

Fraser Milner Casgrain LLP

77 King Street West, Suite 400 Toronto-Dominion Centre Toronto, ON, Canada M5K 0A1

MAIN 416 863 4511 FAX 416 863 4592

Helen T Newland

DIRECT 416-863-4471

Helen.Newland@FMC-law.com

FILED ELECTRONICALLY AND VIA COURIER

December 7, 2012

Ms. Kirsten Walli **Board Secretary** Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street PO Box 2319, 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: Application for Stay by

Electricity Distributors Association ("EDA");

Distributed Antenna Systems Coalition ("CANDAS") in EB-2011-0120.

Board File No.: EB-2012-0414

We are counsel to ExteNet Systems Inc. in the above-noted matter and counsel for Canadian

CANDAS was listed as a participant in Appendix "A" to Procedural Order No. 1 dated November 27, 2012 in the Application for Stay noted above. Like VECC, CANDAS' members and its counsel have not been served by EDA with Procedural Order No.1. CANDAS notes that this issue was first raised by VECC in correspondence to the Board dated December 5, 2012 and that Board counsel has advised that the Board will be issuing amended procedures to take into account the lack of service of Procedural Order No. 1.

In the Board's consideration of amended procedures for the hearing of the EDA's Application for a Stay, CANDAS submits that in light of the nature of the Decision and Order in EB-2011-0120 ("Decision and Order"), the EDA should be required to serve all wireless carriers licensed to provide service in Ontario from the CRTC's list of wireless carriers (online: http://support.crtc.gc.ca/tlcmlsts/default.aspx?indx=31&lang=e) with the same materials that the Board has ordered the EDA to serve the participants in the CANDAS proceeding.

fmc-law.com MONTRÉAL OTTAWA TORONTO VANCOUVER EDMONTON CALGARY

/2

CANDAS further notes that the EDA did not perfect its appeal from the Board's September 13, 2012 Decision and Order within the prescribed timelines and has to date not yet done so. While the reasons for which the EDA has failed to perfect its appeal remain unclear, it may be potentially wasteful of public and private resources to hear an application for a stay pending an appeal which EDA may not pursue.

Yours very truly,

(signed) H.T. Newland

YMS/bac

cc: All Parties, EB-2011-0120