

UNDERTAKING JT1.17

UNDERTAKING

TR 1, page 113 (amended at 2 TR 3)

To extend the table at Exhibit I, Tab D1, Schedule 20.5 (page 3) to the right to include all years where RCAM had a charge from EI for SBC.

RESPONSE

As part of the 2006 Rate Case, Enbridge brought forward a new corporate cost allocation methodology called RCAM (EB-2005-001/EB-2005-0437 Decision with Reasons, page 70 section 10.1.5, dated February 9, 2006).

As such, the table at Exhibit I, Tab D1, Schedule 20.5 (page 3) has been extended to include year 2006 information, as shown below:

LTIP Costs, RCAM and CAM

	2013 Estimate		2012		2011		2010		2009		2008		2007		2006
Grant															
LTIP	N/A		\$6.5M		\$5.9M		\$4.5M		\$4.6M		\$3.6M		\$2.7M		\$2.2M
Expense															
RCAM (EGD direct)	\$7.7M	**	\$7.5M	*	\$6.4M	*	\$4.8M	*	\$4.3M	*	\$3.1M	*	\$1.7M	*	\$1.9M
CAM (EGD direct)	\$7.7M	**	\$7.5M	*	\$7.5M		\$5.6M		\$4.9M		\$4.3M		\$2.4M		\$1.9M
CAM (allocation)	\$5.3M	**	\$5.2M	*	\$5.7M		\$4.6M		\$4.3M		\$3.3M		\$1.5M		\$1.7M
CAM (total)	\$13.0M		\$12.7M		\$13.2M		\$10.2M		\$9.2M		\$7.6M		\$3.9M		\$3.6M

* Budget

** Estimate

Witnesses: B. Yuzwa
K. Culbert