PBR Working Group

DRAFT Agenda

Location: Ontario Energy Board

ADR Room, 25th floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario

Monday, February 4, 2013

9:30 AM - 10:00 AM

Overview for the Next Two Days & Review of Action from Last Meeting (Board Staff)

Effectiveness and/or continuous improvement in achieving desired performance outcomes

10:00 AM - 11:00 AM Primer on Continuous Improvement Concepts (Lisa Brickenden, Board Staff)

11:00 AM - 12:00 PM Customer Value Analysis (Colin McLorg, Toronto Hydro)

12:00 PM - 12:30 PM Lunch

The scorecard as an effective performance reporting and management tool

12:30 PM - 1:30 PM The Evolution of the Hydro One Scorecard (Carm Altomare, Hydro One)

Staff's Proposed Measures and Scorecard - Part One

1:30 PM - 4:30 PM Staff Presentation & General Discussion (Start today, continue Feb 14th)

Reference Materials:

Board Staff proposal issued on December 6, 2012:

- Presentation
- List of Measures
- Scorecard

Presentations made at our January 21 & 22, 2013 meetings:

- Incentives, Behaviour and Consequences: Data and Potential Benchmarking Alternatives (Frank Cronin, Power Workers' Union)
 - Note on the Price-Dual Total
 Factor Productivity (TFP)
 Approach
- Toronto Hydro Productivity Studies
 (Ben La Pianta, Toronto Hydro)
- CEIRM's Benchmarking Submission to 3GIRM Consultation 2008 (Neil Freeman, Horizon Utilities)
- Performance Diagnostics (Jay Shepherd, School Energy Coalition)
- Office of Gas and Electricity Markets "OFGEM": Revenue = Incentives + Innovation + Outputs "RHO" (Jane Scott, Hydro Ottawa)

- 1. Do the proposed measures align with, and reflect a distributor's effectiveness in achieving, the Board's performance outcomes?
 - 2. Do they meet the Board's criteria?
- 3. What other measures should be considered? What are your preferred measures and why?
- 4. Do existing consequences associated with the proposed measures continue to be appropriate? Why/why not?
- 5. What might be the costs of tracking the "new" proposed measures?
 - 6. With respect to the scorecard features:
 - a. is five-years appropriate?
 - b. is the trend line appropriate?
 - c. should all measures have targets? If so, how? d. are other features needed?
 - 7. Should "aggregate" performance be on the scorecard? Why/why not? E.g.:
 - a. Should categories be weighted? If so, how and by whom?
 - b. Should measures be weighted? If so, how and by whom?

4:30 PM Adjournment

PBR Working Group

DRAFT Agenda

Location:

Ontario Energy Board ADR Room, 25th floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario

Tuesday, February 5, 2013

"Datapaloosa"	II

9:30 AM

General Discussion

The Ontario Electricity Distributor Historical Cost Data – General Discussion, including:

- 1. Continuation of January 22, 2012 discussion on defining and measuring a "standard distributor" for the purposes of total cost benchmarking:
 - a. The treatment of LV and HV costs
 - b. Business conditions (i.e., cost drivers)

12:00 PM	-	12:30 PM	Lunch
	-	2:00 PM	The reliability of the pre-2002 data for accuracy and consistency with the 2002 to 2011 Reporting & Record Keeping Requirements data
			3. Implications to empirical analysis and benchmarking
2:00 PM	-	4:00 PM	Finalizing the Data Request to Electricity Distributors
4:00 PM	-	4:30 PM	Wrap Up & Next Steps (Board Staff)
4:30 PM			Adjournment