>-34.2%</u>
24.	Subtotal Net Utility O&M Expense	<u>233.8</u>	<u>224.9</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.0%</u>
25.	Conservation Services	<u>7.0</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>(0.3)</u>	<u>-4.3%</u>
26.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense before Eliminations	<u>240.8</u>	<u>232.2</u>	<u>8.6</u>	<u>3.7%</u>
27.	<u>Regulatory Eliminations</u>				
28.	To eliminate Conservation Services and Overheads	(7.9)	(7.3)	(0.6)	8.6%
29.	Incremental O&M Allocated to Unregulated Storage	-	(0.2)	0.2	-100.0%
30.	Total Eliminations	<u>(7.9)</u>	<u>(7.5)</u>	<u>(0.4)</u>	<u>5.2%</u>
31.	Total Other Utility O&M Expense	<u>\$232.9</u>	<u>\$224.7</u>	<u>\$8.2</u>	<u>3.7%</u>
32.	Management	138	134	4	2.9%
33.	Supervisory	1,393	1,181	212	17.9%
34.	Union	700	653	47	7.2%
35.	FTE	<u>2,231</u>	<u>1,968</u>	<u>263</u>	<u>13.4%</u>
36.	Vacancy	-	116	(116)	-100.0%
37.	Total FTE	<u>2,231</u>	<u>2,084</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>7.1%</u>

Witness: S. Kancharla  
R. Lei