

Jay Shepherd

Professional Corporation 2300 Yonge Street Suite 806, Box 2305 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

BY EMAIL and RESS

January 22, 2013

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 27th Floor Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2012-0031– HONI Transmission ETS Hearing – Concurrent Expert Panel

We are counsel to the School Energy Coalition ("SEC"). Pursuant to Procedural Order No.8, these are SEC's submissions with respect to the procedure for the oral hearing of the evidence of the concurrent expert witness panel ordered pursuant to Rule 13A.

As a preliminary matter, SEC supports the request of APPrO in its letter dated January 16th to adjourn the oral hearing till at least February. In addition to the reasons for adjournment set out in APPrO's letter, the undersigned is unavailable on January 29th, albeit for the same reason previously requested by IESO in its November 22nd letter and rejected by the Board in Procedural Order No.9.

Since the Board has decided that the current ETS rate is final and that it may only change prospectively, there is now no urgent need for the issue to be determined and a delay can be more easily accommodated.

Concurrent Expert Witness Panel

SEC believes that the Board's concurrent expert witness panel procedure set out in the in EB-2011-0354 should be adopted for this proceeding with one modification. The Board should not allow the experts the opportunity to question each other. It is our experience from the EB-2011-0354 proceeding that the questioning of the experts by each other turns into an unhelpful cross-examination by another name. Through the Board's own questions and cross-examination by all parties, the benefits of the direct engagement between experts will still occur.

APPrO has recommended that in addition to the concurrent expert witness panel, its expert (Mr. Hamal from Navigant) and the IESO expert (Mr. Shavel from CRA) should also sit separately on

T. (416) 483-3300 F. (416) 483-3305

mark.rubenstein@canadianenergylawyers.com www.canadianenergylawyers.com an APPrO and IESO panel. SEC submits that this is inappropriate. The experts should only provide oral evidence once. Most of the benefits of the concurrent expert witness panel will be lost if the experts are questioned a second time individually.

Jay Shepherd P.C.

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein

cc: Applicant and all Intervenors (by email)