# **EXHIBIT 3 - OPERATING REVENUE**

| <u>Exh</u> | <u>Tab</u> | <u>Sch</u> | <u>Appen</u> | <u>Contents</u>   | Page   |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------|---|--------|
|            |            |            |              |   |        |
| 3          | 1          |            |              | Overview  |        |
|            |            | 1          |              | Overview of Operating Revenue                                 | 3 - 1  |
|            |            | 2          |              | Variance Analysis on Operating Revenue                        | 3 - 3  |
|            |            |            |              |   |        |
|            |            |            |              | Throughout Devenue  |        |
|            |            |            |              | i nrougnput Kevenue   |        |
|            |            | 3          |              | Weather Normalized Load and Customer /<br>Connection Forecast | 3 - 8  |
|            |            |            |              | Other Distribution Revenue                                    |        |
|            |            | 4          |              | Summary of Other Distribution Revenue                         | 3 - 29 |
|            |            | 5          |              | Variance Analysis on Other Distribution<br>Revenue            | 3 - 30 |
|            |            |            |              | Annendix  |        |
|            |            |            | Б            | Menthly Deter Used for Decreasion A 1                         |        |
|            |            |            | E            | Monthly Data Used for Regression Analysis                     |        |

This page is blank intentionally.

### 1 **OVERVIEW ON OPERATING REVENUE**

This Exhibit provides the details of PDI's operating revenue for 2009 Board Approved, 2009
Actual, 2010 Actual, 2011 Actual, the 2012 Bridge Year and the 2013 Test Year. This Exhibit
also provides a detailed variance analysis by rate class of the operating revenue components.
Distribution excludes revenue from commodity sales.

6 PDI is proposing a total Service Revenue Requirement of \$16,291,837 for the 2013 Test Year.

7 This amount includes a Base Revenue Requirement of \$15,028,837 plus revenue offsets of 8 \$1,263,000 to be recovered through Other Distribution Revenue. A summary of operating

9 revenue is provided on the next page in Table 3-1.

#### 10 **Throughput Revenue**

- 11 Information related to PDI's throughput revenue includes details on the weather normalized load
- 12 forecasting methodology reflecting expected CDM results and a forecast of customers by rate
- 13 class based on the historical number of customers billed throughout the year.
- A detailed variance analysis on the historical throughput revenue is provided in this exhibit, Tab1, Schedule 2.

#### **1** Other Operating Revenue

Other revenues include specific service charges, standard service supply (SSS) administration
charges, late payment charges, retail services and transaction revenues, pole rental revenue, and

4 interest and dividend income.

5 A detailed variance analysis on other revenue is set out later on this exhibit at Tab 1, Schedule 5.

# 6 **Table 3-1 Summary of Operating Revenue**

|                    |                             |            |            |            |            |             | Test Year - | Test Year - |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                    |                             | Board      |            |            |            |             | Current     | Proposed    |
| USoA #             | Description                 | Approved   | Actual     | Actual     | Actual     | Bridge Year | Rates       | Rates       |
|                    |                             | 2009       | 2009       | 2010       | 2011       | 2012        | 2013        | 2013        |
| Distribution       | Throughput Revenue          |            |            |            |            |             |             |             |
| 4080               | Residential                 | 7,691,121  | 7,694,772  | 7,715,800  | 7,664,766  | 7,843,713   | 7,952,109   | 8,811,682   |
| 4080               | General Service⊡< 50 kW     | 2,306,768  | 2,138,280  | 2,308,025  | 2,242,628  | 2,274,315   | 2,282,022   | 2,377,699   |
| 4080               | General Service⊒> 50 kW     | 2,480,778  | 2,696,428  | 2,922,838  | 2,948,693  | 3,026,916   | 3,107,588   | 2,982,566   |
| 4080               | Large User                  | 163,274    | 132,682    | 210,817    | 234,809    | 239,998     | 235,212     | 245,073     |
| 4080               | Street Lighting             | 582,749    | 205,099    | 435,750    | 516,612    | 523,687     | 505,234     | 523,393     |
| 4080               | Sentinel Lighting           | 54,883     | 25,449     | 49,841     | 54,823     | 53,405      | 51,688      | 32,338      |
| 4080               | Unmetered Scattered Loads   | 282,837    | 74,857     | 219,285    | 290,404    | 294,760     | 290,236     | 56,086      |
| Total Distrib      | ution Throughput Revenue    | 13,562,410 | 12,967,566 | 13,862,355 | 13,952,736 | 14,256,794  | 14,424,089  | 15,028,837  |
| % of Total R       | evenue                      | 89%        | 91%        | 91%        | 92%        | 92%         | 92%         | 92%         |
| Other Reve         | nue                         |            |            |            |            |             |             |             |
| 4082               | Retail Services Revenues    | 30,000     | 34,566     | 34,326     | 27,299     | 22,000      | 22,000      | 22,000      |
| 4084               | STR Revenue                 | 20,000     | 19,532     | 20,769     | 15,678     | 11,000      | 11,000      | 11,000      |
| 4086               | SSS Administration Revenue  | 88,000     | 89,560     | 91,279     | 95,183     | 95,000      | 95,000      | 95,000      |
| 4210               | Rent from Electric Property | 211,851    | 216,325    | 204,294    | 210,681    | 210,000     | 210,000     | 210,000     |
| 4225               | Late Payment Charges        | 190,000    | 203,845    | 203,072    | 207,858    | 200,000     | 200,000     | 200,000     |
| 4235               | Specific Service Charges    | 630,000    | 731,535    | 712,961    | 620,946    | 644,000     | 650,000     | 650,000     |
| 4405               | Interest & Dividend Income  | 449,000    | 10,836     | 82,940     | 75,551     | 82,000      | 75,000      | 75,000      |
| <b>Total Other</b> | Revenue                     | 1,618,851  | 1,306,199  | 1,349,641  | 1,253,196  | 1,264,000   | 1,263,000   | 1,263,000   |
| % of Total Revenue |                             | 11%        | 9%         | 9%         | 8%         | 8%          | 8%          | 8%          |
| TOTAL DEV          |                             | 45 404 204 | 44 070 705 | 45 244 000 | 45 205 022 | 45 500 704  | 45 007 000  | 40 004 007  |
| TOTAL REV          | ENUE                        | 15,181,261 | 14,213,165 | 15,211,996 | 15,205,932 | 15,520,794  | 15,687,089  | 16,291,837  |

## 1 VARIANCE ANALYSIS ON OPERATING REVENUE

2 Variance analysis of throughput revenue for the years 2009 through 2013 is provided below.

#### 3 2009 Board Approved vs 2009 Actual

- 4 PDI's 2009 actual distribution revenue was \$12.97 million compared to the Board approved
- 5 amount of \$14.47 million as shown in Table 3-2 below. The difference of \$1.5 million primarily
- 6 relates to implementation of the 2009 Approved Board rates in July. The rate increases did not
- 7 have a full year to take effect. Actual kWh's in the last half of the year for some classes was also
- 8 below the forecasted amount.

## 9 Table 3-2 2009 Board Approved vs 2009 Actual Revenue by Class

| USoA #        | USoA Description          | Board Approved | Actual        | Difference    | Difference |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
|               |                           | 2009           | 2009          | \$            | %          |
| Throughput    | Revenue                   |                |               |               |            |
| 4080          | Residential               | 8,335,707      | 7,694,772     | (640,935)     | -8%        |
| 4080          | General Service⊒< 50 kW   | 2,430,494      | 2,138,280     | (292,214)     | -12%       |
| 4080          | General Service⊑> 50 kW   | 2,942,615      | 2,696,428     | (246,187)     | -8%        |
| 4080          | Large User                | 206,750        | 132,682       | (74,068)      | -36%       |
| 4080          | Street Lighting           | 347,554        | 205,099       | (142,455)     | -41%       |
| 4080          | Sentinel Lighting         | 35,515         | 25,449        | (10,066)      | -28%       |
| 4080          | Unmetered Scattered Loads | 175,341        | 74,857        | (100,484)     | -57%       |
| Total Distrik | oution Throughput Revenue | \$ 14.473.976  | \$ 12,967,566 | -\$ 1,506,410 | -10%       |

10

### 11 **2010** Actual vs 2009 Actual

- 12 Total Distribution revenue was \$894,790 higher in 2010 compared to 2009. The increase in
- 13 2010 relative to 2009 is a result of 2010 revenue being made up of 2009 and 2010 approved rate
- 14 schedules which were comparatively higher than those of 2008 which made up six months of the

calendar 2009 results. Table 3-3 below provides detailed line by line variance analysis for 2010
 relative to 2009.

Rate increases for 2009 in the large user, street lighting, sentinel lighting and unmetered 3 4 scattered load classes reflect increases in the revenue to cost ratios for these classes that were 5 approved with the 2009 Cost of Service Application. The 2009 Board Approved rates moved 6 these ratios by 50% of the difference between the updated information filing and the low end of 7 the Board's target range. As mentioned above, the new rates were in effect for a partial year 8 resulting in a shortfall from the Board Approved revenue to the actual revenue recorded for 9 2009. The Board prescribed a phase-in period to adjust the revenue to cost ratios and the 2010 10 IRM application approved a further increase to the ratios for these classes in order to reach the 11 bottom of the Board's target ranges.

#### 12 Table 3-3 2010 Actual vs 2009 Actual Revenue by Class

| USoA #                                | USoA Description          | Actual        | Actual        | Difference | Difference |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                                       |                           | 2009          | 2010          | \$         | %          |
| Throughpu                             | t Revenue                 |               |               |            |            |
| 4080                                  | Residential               | 7,694,772     | 7,715,800     | 21,028     | 0%         |
| 4080                                  | General Service⊐< 50 kW   | 2,138,280     | 2,308,025     | 169,745    | 8%         |
| 4080                                  | General Service⊐> 50 kW   | 2,696,428     | 2,922,838     | 226,410    | 8%         |
| 4080                                  | Large User                | 132,682       | 210,817       | 78,136     | 59%        |
| 4080                                  | Street Lighting           | 205,099       | 435,750       | 230,651    | 112%       |
| 4080                                  | Sentinel Lighting         | 25,449        | 49,841        | 24,392     | 96%        |
| 4080                                  | Unmetered Scattered Loads | 74,857        | 219,285       | 144,428    | 193%       |
| Total Distribution Throughput Revenue |                           | \$ 12,967,566 | \$ 13,862,355 | \$ 894,790 | 7%         |

13

## 14 **2011 Actual vs 2010 Actual**

15 Throughput Revenue in 2011 was only \$90,381 higher than 2010 as a result of only minimal

16 changes in the rate tariff from 2010 to 2011. Details of this variance are provided in Table 3-4.

- 1 The percentage increases in the large user, street lighting, sentinel lighting and unmetered
- 2 scattered load classes reflect the 2009 and 2010 revenue to cost ratio adjustments required to
- 3 bring these classes to the bottom of the Board's target range.

#### 4 Table 3-4 2011 Actual vs 2010 Actual Revenue by Class

| USoA #                                | USoA Description          | Actual        | Actual        | Difference | Difference |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                                       |                           | 2010          | 2011          | \$         | %          |
| Throughput                            | Revenue                   |               |               |            |            |
| 4080                                  | Residential               | 7,715,800     | 7,664,766     | (51,034)   | -1%        |
| 4080                                  | General Service⊒< 50 kW   | 2,308,025     | 2,242,628     | (65,396)   | -3%        |
| 4080                                  | General Service  ≡> 50 kW | 2,922,838     | 2,948,693     | 25,855     | 1%         |
| 4080                                  | Large User                | 210,817       | 234,809       | 23,992     | 11%        |
| 4080                                  | Street Lighting           | 435,750       | 516,612       | 80,862     | 19%        |
| 4080                                  | Sentinel Lighting         | 49,841        | 54,823        | 4,982      | 10%        |
| 4080                                  | Unmetered Scattered Loads | 219,285       | 290,404       | 71,119     | 32%        |
| Total Distribution Throughput Revenue |                           | \$ 13,862,355 | \$ 13,952,736 | \$ 90,381  | 1%         |

5

### 6 2012 Bridge Year vs 2011 Actual

Throughput Revenue forecasted for 2012 is \$304,058 higher than 2011 as a result of a 0.9%
increase in the rate tariff in 2012 relative to 2011 together with an increase in some of the rate
determinates utilized to build the 2012 Bridge year estimate. Details of this variance are
provided in Table 3-5 below.

| USoA #      | USoA Description           | Actual        | Bridge        | Difference | Difference |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|             |                            | 2011          | 2012          | \$         | %          |
| Throughpu   | It Revenue                 | •             |               |            |            |
| 4080        | Residential                | 7,664,766     | 7,843,713     | 178,947    | 2%         |
| 4080        | General Service⊐< 50 kW    | 2,242,628     | 2,274,315     | 31,687     | 1%         |
| 4080        | General Service  □> 50 kW  | 2,948,693     | 3,026,916     | 78,223     | 3%         |
| 4080        | Large User                 | 234,809       | 239,998       | 5,189      | 2%         |
| 4080        | Street Lighting            | 516,612       | 523,687       | 7,075      | 1%         |
| 4080        | Sentinel Lighting          | 54,823        | 53,405        | (1,418)    | -3%        |
| 4080        | Unmetered Scattered Loads  | 290,404       | 294,760       | 4,356      | 1%         |
| Total Distr | ibution Throughput Revenue | \$ 13,952,736 | \$ 14,256,794 | \$ 304,058 | 2%         |

## 1 Table 3-5 2012 Bridge Year vs 2011 Actual Revenue by Class

2

#### 3 2013 Test Year vs 2012 Bridge Year

#### 4 Table 3-6 2013 Test Year vs 2012 Bridge Year Revenue by Class

| USoA #        | USoA Description          | Bridge        | Test          | Difference | Difference |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|               |                           | 2012          | 2013          | \$         | %          |
| Throughput    | Revenue                   |               |               |            |            |
| 4080          | Residential               | 7,843,713     | 8,811,682     | 967,969    | 12%        |
| 4080          | General Service⊑< 50 kW   | 2,274,315     | 2,377,699     | 103,384    | 5%         |
| 4080          | General Service⊑> 50 kW   | 3,026,916     | 2,982,566     | (44,350)   | -1%        |
| 4080          | Large User                | 239,998       | 245,073       | 5,075      | 2%         |
| 4080          | Street Lighting           | 523,687       | 523,393       | (294)      | 0%         |
| 4080          | Sentinel Lighting         | 53,405        | 32,338        | (21,067)   | -39%       |
| 4080          | Unmetered Scattered Loads | 294,760       | 56,086        | (238,674)  | -81%       |
| Total Distrik | oution Throughput Revenue | \$ 14,256,794 | \$ 15,028,837 | 772,043    | 5.4%       |

5

PDI's total throughput revenue for 2013 is \$772,043 higher than the 2012 Bridge Year forecast
as a result of the forecasted increase in the revenue requirement for 2013 as a result of this
application. See Exhibit 6 for an explanation of the revenue deficiency for the 2013 Test Year.

- 1 The decline in revenues for the sentinel lighting and unmetered scattered load classes from 2012
- 2 to 2013 is due to fewer connections in the 2013 updated cost allocation model compared to the
- 3 2009 cost allocation model.

#### 1 THROUGHPUT REVENUE

# 2 WEATHER NORMALIZED LOAD AND CUSTOMER/CONNECTION3 FORECAST

The purpose of this evidence is to present the process used by PDI to prepare the weather
normalized load and customer/connection forecast used to design the proposed 2013 electricity
distribution rates.

7 In summary, PDI has used the same regression analysis methodology used by a number of 8 distributors in previous cost of service rate applications to determine a prediction model. With 9 regard to the overall process of load forecasting, PDI submits that conducting a regression 10 analysis on historical electricity purchases to produce an equation that will predict purchases is 11 appropriate. PDI has the data for the amount of electricity (in kWh) purchased from the IESO 12 for use by PDI's customers. With a regression analysis, these purchases can be related to other 13 monthly explanatory variables such as heating degree days and cooling degree days which occur 14 in the same month. The results of the regression analysis produce an equation that predicts the 15 purchases based on the explanatory variables. This prediction model is then used as the basis to 16 forecast the total level of weather normalized purchases for the Bridge Year and the Test Year 17 which is converted to billed kWh by rate class. A detailed explanation of the process is provided 18 later in this evidence.

19 During proceedings related to the 2009 and 2010 cost of service applications for a number of 20 other distributors, intervenors expressed concerns with the load forecasting process that was 21 proposed at the time by those distributors. During the review process of the 2009 cost of service 22 applications, intervenors suggested the regression analysis should be conducted on an individual 23 rate class basis and the regression analysis would be based on monthly kWh by rate class. PDI 24 reviewed the data required to conduct the regression analysis on an individual rate class basis 25 and was not able to produce monthly consumed values (i.e. the amount consumed in the month 26 not billed) by rate class.

During the review of 2010 cost of service applications, Board staff and Intervenors expressed 1 2 concern that the regression analysis assigned coefficients to some variables that were counter 3 intuitive. For example, the customer variable would have a negative coefficient assigned to it 4 which meant as the number of customers increased the energy forecast would have decreased. 5 2010 applicants explained that this was related to the recent Conservation and Demand 6 Management ("CDM") savings in the utility but in the view of Board staff and Intervenors this 7 was not a sufficient explanation. Further, the regression analysis indicated that some of the 8 variables used in the load forecasting formula were not statistically significant and should not 9 have been included in the equation. PDI has attempted to address these concerns in the load 10 forecast used in this Application. Based on the OEB's approval of this methodology in a number 11 of previous cost of service applications, and based on the discussion that follows, PDI submits 12 that its load forecasting methodology is reasonable for the purposes of this Application.

13 The following provides the material to support the weather normalized load forecast used by PDI14 in this Application.

### 15 Table 3-7 Summary of Load and Customer/Connection Forecast

16

| Year                       | Billed<br>(GWh)   | Growth<br>(GWh) | Percent<br>Change | Customer/<br>Connection<br>Count | Growth | Percent<br>Change<br>(%) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Billed Energy (GWh) and Cu | ustomer Count / ( | Connections     |                   |                                  |        |                          |
|                            |                   |                 |                   |                                  |        |                          |
| 2009 Board Approved        | 793.1             |                 |                   | 43,841                           |        |                          |
|                            |                   |                 |                   |                                  |        |                          |
| 2004 Actual                | 797.9             |                 |                   | 41,830                           |        |                          |
| 2005 Actual                | 827.0             | 29              | 3.6%              | 42,208                           | 378    | 0.9%                     |
| 2006 Actual                | 815.3             | (12)            | (1.4%)            | 42,890                           | 683    | 1.6%                     |
| 2007 Actual                | 816.7             | 1               | 0.2%              | 43,174                           | 283    | 0.7%                     |
| 2008 Actual                | 819.7             | 3               | 0.4%              | 43,235                           | 62     | 0.1%                     |
| 2009 Actual                | 795.3             | (24)            | (3.0%)            | 43,319                           | 84     | 0.2%                     |
| 2010 Actual                | 800.0             | 5               | 0.6%              | 43,634                           | 315    | 0.7%                     |
| 2011 Actual                | 818.5             | 19              | 2.3%              | 44,026                           | 392    | 0.9%                     |
| 2012 Bridge                | 819.7             | 1               | 0.1%              | 44,306                           | 281    | 0.6%                     |
| 2013 Test                  | 818.8             | (1)             | (0.1%)            | 44,592                           | 286    | 0.6%                     |

The information in the table above provides weather actual data from 2004 to 2011, while 2012 and 2013 are weather normalized. PDI does not have a process to properly adjust weather actual data to a weather normal basis. However, based on the process outlined in this Exhibit, a process to forecast energy on a weather normalized basis has been developed and used in this Application.

6

7 Total Customers and Connections are on an annual average basis and streetlight, sentinel lights8 and unmetered loads are measured as connections.

- 9
- 10 Actual and forecasted billed amounts and numbers of customers are shown in Table 3-8 and 11 customer usage is shown in Table 3-9, on a rate class basis.

## 12 Table 3-8 Billed Energy and Number of Customer/Connections by Rate Class

| Year                        | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total  |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Billed Energy (GWh)         |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
|                             |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
| 2009 Board Approved         | 301.5       | 121.4                         | 297.6                         | 63.7       | 6.3                | 0.7                  | 1.9                             | 793.1  |
|                             |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
| 2004 Actual                 | 285.7       | 121.8                         | 320.0                         | 63.3       | 6.0                | 1.0                  | 0.0                             | 797.9  |
| 2005 Actual                 | 296.4       | 126.3                         | 330.7                         | 66.5       | 6.0                | 1.0                  | 0.0                             | 827.0  |
| 2006 Actual                 | 290.2       | 124.4                         | 327.0                         | 65.1       | 6.3                | 1.1                  | 1.2                             | 815.3  |
| 2007 Actual                 | 285.4       | 124.7                         | 333.1                         | 63.5       | 6.6                | 1.3                  | 2.2                             | 816.7  |
| 2008 Actual                 | 288.2       | 121.6                         | 339.0                         | 63.3       | 5.6                | 0.6                  | 1.4                             | 819.7  |
| 2009 Actual                 | 284.5       | 117.2                         | 327.2                         | 58.5       | 5.5                | 0.8                  | 1.6                             | 795.3  |
| 2010 Actual                 | 287.7       | 117.5                         | 331.3                         | 55.5       | 5.6                | 0.8                  | 1.6                             | 800.0  |
| 2011 Actual                 | 293.5       | 114.7                         | 345.5                         | 56.7       | 5.6                | 0.8                  | 1.7                             | 818.5  |
| 2012 Bridge                 | 294.3       | 113.6                         | 348.6                         | 55.3       | 5.5                | 0.7                  | 1.6                             | 819.7  |
| 2013 Test                   | 294.2       | 112.2                         | 350.7                         | 53.9       | 5.4                | 0.7                  | 1.6                             | 818.8  |
|                             | •           |                               |                               |            |                    |                      | •                               |        |
| Number of Customers/Connect | tions       |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
| 2009 Board Approved         | 30,883      | 3,638                         | 368                           | 2          | 8,540              | 401                  | 9                               | 43,841 |
|                             |             | · · · · · ·                   |                               |            | ,                  |                      |                                 | ,      |
| 2004 Actual                 | 29,047      | 3,650                         | 384                           | 2          | 8,065              | 681                  | 0                               | 41,830 |
| 2005 Actual                 | 29,322      | 3,642                         | 385                           | 2          | 8,182              | 675                  | 0                               | 42,208 |
| 2006 Actual                 | 29,576      | 3,612                         | 377                           | 2          | 8.255              | 685                  | 383                             | 42,890 |
| 2007 Actual                 | 29,947      | 3,618                         | 375                           | 2          | 8,284              | 565                  | 383                             | 43,174 |
| 2008 Actual                 | 30,249      | 3,633                         | 369                           | 2          | 8,148              | 451                  | 383                             | 43,235 |
| 2009 Actual                 | 30,524      | 3,619                         | 363                           | 2          | 8,002              | 425                  | 383                             | 43,319 |
| 2010 Actual                 | 30,791      | 3,600                         | 372                           | 2          | 8,064              | 423                  | 383                             | 43,634 |
| 2011 Actual                 | 31,135      | 3,570                         | 389                           | 2          | 8,131              | 416                  | 384                             | 44,026 |
| 2012 Bridge                 | 31,445      | 3,558                         | 389                           | 2          | 8,140              | 387                  | 384                             | 44,306 |
| 2013 Test                   | 31,758      | 3,547                         | 390                           | 2          | 8,150              | 361                  | 384                             | 44,592 |

|                                 |               | General         | General    |            | Streat   | Continol  | Unmetered |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Year                            | Residential   | Service Service | Large User | Street     | Jinhting | Scattered |           |
|                                 |               | < 50 kW         | > 50 kW    |            | Lighting | Lighting  | Loads     |
| Energy Usage per Customer/C     | onnection (kW | /h per custo    | mer/connec | tion)      |          |           | •         |
|                                 |               |                 |            |            |          |           |           |
| 2009 Board Approved             | 9,763         | 33,374          | 808,761    | 31,849,531 | 733      | 1,644     | 212,154   |
| 2004 Actual                     | 9.837         | 33 370          | 833.067    | 31 655 809 | 742      | 1 485     |           |
| 2005 Actual                     | 10,110        | 34,680          | 860,191    | 33,260,358 | 732      | 1,433     |           |
| 2006 Actual                     | 9.811         | 34,430          | 866,489    | 32,550,079 | 761      | 1,595     | 3,185     |
| 2007 Actual                     | 9,530         | 34,460          | 887,786    | 31,725,050 | 795      | 2,316     | 5,775     |
| 2008 Actual                     | 9,527         | 33,465          | 917,661    | 31,640,233 | 692      | 1,405     | 3,724     |
| 2009 Actual                     | 9,319         | 32,386          | 901,293    | 29,259,009 | 692      | 1,873     | 4,182     |
| 2010 Actual                     | 9,344         | 32,644          | 890,581    | 27,764,571 | 692      | 1,867     | 4,087     |
| 2011 Actual                     | 9,428         | 32,135          | 888,858    | 28,330,940 | 690      | 1,850     | 4,326     |
| 2012 Bridge                     | 9,360         | 31,926          | 895,135    | 27,631,258 | 677      | 1,891     | 4,287     |
| 2013 Test                       | 9,265         | 31,622          | 899,110    | 26,948,431 | 664      | 1,934     | 4,248     |
|                                 |               |                 |            |            |          |           |           |
| Annual Growth Rate in Usage p   | er Customer/  | Connection      |            |            |          |           |           |
|                                 |               |                 |            |            |          |           |           |
| 2009 Board App. Vs. 2009 Actual | 4.8%          | 3.1%            | (10.3%)    | 8.9%       | 5.9%     | (12.2%)   | 4972.7%   |
|                                 | •             |                 |            |            |          | • • •     | •         |
| 2004 Actual                     |               |                 |            |            |          |           |           |
| 2005 Actual                     | 2.8%          | 3.9%            | 3.3%       | 5.1%       | (1.3%)   | (3.5%)    |           |
| 2006 Actual                     | (3.0%)        | (0.7%)          | 0.7%       | (2.1%)     | 4.0%     | 11.3%     |           |
| 2007 Actual                     | (2.9%)        | 0.1%            | 2.5%       | (2.5%)     | 4.5%     | 45.2%     | 81.3%     |
| 2008 Actual                     | (0.0%)        | (2.9%)          | 3.4%       | (0.3%)     | (13.0%)  | (39.4%)   | (35.5%)   |
| 2009 Actual                     | (2.2%)        | (3.2%)          | (1.8%)     | (7.5%)     | 0.0%     | 33.4%     | 12.3%     |
| 2010 Actual                     | 0.3%          | 0.8%            | (1.2%)     | (5.1%)     | (0.0%)   | (0.4%)    | (2.3%)    |
| 2011 Actual                     | 0.9%          | (1.6%)          | (0.2%)     | 2.0%       | (0.2%)   | (0.9%)    | 5.8%      |
| 2012 Bridge                     | (0.7%)        | (0.7%)          | 0.7%       | (2.5%)     | (1.9%)   | 2.2%      | (0.9%)    |
| 2013 Test                       | (1.0%)        | (1.0%)          | 0.4%       | (2.5%)     | (1.9%)   | 2.2%      | (0.9%)    |

# 1 Table 3-9 Annual Usage per Customer/Connection by Rate Class

#### 1 LOAD FORECAST AND METHODOLOGY

2 PDI's weather normalized load forecast is developed in a three-step process. First, a total system 3 weather normalized purchased energy forecast is developed based on a multifactor regression 4 model that incorporates independent variables that impact the monthly historical load pattern for 5 PDI. Second, the weather normalized purchased energy forecast is adjusted by a historical loss 6 factor to produce a weather normalized billed energy forecast. Next, the forecast of billed 7 energy by rate class is developed based on a forecast of customer numbers and historical usage 8 patterns per customer. For the rate classes that have weather sensitive load, their forecasted 9 billed energy is adjusted to ensure that the total billed energy forecast by rate class is equivalent 10 to the total weather normalized billed energy forecast that has been determined from the 11 regression model. The forecast of customers by rate class is determined using a geometric mean 12 analysis. For those rate classes that use kW for the distribution volumetric billing determinant, 13 an adjustment factor is applied to the class energy forecast based on the historical relationship 14 between kW and kWh.

15 A detailed explanation of the load forecasting process follows.

#### 16 Purchased KWh Load Forecast

17 An equation to predict total system purchased energy is developed using a multifactor regression 18 model with the following independent variables: weather (heating and cooling degree days); 19 Ontario real GDP; number of days in the month; spring/fall seasonal "flag and the number of 20 peak hours in the month. The regression model uses monthly kWh and monthly values of 21 independent variables from January 2004 to December 2011 to determine a prediction formula 22 with coefficients for each independent variable. This provides 96 monthly data points that 23 represent a reasonable data set for use in a regression analysis. Consistent with the approach 24 used by many other distributors in their cost of service applications, PDI submits that it is 25 appropriate to review the impact of weather over the period January 2004 to December 2011 and 26 then determine the average weather conditions over this eight year period which would be 27 applied in the prediction formula to determine a weather normalized forecast. However, in 28 accordance with the OEB's Filing Requirements, PDI has also provided a sensitivity analysis

| 1  | showing the impact on the 2013 forecast of purchases assuming weather normal conditions are      |
|----|--|
| 2  | based on a 10 year average and on a 20-year trend of weather data.                               |
| 3  | Weather impacts on load are apparent in both the winter heating season, and in the summer        |
| 4  | cooling season. For that reason, both Heating Degree Days (i.e. a measure of coldness in winter) |
| 5  | and Cooling Degree Days (i.e. a measure of summer heat) are modeled.                             |
| 6  | The following outlines the prediction model used by PDI to predict weather normal purchases for  |
| 7  | 2012 and 2013:   |
| 8  | PDI's Monthly Predicted kWh Purchases  |
| 9  | = Heating Degree Days * 24,566   |
| 10 | + Cooling Degree Days * 103,832  |
| 11 | + Ontario Real GDP Monthly * 222,217   |
| 12 | + Number of Days in the Month * 1,393,909  |
| 13 | + Spring Fall Flag * (3,604,736)   |
| 14 | + Number of Peak Hours * 69,209  |
| 15 | + Intercept of (23,705,164)  |
| 16 | The monthly data used in the regression model and the resulting monthly prediction for the       |
| 17 | actual and forecasted years are provided in Appendix E.  |

18 The sources of data for the various data points are:

19 a) Environment Canada website for monthly heating degree day and cooling degree 20 information. For 2004, data from the Peterborough A weather stations was used and from 21 2004 onward data from the Peterborough Trent University weather station was used. Data 22 from the Peterborough A weather station was not available after 2004 and data at the 23 Peterborough Trent University weather station was not available before 2005;

24 b) The calendar provided information related to number of days in the month, the number of 25 peak hours and the months defined to be spring or fall (i.e. March to May and September to 26 November)

- 1 c) For 2004 to 2006 the source of data for the Ontario Real GDP information was the 2003 and
- 2 2008 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review, Ontario Ministry of Finance. For 2007
- 3 and 2008, the source was the 2010 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review 2010 Fall
- 4 Update. For 2009 to 2013, the 2011 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review 2011
- 5 Fall Update provided the Ontario Real GDP for those years.
- 6 The resulting prediction formula has the following statistical results:

### 7 Table 3-10 Statistical Results

| Statistic                  | Value |
|----------------------------|-------|
| R Square                   | 92%   |
| Adjusted R Square          | 92%   |
| F Test                     | 180.3 |
| T-stats by Coefficient     |       |
| Heating Degree Days        | 24.9  |
| Cooling Degree Days        | 9.8   |
| Ontario Real GDP Monthly % | 3.9   |
| Number of Days in Month    | 5.2   |
| Spring Fall Flag           | (7.2) |
| Number of Peak Hours       | 3.1   |
| Intercept                  | (2.2) |

8

- 9 The annual results of the above prediction formula compared to the actual annual purchases from
- 10 2004 to 2011 are shown in the chart below. The chart indicates the resulting prediction equation
- 11 appears to be reasonable.



## 1 Chart 3-1 Actual vs Predicted Growth (GWh)

2

The following table outlines the data that supports the above chart. In addition, the predicted total system purchases for PDI are provided for 2012 and 2013. For 2012 and 2013 the system purchases reflect a weather normalized forecast for the full year. In addition, values for 2013 are provided on 10 year average and a 20 year trend assumption for weather normalization.

1 2

| Table 3-11 Total System Purchases | 5 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
|-----------------------------------|---|

% Year Actual Predicted Difference Purchased Energy (GWh) 2004 818.5 825.3 0.8% 2005 860.9 850.0 (1.3%)2006 836.0 0.2% 837.5 2007 857.7 845.5 (1.4%)2008 852.0 853.9 0.2% 2009 834.0 838.3 0.5% 2010 838.0 842.9 0.6% 2011 848.8 852.6 0.4% 2012 Weather Normal 859.7 2013 Weather Normal 866.6 2013 Weather Normal - 10 year average 867.6 2013 Weather Normal - 20 year trend 865.3

3

The weather normalized amount for 2013 is determined by using 2013 dependent variables in the prediction formula on a monthly basis together with the average monthly heating degree days and cooling degree days that occurred from January 2004 to December 2011 (i.e. eight years). The 2013 weather normalized 10 year average amount reflects the average monthly heating degree days and cooling degree days that occurred from January 2002 to December 2011. The 20 year trend value is based on the trend in monthly heating degree days and cooling degree days that occurred from January 1992 to December 2011.

11 The weather normal eight year average has been used to determine the power purchased forecast 12 in this Application for the purposes of determining a billed kWh load forecast which is used to 13 design rates. The eight year average has been used as this is consistent with the period of time 14 over which the regression analysis was conducted

### 15 Billed KWh Load Forecast

To determine the total weather normalized energy billed forecast, the total system weather normalized purchases forecast is adjusted by a historical loss factor. This adjustment has been made by PDI using the average loss factor from 2004 to 2011 of 1.0394. With this average loss 1 factor the total weather normalized billed energy will be 827.1 GWh for 2012 (i.e. 859.7/1.0394)

and 833.7 GWh for 2013 (i.e. 866.6/1.0394) before adjustments for 2012 and 2013 CDM
programs.

#### 4 Billed KWh Load Forecast and Customer/Connection Forecast by Rate Class

5 Since the total weather normalized billed energy amount is known, this amount needs to be 6 distributed by rate class for rate design purposes taking into consideration the 7 customer/connection forecast and expected usage per customer by rate class.

8 The next step in the forecasting process is to determine a customer/connection forecast. The 9 customer/connection forecast is based on reviewing historical customer/connection data that is 10 available as shown in the following table.

| Year                      | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total  |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Number of Customers/Conne | ctions      |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
| 2004                      | 29,047      | 3,650                         | 384                           | 2          | 8,065              | 681                  | 0                               | 41,830 |
| 2005                      | 29,322      | 3,642                         | 385                           | 2          | 8,182              | 675                  | 0                               | 42,208 |
| 2006                      | 29,576      | 3,612                         | 377                           | 2          | 8,255              | 685                  | 383                             | 42,890 |
| 2007                      | 29,947      | 3,618                         | 375                           | 2          | 8,284              | 565                  | 383                             | 43,174 |
| 2008                      | 30,249      | 3,633                         | 369                           | 2          | 8,148              | 451                  | 383                             | 43,235 |
| 2009                      | 30,524      | 3,619                         | 363                           | 2          | 8,002              | 425                  | 383                             | 43,319 |
| 2010                      | 30,791      | 3,600                         | 372                           | 2          | 8,064              | 423                  | 383                             | 43,634 |
| 2011                      | 31,135      | 3,570                         | 389                           | 2          | 8,131              | 416                  | 384                             | 44,026 |

#### 11 Table 3-12 Historical Customer/Connection Data

12

From the historical customer/connection data the growth rates in customers/ connections can be evaluated. The growth rates are provided in the following table. The geometric mean growth rate in number of customers is also provided. The geometric mean approach provides the average compounding growth rate from 2004 to 2011.

17

| Year                                 | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Growth Rate in Customers/Connections |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004                                 |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005                                 | 0.9%        | (0.2%)                        | 0.1%                          | 0.0%       | 1.5%               | (0.9%)               |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006                                 | 0.9%        | (0.8%)                        | (1.8%)                        | 0.0%       | 0.9%               | 1.5%                 |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007                                 | 1.3%        | 0.2%                          | (0.6%)                        | 0.0%       | 0.3%               | (17.6%)              | 0.0%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008                                 | 1.0%        | 0.4%                          | (1.5%)                        | 0.0%       | (1.6%)             | (20.2%)              | 0.0%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009                                 | 0.9%        | (0.4%)                        | (1.7%)                        | 0.0%       | (1.8%)             | (5.7%)               | 0.0%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010                                 | 0.9%        | (0.5%)                        | 2.5%                          | 0.0%       | 0.8%               | (0.6%)               | 0.0%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011                                 | 1.1%        | (0.8%)                        | 4.5%                          | 0.0%       | 0.8%               | (1.7%)               | 0.2%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometric Mean                       | 1.0%        | (0.3%)                        | 0.2%                          | 0.0%       | 0.1%               | (6.8%)               | 0.1%                            |  |  |  |  |  |

## 1 Table 3-13 Growth Rate in Customer/Connections

2

3

4 The resulting geometric mean was first applied to the 2011 customer/connection numbers to

5 determine the forecast of customer/connections in 2012. Then the geometric mean was applied

6 again to the 2012 value to determine the 2013 customer/connection forecast.

### 7 Table 3-14 Customer/Connection Forecast

| Year                         | Residential  | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total  |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Forecast Number of Customers | /Connections | 5                             |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |
| 2012                         | 31,445       | 3,558                         | 389                           | 2          | 8,140              | 387                  | 384                             | 44,306 |
| 2013                         | 31,758       | 3,547                         | 390                           | 2          | 8,150              | 361                  | 384                             | 44,592 |

8

9 The next step in the process is to review the historical customer/connection usage and to reflect 10 this usage per customer in the forecast. The following table provides the average annual usage

11 per customer by rate class from 2004 to 2011.

| Year                        | Residential  | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Annual kWh Usage Per Custom | er/Connectio | n                             |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |
| 2004                        | 9,837        | 33,370                        | 833,067                       | 31,655,809 | 742                | 1,485                | 0                               |
| 2005                        | 10,110       | 34,680                        | 860,191                       | 33,260,358 | 732                | 1,433                | 0                               |
| 2006                        | 9,811        | 34,430                        | 866,489                       | 32,550,079 | 761                | 1,595                | 3,185                           |
| 2007                        | 9,530        | 34,460                        | 887,786                       | 31,725,050 | 795                | 2,316                | 5,775                           |
| 2008                        | 9,527        | 33,465                        | 917,661                       | 31,640,233 | 692                | 1,405                | 3,724                           |
| 2009                        | 9,319        | 32,386                        | 901,293                       | 29,259,009 | 692                | 1,873                | 4,182                           |
| 2010                        | 9,344        | 32,644                        | 890,581                       | 27,764,571 | 692                | 1,867                | 4,087                           |
| 2011                        | 9,428        | 32,135                        | 888,858                       | 28,330,940 | 690                | 1,850                | 4,326                           |

## 1 Table 3-15 Historical Annual Usage per Customer

2

From the historical usage per customer/connection data the growth rate in usage per
customer/connection can be reviewed. That information is provided in the following table. The
geometric mean growth rate has also been shown.

### 6 Table 3-16 Growth Rate in Usage Customer/Connection

| Year                               | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Growth Rate in Customer/Connection |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004                               |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005                               | 2.8%        | 3.9%                          | 3.3%                          | 5.1%       | (1.3%)             | (3.5%)               |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006                               | (3.0%)      | (0.7%)                        | 0.7%                          | (2.1%)     | 4.0%               | 11.3%                |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007                               | (2.9%)      | 0.1%                          | 2.5%                          | (2.5%)     | 4.5%               | 45.2%                | 81.3%                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008                               | (0.0%)      | (2.9%)                        | 3.4%                          | (0.3%)     | (13.0%)            | (39.4%)              | (35.5%)                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009                               | (2.2%)      | (3.2%)                        | (1.8%)                        | (7.5%)     | 0.0%               | 33.4%                | 12.3%                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010                               | 0.3%        | 0.8%                          | (1.2%)                        | (5.1%)     | (0.0%)             | (0.4%)               | (2.3%)                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011                               | 0.9%        | (1.6%)                        | (0.2%)                        | 2.0%       | (0.2%)             | (0.9%)               | 5.8%                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometric Mean                     | (0.6%)      | (0.5%)                        | 0.9%                          | (1.6%)     | (1.0%)             | 3.2%                 | 6.3%                            |  |  |  |  |  |

7

8 Except for the Unmetered Scattered Loads class, the forecast of usage per customer/connection is 9 the historical geometric mean applied to the 2011 usage to determine the 2012 forecast. The 10 geometric mean is applied again to the 2012 value to determine the 2013 forecast. For the 11 Unmetered Scattered Loads class the 2011 usage per connection was maintain since PDI does 12 not expect the usage per connection for this rate class to increase. The resulting usage forecast 13 per rate class is as follows:

| Year                         | Residential  | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Forecast Annual kWh Usage pe | r Customers/ | Connection                    |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |
| 2012                         | 9,371        | 31,962                        | 897,127                       | 27,885,366 | 683                | 1,909                | 4,326                           |
| 2013                         | 9,314        | 31,791                        | 905,473                       | 27,446,800 | 677                | 1,969                | 4,326                           |

#### 1 Table 3-17 Forecast Annual kWh Usage per Customer/Connection

With the preceding information the non-normalized weather billed energy forecast can be determined by applying the forecast numbers of customers/connections from Table 3-14 by the forecast of annual usage per customer/connection from Table 3-17. The resulting non-normalized weather billed energy forecast is shown in the following table.

### 7 Table 3-18 Non-normalized Weather Billed Energy Forecast

| Year  | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total |  |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|
| NON-normalized Weather Billed Energy Forecast (GWh) |             |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |       |  |
| 2012 (Not Normalized)                               | 294.7       | 113.7                         | 349.3                         | 55.8       | 5.6                | 0.7                  | 1.7                             | 821.5 |  |
| 2013 (Not Normalized)                               | 295.8       | 112.8                         | 353.2                         | 54.9       | 5.5                | 0.7                  | 1.7                             | 824.5 |  |

8

2

9 The non-normalized weather billed energy forecast has been determined but this needs to be 10 adjusted in order to be aligned with the total weather normalized billed energy forecast. As 11 previously determined, the total weather normalized billed energy forecast is 827.1 GWh for 12 2012 and 833.7 GWh for 2013 before adjustments for 2012 and 2013 CDM programs.

The difference between the non-normalized and normalized forecast adjustments is 5.7 GWh in 2012 (i.e. 827.1 – 821.5) and 9.2 GWh in 2013 (i.e. 833.7 – 824.5). The difference is assumed to be associated with moving the forecast from a non-normalized to a weather normal basis and this amount will be assigned to those rate classes that are weather sensitive. Based on the weather normalization work completed by Hydro One for PDI for the cost allocation study, which has been used to support this Application, it was determined that the weather sensitivity by rate classes is as follows:

| Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Weather Se  | Weather Sensitivity           |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 88.1%       | 88.1%                         | 76.3%                         | 0.0%       | 0.0%               | 0.0%                 | 0.0%                            |  |  |  |  |

### 1 Table 3-19 Weather Sensitivity by Rate Class

23

4 For the General Service > 50 kW class the weather sensitivity amount of 76.3% was provided in 5 the weather normalization work completed by Hydro One. For the Residential and General 6 Service < 50 kW classes, it is has been assumed in previous cost of service applications that these two classes are 100% weather sensitive. Intervenors expressed concern with this 7 8 assumption and have suggested that 100% weather sensitivity is not appropriate. PDI agrees 9 with this position but also submits that the weather sensitivity for the Residential and GS < 50kW classes should be higher than the GS > 50 kW class. As a result, PDI has assumed the 10 11 weather sensitivity for the Residential and General Service < 50 kW classes to be mid-way 12 between 100% and 76.3%, or 88.1%.

13 The difference between the non-normalized and normalized forecast of 5.7 GWh in 2012 and 9.2 14 GWh in 2013 has been assigned on a *pro rata* basis to each rate class based on the above level of 15 weather sensitivity.

16 In addition a manual adjustment has been made to reflect the impact of 2012 and 2013 CDM 17 programs on the load forecast. This adjustment reflects the "gross" impact of 2012 and 2013 18 CDM programs on the load forecast. The gross impact includes the net results measured by the 19 OPA plus an estimate of the average net to gross adjustment reflecting gross and net savings 20 information provided in the OPA 2006-2010 Final CDM Results. The net results provide a 21 measurement of the program effectiveness used to achieve the LDC targets. The gross results 22 include the net results plus the estimated impact of customers participating in a program even if 23 an incentive was not provided to participate. In the past this has been termed the level of "free 24 ridership". In other words, the gross results include the results from those who participated in the

- 1 program because there was an incentive plus those who participated even if there was not an
- 2 incentive. In PDI's view it is the gross level that impacts the load forecast.
- 3 The following table outlines the average net to gross factor of 59.4% based on information
- 4 provided in the OPA 2006-2010 Final CDM Results for PDI

|       | OPA 2006-<br>2010 Einal | OPA 2006-<br>2010 Einal |              |              |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|       | CDM Results             | CDM Results             |              | % Difference |
| Year  | (Gross)                 | (Net)                   | # Difference | of Net       |
| 2006  | 3,041,820               | 2,723,684               | 318,136      | 11.7%        |
| 2007  | 9,963,011               | 4,916,914               | 5,046,097    | 102.6%       |
| 2008  | 10,375,832              | 6,715,313               | 3,660,519    | 54.5%        |
| 2009  | 18,969,006              | 12,781,165              | 6,187,841    | 48.4%        |
| 2010  | 24,045,441              | 15,159,043              | 8,886,398    | 58.6%        |
| 2011  | 23,097,070              | 14,195,309              | 8,901,760    | 62.7%        |
| 2012  | 22,601,517              | 13,984,073              | 8,617,445    | 61.6%        |
| 2013  | 22,466,505              | 13,915,351              | 8,551,154    | 61.5%        |
| Total | 134,560,201             | 84,390,851              | 50,169,350   | 59.4%        |

#### 5 Table 3-20 Average Net to Gross Percentage

#### 6

PDI currently has available final actual results from 2011 programs that contribute to the four year licensed CDM kWh targets of 38,450,000 assigned to PDI. The 2011 final results are based on information provided to PDI from the OPA on August 31, 2012. The 2011 results impacts on the level of savings needed from 2012 to 2014 programs in order to achieve the licensed 4 year CDM target. Based on the following table the 2011 actual savings will contribute 26.7% to the four year target. The table indicates that assuming persistence, 2012 to 2014 programs will need to achieve 12.2% of the four year target each year in order to achieve the target.

|               | 4 Yea     | r 2011 to 2014 | kWh target |            |            |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
|               |           | 38,450,00      | 0          |            |            |
|               | 2011      | 2012           | 2013       | 2014       | Total      |
| 2011 Programs | 6.7%      | 6.7%           | 6.7%       | 6.6%       | 26.7%      |
| 2012 Programs |           | 12.2%          | 12.2%      | 12.2%      | 36.6%      |
| 2013 Programs |           |                | 12.2%      | 12.2%      | 24.4%      |
| 2014 Programs |           |                |            | 12.2%      | 12.2%      |
|               | 6.7%      | 18.9%          | 31.1%      | 43.3%      | 100.0%     |
|               |           | kWh            |            |            |            |
| 2011 Programs | 2,577,808 | 2,577,808      | 2,577,438  | 2,547,967  | 10,281,020 |
| 2012 Programs |           | 4,694,830      | 4,694,830  | 4,694,830  | 14,084,490 |
| 2013 Programs |           |                | 4,694,830  | 4,694,830  | 9,389,660  |
| 2014 Programs |           |                |            | 4,694,830  | 4,694,830  |
|               | 2,577,808 | 7,272,638      | 11,967,098 | 16,632,457 | 38,450,000 |

#### 1 Table 3-21 Schedule to Achieve 4-Year kWh CDM Target

2

3

The above table suggests that in 2012, the savings from 2012 programs will be 4,694,830 kWh on a net basis. However on a gross basis this amount would be 4,694,830 times 1.594 (i.e. the net to gross factor determined in table 3-20) or 7,485,850 kWh. In PDI's view, the 2012 load forecast should be adjusted by 7,485,850 kWh to reflect CDM savings from 2012 programs.

The above table also suggest that in 2013, the savings from 2012 and 2013 programs will be a 4,694,830 kWh times two or 9,389,660 kWh on a net basis. However on a gross basis this amount would be 9,389,660 times 1.594 (i.e. the net to gross factor determined in table 3-21) or 14,971,700 kWh. In PDI's view, the 2013 load forecast should be adjusted by 14,971,700 kWh to reflect CDM savings from 2012 and 2013 programs.

In accordance with the Board's Guidelines for Electricity Distributor Conservation and Demand Management [EB-2012-0003], issued April 26, 2012, it is PDI's understanding that as part of this application expected CDM savings in 2013 from 2011, 2012 and 2013 programs will need to be established for LRAM variance accounts purposes. PDI understands that the OPA will measure CDM results attributable to the four year targets on a net basis. Consistent with past practices, it is expected the net level of savings will be used for LRAM calculations. As a result, it is PDI's view that the units used for the 2013 LRAM variance account should also be on a net basis. Based on the net information in table 3-21, PDI expects to achieve 11,967,098 net kWh savings in 2013 from 2011 to 2013 CDM programs. For LRAM variance account purposes, the following table outlines how this expected savings has been allocated to rate class using the 2013 information from table 3-18. The expected kW saving has also been provided for those classes billed distribution charges on a kW basis using the average kW/KWh factors from Table 3-25.

## 7 Table 3-22 2013 Expected Savings for LRAM Variance Account

|                     | Residential | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total      |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| kWh                 | 4,293,238   | 1,636,493                     | 5,126,186                     | 796,706    | 80,025             | 10,314               | 24,135                          | 11,967,098 |
| kW where applicable |             |                               | 12,600                        | 1,679      | 220                | 29                   |                                 | 14,528     |

9 The following table outlines how the classes have been adjusted to align the non-normalized

10 forecast with the normalized forecast and reflect the adjustments discussed above.

### 11 Table 3-23 Alignment of Non-normal to Weather Normal Forecast

| Year  | Residential   | General<br>Service<br>< 50 kW | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Unmetered<br>Scattered<br>Loads | Total  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Non-normalized Weather Billed E                 | Non-normalized Weather Billed Energy Forecast (GWh) |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |  |  |  |
| 2012 Non-Normalized Bridge                      | 294.7   | 113.7                         | 349.3                         | 55.8       | 5.6                | 0.7                  | 1.7                             | 821.5  |  |  |  |
| 2013 Non-Normalized Test                        | 295.8   | 112.8                         | 353.2                         | 54.9       | 5.5                | 0.7                  | 1.7                             | 824.5  |  |  |  |
| Weather Adjustment (GWh)                        |   |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |  |  |  |
| 2012  | 2.3   | 0.9                           | 2.4                           | 0.0        | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0                             | 5.7    |  |  |  |
| 2013  | 3.8   | 1.5                           | 3.9                           | 0.0        | 0.0                | 0.0                  | 0.0                             | 9.2    |  |  |  |
| CDM Adjustment (GWh)                            |   |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |  |  |  |
| 2012  | (2.7)   | (1.0)                         | (3.2)                         | (0.5)      | (0.1)              | (0.0)                | (0.0)                           | (7.5)  |  |  |  |
| 2013  | (5.4)   | (2.0)                         | (6.4)                         | (1.0)      | (0.1)              | (0.0)                | (0.0)                           | (15.0) |  |  |  |
| Weather Normalized Billed Energy Forecast (GWh) |   |                               |                               |            |                    |                      |                                 |        |  |  |  |
| 2012 Normalized Bridge                          | 294.3   | 113.6                         | 348.6                         | 55.3       | 5.5                | 0.7                  | 1.6                             | 819.7  |  |  |  |
| 2013 Normalized Test                            | 294.2   | 112.2                         | 350.7                         | 53.9       | 5.4                | 0.7                  | 1.6                             | 818.8  |  |  |  |

12

8

## 13 Billed KW Load Forecast

14 There are four rate classes that charge volumetric distribution on per kW basis. As a result, the

15 energy forecast for these classes needs to be converted to a kW basis for rate setting purposes.

- 1 The forecast of kW for these classes is based on a review of the historical ratio of kW to kWh
- 2 and applying the average ratio to the forecasted kWh to produce the required kW.
- 3 The following table outlines the annual demand units by applicable rate class.

#### 4 Table 3-24 Historical Annual kW per Applicable Rate Class

| Year             | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | Large User | Street<br>Lighting | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Total   |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Billed Annual kW |                               |            |                    |                      |         |
| 2004             | 786,950                       | 133,227    | 16,548             | 2,630                | 939,355 |
| 2005             | 764,330                       | 136,079    | 16,365             | 2,721                | 919,495 |
| 2006             | 805,126                       | 133,042    | 16,568             | 4,030                | 958,766 |
| 2007             | 830,729                       | 128,681    | 13,932             | 2,574                | 975,916 |
| 2008             | 842,747                       | 134,390    | 16,513             | 2,437                | 996,087 |
| 2009             | 819,801                       | 126,985    | 16,284             | 1,916                | 964,986 |
| 2010             | 825,019                       | 121,689    | 16,388             | 2,174                | 965,270 |
| 2011             | 848,381                       | 121,779    | 16,448             | 2,129                | 988,737 |

5

6 The following table illustrates the historical ratio of kW/kWh as well as the average ratio for

7 2004 to 2011.

### 8 Table 3-25 Historical kW/kWh Ratio per Applicable Rate Class

9

10 The average ratio was applied to the weather normalized billed energy forecast in Table 3-23 to

11 provide the forecast of kW by rate class as shown below. The following table outlines the

12 forecast of kW for the applicable rate classes.

# 1 Table 3-26 kW Forecast by Applicable Rate Class

| Year                   | General<br>Service<br>> 50 kW | General<br>Service Large User<br>> 50 kW |        | Sentinel<br>Lighting | Total   |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------|----------------------|---------|
| Predicted Billed kW    |                               |  |        |                      |         |
| 2012 Normalized Bridge | 856,760                       | 116,439                                  | 15,150 | 2,092                | 990,441 |
| 2013 Normalized Test   | 862,025                       | 113,561                                  | 14,877 | 1,993                | 992,456 |

- 3 Table 3-27 provides a summary of the billing determinants by rate class that is used to develop
- 4 the proposed rates.

# 1 Table 3-27 Summary of Forecast

|                                      |             |             |             |             | 2012        | 2013        |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                      | 2009 Board  | 2009        | 2010        | 2011        | Weather     | Weather     |
|                                      | 2009 Board  | 2009        | 2010        | 2011        | Meaner      | Newveller   |
|                                      | Approved    | Actual      | Actual      | Actual      | Normalized  | Normalized  |
|                                      |             |             |             |             | Bridge      | Test        |
|                                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| ACTUAL AND PREDICTED KWH P           | URCHASES    |             |             |             |             |             |
| Actual kWh Purchases                 |             | 834,049,383 | 838,046,263 | 848,819,242 |             |             |
| Predicted kWh Purchases              |             | 838,345,001 | 842,918,314 | 852,551,620 | 859,746,440 | 866,587,550 |
| % Difference of actual and predicted | purchases   | 0.5%        | 0.6%        | 0.4%        |             |             |
|                                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| BILLING DETERMINANTS BY CL           | 155         |             |             |             |             |             |
| Residential                          |             | 00.504      | 00.704      | 04.405      |             | 04 750      |
| Customers                            | 30,883      | 30,524      | 30,791      | 31,135      | 31,445      | 31,758      |
| kvvn                                 | 301,495,708 | 284,464,847 | 287,709,082 | 293,541,684 | 294,333,518 | 294,240,107 |
| General Service⊡< 50 kW              |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Customers                            | 2,629       | 2,610       | 3 600       | 2 570       | 2.559       | 2.547       |
| kWh                                  | 121 /12 816 | 117 206 107 | 117 506 264 | 114 708 317 | 113 597 004 | 112 158 205 |
|                                      | 121,412,010 | 117,200,107 | 117,500,204 | 114,700,517 | 115,557,004 | 112,130,203 |
| General Service⊑> 50 kW              |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Customers                            | 368         | 363         | 372         | 389         | 389         | 390         |
| kWh                                  | 297.624.170 | 327,169,221 | 331,296,296 | 345.543.415 | 348,573,781 | 350.715.605 |
| kW                                   | 731.891     | 819.801     | 825.019     | 848.381     | 856,760     | 862.025     |
|                                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Large User                           | 2           | 2           | 2           | 2           | 2           | 2           |
| Customers                            | 63,699,061  | 58,518,018  | 55,529,141  | 56,661,879  | 55,262,516  | 53,896,862  |
| kWh                                  | 128,427     | 126,985     | 121,689     | 121,779     | 116,439     | 113,561     |
| kW                                   |             |             |             |             |             |             |
|                                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Sentinel Lighting                    |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Connections                          | 401         | 425         | 423         | 416         | 387         | 361         |
| kWh                                  | 659,151     | 796,438     | 788,608     | 768,502     | 732,275     | 697,744     |
| kW                                   | 1,795       | 1,916       | 2,174       | 2,129       | 2,092       | 1,993       |
|                                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Street Lighting                      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Connections                          | 8,540       | 8,002       | 8,064       | 8,131       | 8,140       | 8,150       |
| kWh                                  | 6,261,525   | 5,539,999   | 5,582,044   | 5,614,216   | 5,513,077   | 5,413,675   |
| kW                                   | 17,527      | 16,284      | 16,388      | 16,448      | 15,150      | 14,877      |
| Linearte and Coottone di Londo       |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Unmetered Scattered Loads            |             | 000         | 000         | 004         | 004         | 004         |
| Connections                          | 9           | 383         | 383         | 384         | 384         | 384         |
| KVVN                                 | 1,909,385   | 1,601,817   | 1,565,650   | 1,661,205   | 1,646,926   | 1,632,744   |
| Customer/Connections                 | 43.841      | 43 210      | 43 634      | 44.026      | 44 306      | 44 502      |
| kWh                                  | 793 061 816 | 795 296 447 | 799 977 095 | 818 400 210 | 819 659 096 | 818 754 942 |
| k/W from applicable classes          | 879.640     | 964 996     | 965 270     | 088 727     | 990 441     | 002 456     |
| NYY HOTH applicable classes          | 070,040     | 304,300     | 000,210     | 300,131     | 330,441     | 002,400     |

1 2

## TRANSFORMER ALLOWANCE AND OTHER SERVICE CHARGES

PDI currently provides a Transformer Ownership Allowance Credit of \$0.60/kW to those
customers that own their own transformer facilities. PDI is proposing to maintain this rate for the
2013 Test Year for eligible customers.

- ,
- 8

9 PDI is not proposing any changes to any of its services charges, or proposing any new service10 charges.

#### 1 **OTHER DISTRIBUTION REVENUE**

### 2 SUMMARY OF OTHER DISTRIBUTION REVENUE

- 3 A summary of Other Distribution Revenue (Appendix 2-F of the Filing requirements)
- 4 is shown in Table 3-28.

## 5 Table 3-28 Other Operating Revenue

#### 6

| USoA #                     | USoA Description            | 200 | )9 Actual | 201 | 0 Actual  | 20 | 11 Actual <sup>2</sup> | 2012 Brid<br>Year | ge  | 2013 | 3 Test Year |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|----|------------------------|-------------------|-----|------|-------------|
| 4235                       | Specific Service Charges    |     | 731,535   |     | 712,961   |    | 620,946                | 644,              | 000 |      | 650,000     |
| 4225                       | Late Payment Charges        |     | 203,845   |     | 203,072   |    | 207,858                | 200,              | 000 |      | 200,000     |
| 4082                       | Retail Services Revenues    |     | 34,566    |     | 34,326    |    | 27,299                 | 22,               | 000 |      | 22,000      |
| 4084                       | STR Revenue                 |     | 19,532    |     | 20,769    |    | 15,678                 | 11,               | 000 |      | 11,000      |
| 4086                       | SSS Administration Revenue  |     | 89,560    |     | 91,279    |    | 95,183                 | 95,               | 000 |      | 95,000      |
| 4210                       | Rent from Electric Property |     | 216,325   |     | 204,294   |    | 210,681                | 210,              | 000 |      | 210,000     |
| 4405                       | Interest & Dividend Income  |     | 10,836    |     | 82,940    |    | 75,551                 | 82,               | 000 |      | 75,000      |
|                            |                             |     |           |     |           |    |                        |                   |     |      |             |
|                            |                             |     |           |     |           |    |                        |                   |     |      |             |
|                            |                             |     |           |     |           |    |                        |                   |     |      |             |
| Specific                   | Service Charges             |     | 731,535   |     | 712,961   |    | 620,946                | 644,              | 000 |      | 650,000     |
| Late Pay                   | ment Charges                |     | 203,845   |     | 203,072   |    | 207,858                | 200,              | 000 |      | 200,000     |
| Other Op                   | Other Operating Revenues    |     | 359,983   |     | 350,668   |    | 348,841                | 338,              | 000 |      | 338,000     |
| Other Income or Deductions |                             |     | 10,836    |     | 82,940    |    | 75,551                 | 82,               | 000 |      | 75,000      |
| Total                      |                             | \$  | 1,306,199 | \$  | 1,349,641 | \$ | 1,253,196              | \$ 1,264,         | 000 | \$   | 1,263,000   |

| Desc | crin  | tion |
|------|-------|------|
| 0000 | or ip | u on |

Account(s)

 Specific Service Charges:
 4235

 Late Payment Charges:
 4225

 Other Distribution Revenues:
 4080, 4082, 4084, 4090, 4205, 4210, 4215, 4220, 4240, 4245

 Other Income and Expenses:
 4305, 4310, 4315, 4320, 4325, 4330, 4335, 4340, 4345, 4350, 4355, 4360, 4365, 4370, 4375, 4380, 4385, 4390, 4395, 4398, 4405, 4415

#### 1 VARIANCE ANALYSIS ON OTHER DISTRIBUTION REVENUE

#### 2 2009 Board Approved Comparison to 2009 Actual – Other Operating Revenue:

3 Other operating revenue was \$312,652 lower in 2009 compared to the Board Approved amount 4 of \$1.6 million. Table 3-29 below summarizes the variance by account description. The main 5 reason for the decrease in other revenue compared to the 2009 Board amount was lower than 6 expected interest earned on Regulatory Assets. PDI utilized an interest rate of 4.0% when 7 building its 2009 Board Approved Budget. The prescribed interest rates for 2009 ultimately 8 ranged from 0.55% to 2.45%. Specific Service Charges appears higher by approximately 9 \$67,000 due to the incorrect mapping of Miscellaneous Income including scrap income to OEB 10 Account 4235. This should have been mapped to Account 4220 – Other Electric Revenue. This 11 mapping has since been changed so that Other Electric Revenue will be recorded correctly in 12 2012 and forward. No amounts have been forecast for OEB 4220 in the 2012 bridge year or 2013 13 test year.

#### 14 Table 3-29 Comparison 2009 Actual to 2009 Board Approved

| USoA #      | USoA Description            | Board<br>Approved<br>2009 | 2009 Actual  | Difference<br>\$ | Difference<br>% |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 4082        | Retail Services Revenues    | 30,000                    | 34,566       | 4,566            | 15%             |
| 4084        | STR Revenue                 | 20,000                    | 19,532       | (468)            | -2%             |
| 4086        | SSS Administration Revenue  | 88,000                    | 89,560       | 1,560            | 2%              |
| 4210        | Rent from Electric Property | 211,851                   | 216,325      | 4,474            | 2%              |
| 4225        | Late Payment Charges        | 190,000                   | 203,845      | 13,845           | 7%              |
| 4235        | Specific Service Charges    | 630,000                   | 731,535      | 101,535          | 16%             |
| 4405        | Interest & Dividend Income  | 449,000                   | 10,836       | (438,164)        | -98%            |
| Total Other | Operating Revenue           | \$ 1,618,851              | \$ 1,306,199 | -\$ 312,652      | -19%            |

15

### 1 2010 Actual Comparison to 2009 Actual – Other Operating Revenue

- 2 Table 3-30 below summarizes the variance by account description. Interest income earned on
- 3 regulatory assets increased in 2010 relative to 2009 on higher levels of regulatory assets due to
- 4 the smart meter initiative.

### 5 Table 3-30 Comparison 2010 Actual to 2009 Actual

| USoA #      | USoA Description            | 2009<br>Actual | 2010<br>Actual | Difference<br>\$ | Difference<br>% |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 4082        | Retail Services Revenues    | 34,566         | 34,326         | (240)            | -1%             |
| 4084        | STR Revenue                 | 19,532         | 20,769         | 1,237            | 6%              |
| 4086        | SSS Administration Revenue  | 89,560         | 91,279         | 1,719            | 2%              |
| 4210        | Rent from Electric Property | 216,325        | 204,294        | (12,031)         | -6%             |
| 4225        | Late Payment Charges        | 203,845        | 203,072        | (773)            | 0%              |
| 4235        | Specific Service Charges    | 731,535        | 712,961        | (18,574)         | -3%             |
| 4405        | Interest & Dividend Income  | 10,836         | 82,940         | 72,104           | 665%            |
| Total Other | Operating Revenue           | \$ 1,306,199   | \$ 1,349,641   | \$ 43,442        | 3%              |

6

## 7 2011 Actual Comparison to 2010 Actual – Other Operating Revenue:

- 8 Table 3-31 below summarizes the variance by account description followed by a discussion on
- 9 material variances.

### 10 Table 3-31 Comparison 2011 Actual to 2010 Actual

|                               |                             | 2010         | 2011         | Difference | Difference |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| USoA #                        | USoA Description            | Actual       | Actual       | \$         | %          |
| 4082                          | Retail Services Revenues    | 34,326       | 27,299       | (7,027)    | -20%       |
| 4084                          | STR Revenue                 | 20,769       | 15,678       | (5,091)    | -25%       |
| 4086                          | SSS Administration Revenue  | 91,279       | 95,183       | 3,904      | 4%         |
| 4210                          | Rent from Electric Property | 204,294      | 210,681      | 6,387      | 3%         |
| 4225                          | Late Payment Charges        | 203,072      | 207,858      | 4,786      | 2%         |
| 4235                          | Specific Service Charges    | 712,961      | 620,946      | (92,015)   | -13%       |
| 4405                          | Interest & Dividend Income  | 82,940       | 75,551       | (7,389)    | -9%        |
| Total Other Operating Revenue |                             | \$ 1,349,641 | \$ 1,253,196 | -\$ 96,445 | -7%        |

1 In 2011 Specific Service Charge revenue was \$92,015 lower than in 2010 due to a reduction in

- 2 Notification Charges and Disconnect/Reconnect fees associated with the OEB code amendments
- 3 during this time.
- 4

# 5 2012 Bridge Year Comparison to 2011 Actual – Other Operating Revenue:

- 6 Detailed variance analysis for the 2012 Bridge Year compared to 2011 Actual results is provided
- 7 below in Table 3-32. There are no material variances between these two periods.

### 8 Table 3-32 Comparison 2012 Bridge Year to 2011 Actual

|                               |                             | 2011         | 2012 Bridge  | Difference | Difference |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| USoA #                        | USoA Description            | Actual       | Year         | \$         | %          |
| 4082                          | Retail Services Revenues    | 27,299       | 22,000       | (5,299)    | -19%       |
| 4084                          | STR Revenue                 | 15,678       | 11,000       | (4,678)    | -30%       |
| 4086                          | SSS Administration Revenue  | 95,183       | 95,000       | (183)      | 0%         |
| 4210                          | Rent from Electric Property | 210,681      | 210,000      | (681)      | 0%         |
| 4225                          | Late Payment Charges        | 207,858      | 200,000      | (7,858)    | -4%        |
| 4235                          | Specific Service Charges    | 620,946      | 644,000      | 23,054     | 4%         |
| 4405                          | Interest & Dividend Income  | 75,551       | 82,000       | 6,449      | 9%         |
| Total Other Operating Revenue |                             | \$ 1,253,196 | \$ 1,264,000 | \$ 10,804  | 1%         |

9

10

## 11 Comparison to 2013 Test Year to 2012 Bridge Year– Other Operating Revenue:

- 12 Forecasted Other Operating Revenue for the 2013 Test Year is comparable to the 2012 Bridge
- 13 Year as provided in the table below, as well as the 2011 Actual results in the Table above.

|                               |                             | 20 | 12 Bridge | 2  | 2013 Test | Diff | erence  | Difference |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|------|---------|------------|
| USoA #                        | USoA Description            |    | Year      |    | Year      |      | \$      | %          |
| 4082                          | Retail Services Revenues    |    | 22,000    |    | 22,000    |      | -       | 0%         |
| 4084                          | STR Revenue                 |    | 11,000    |    | 11,000    |      | -       | 0%         |
| 4086                          | SSS Administration Revenue  |    | 95,000    |    | 95,000    |      | -       | 0%         |
| 4210                          | Rent from Electric Property |    | 210,000   |    | 210,000   |      | -       | 0%         |
| 4225                          | Late Payment Charges        |    | 200,000   |    | 200,000   |      | -       | 0%         |
| 4235                          | Specific Service Charges    |    | 644,000   |    | 650,000   |      | 6,000   | 1%         |
| 4405                          | Interest & Dividend Income  |    | 82,000    |    | 75,000    |      | (7,000) | -9%        |
| Total Other Operating Revenue |                             | \$ | 1,264,000 | \$ | 1,263,000 | -\$  | 1,000   | 0%         |

# 1 Table 3-33 Comparison 2013 Test Year to 2012 Bridge Year

2

Peterborough Distribution Inc. EB-2012-0160 Exhibit 3 Tab 1 Schedule 5 Appendix E

Monthly Data used for Regression Analysis

Peterborough Distribution Inc. EB-2012-0160 Exhibit 3 Appendix E

# Appendix E

|        |            |             |             |               | Number of |             |            |            |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
|        |            | Heating     | Coolina     | Ontario Real  | Davs in   | Spring Fall | Number of  | Predicted  |
|        | Purchased  | Degree Davs | Degree Davs | GDP Monthly % | Month     | Flag        | Peak Hours | Purchases  |
| Jan-04 | 88.017.601 | 971         | 0           | 127.53        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 84.580.191 |
| Feb-04 | 74.856.709 | 717         | 0           | 127.80        | 29        | 0           | 320        | 74,995,606 |
| Mar-04 | 73.324.078 | 537         | 0           | 128.06        | 31        | 1           | 368        | 71.646.461 |
| Apr-04 | 63.898.220 | 367         | 1           | 128.32        | 30        | 1           | 336        | 64.981.561 |
| May-04 | 60,883,254 | 188         | 5           | 128.59        | 31        | 1           | 320        | 61,880,056 |
| Jun-04 | 61,226,768 | 96          | 13          | 128.85        | 30        | 0           | 352        | 63,982,383 |
| Jul-04 | 65,537,326 | 12          | 48          | 129.12        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 66,321,374 |
| Aua-04 | 60.297.252 | 52          | 31          | 129.38        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 65.654.245 |
| Sep-04 | 56,071,601 | 75          | 9           | 129.65        | 30        | 1           | 336        | 59,014,468 |
| Oct-04 | 64,452,899 | 315         | 0           | 129.92        | 31        | 1           | 320        | 64.772.711 |
| Nov-04 | 69.356.277 | 458         | 0           | 130.19        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 68.178.306 |
| Dec-04 | 80,576,063 | 730         | 0           | 130.45        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 79,306,133 |
| Jan-05 | 86,332,339 | 852         | 0           | 130.74        | 31        | 0           | 320        | 81,733,199 |
| Feb-05 | 73,605,133 | 673         | 0           | 131.03        | 28        | 0           | 320        | 73,229,185 |
| Mar-05 | 76 572 507 | 649         | 0           | 131 33        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 74 501 364 |
| Apr-05 | 64 546 323 | 344         | 0           | 131.62        | 30        | 1           | 336        | 65 096 519 |
| May-05 | 61 750 575 | 231         | 0           | 131.02        | 31        | 1           | 336        | 63 771 495 |
| lun-05 | 71 956 114 | 201         | 93          | 132.20        | 30        | 0           | 352        | 71 096 141 |
|        | 73 387 032 | 4           | 134         | 132.50        | 31        | 0           | 320        | 75 255 702 |
| Aug-05 | 72 098 075 | 4           | 92          | 132.30        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 72 115 007 |
| Sep-05 | 64 972 689 | 55          | 22          | 133.09        | 30        | 1           | 336        | 60 636 433 |
| Oct-05 | 65 688 638 | 263         | 2           | 133.38        | 31        | 1           | 320        | 64 432 384 |
| Nov+05 | 70 111 288 | 447         | 0           | 133.68        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 68 676 979 |
| Dec-05 | 79 917 691 | 730         | 0           | 133.98        | 31        | 0           | 320        | 79 456 881 |
| Jan-06 | 79 703 735 | 665         | 0           | 134.25        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 78 563 201 |
| Feb-06 | 74.315.353 | 701         | 0           | 134.53        | 28        | 0           | 320        | 74.693.505 |
| Mar-06 | 75,996,451 | 592         | 0           | 134.81        | 31        | 1           | 368        | 74.516.219 |
| Apr-06 | 63.231.564 | 330         | 0           | 135.08        | 30        | 1           | 304        | 64.266.818 |
| May-06 | 63,212,361 | 166         | 15          | 135.36        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 65,051,279 |
| Jun-06 | 66,176,947 | 35          | 28          | 135.64        | 30        | 0           | 352        | 65,537,313 |
| Jul-06 | 71,462,724 | 4           | 96          | 135.92        | 31        | 0           | 320        | 71,980,257 |
| Aug-06 | 70,161,206 | 27          | 61          | 136.20        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 70,299,219 |
| Sep-06 | 61,162,530 | 138         | 3           | 136.48        | 30        | 1           | 320        | 60,767,961 |
| Oct-06 | 66,564,497 | 333         | 0           | 136.76        | 31        | 1           | 336        | 67,362,666 |
| Nov-06 | 69,340,297 | 417         | 0           | 137.04        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 68,682,676 |
| Dec-06 | 74,668,663 | 572         | 0           | 137.33        | 31        | 0           | 304        | 75,731,040 |
| Jan-07 | 81,968,591 | 761         | 0           | 137.55        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 82,253,440 |
| Feb-07 | 78,582,100 | 801         | 0           | 137.78        | 28        | 0           | 320        | 77,867,586 |
| Mar-07 | 77,387,451 | 626         | 0           | 138.01        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 75,438,263 |
| Apr-07 | 66,825,873 | 390         | 0           | 138.23        | 30        | 1           | 320        | 67,040,554 |
| May-07 | 63,985,051 | 176         | 9           | 138.46        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 65,366,196 |
| Jun-07 | 69,238,506 | 37          | 54          | 138.69        | 30        | 0           | 336        | 68,317,717 |
| Jul-07 | 68,874,470 | 25          | 52          | 138.92        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 69,221,492 |
| Aug-07 | 71,255,747 | 0           | 0           | 139.15        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 63,908,975 |
| Sep-07 | 63,640,572 | 0           | 0           | 139.38        | 30        | 1           | 304        | 57,119,807 |
| Oct-07 | 64,642,499 | 197         | 9           | 139.61        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 66,214,603 |
| Nov-07 | 71,823,632 | 528         | 0           | 139.84        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 72,043,506 |
| Dec-07 | 79,446,397 | 751         | 0           | 140.07        | 31        | 0           | 304        | 80,748,492 |

|        |            | Heating     | Cooling_    | Ontario Real  | Number of<br>Days in | Spring Fall | Number of  | Predicted  |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
|        | Purchased  | Degree Days | Degree Days | GDP Monthly % | <u>Month</u>         | Flag        | Peak Hours | Purchases  |
| Jan-08 | 81,373,762 | 711         | 0           | 139.97        | 31                   | 0           | 352        | 81,560,080 |
| Feb-08 | 77,356,887 | 763         | 0           | 139.86        | 29                   | 0           | 320        | 78,805,291 |
| Mar-08 | 77,132,501 | 720         | 0           | 139.76        | 31                   | 1           | 304        | 76,295,687 |
| Apr-08 | 65,644,266 | 312         | 0           | 139.65        | 30                   | 1           | 352        | 66,684,488 |
| May-08 | 63,027,512 | 231         | 0           | 139.55        | 31                   | 1           | 336        | 65,457,153 |
| Jun-08 | 67,031,106 | 36          | 39          | 139.44        | 30                   | 0           | 336        | 66,919,559 |
| Jul-08 | 70,797,854 | 7           | 49          | 139.34        | 31                   | 0           | 352        | 69,225,969 |
| Aug-08 | 66,845,263 | 27          | 34          | 139.23        | 31                   | 0           | 320        | 66,873,984 |
| Sep-08 | 64,588,581 | 122         | 13          | 139.13        | 30                   | 1           | 336        | 62,610,911 |
| Oct-08 | 66,495,027 | 342         | 0           | 139.02        | 31                   | 1           | 352        | 68,685,240 |
| Nov-08 | 71,142,534 | 508         | 0           | 138.92        | 30                   | 1           | 304        | 69,494,896 |
| Dec-08 | 80,606,153 | 737         | 0           | 138.81        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 81,324,241 |
| Jan-09 | 86,796,095 | 925         | 0           | 138.39        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 85,858,365 |
| Feb-09 | 72,894,146 | 671         | 0           | 137.97        | 28                   | 0           | 304        | 74,102,443 |
| Mar-09 | 74,293,097 | 586         | 0           | 137.54        | 31                   | 1           | 352        | 74,341,547 |
| Apr-09 | 64,952,144 | 349         | 0           | 137.13        | 30                   | 1           | 320        | 65,803,919 |
| May-09 | 60,781,513 | 201         | 0           | 136.71        | 31                   | 1           | 320        | 63,476,479 |
| Jun-09 | 64,160,462 | 80          | 20          | 136.29        | 30                   | 0           | 352        | 65,914,849 |
| Jul-09 | 65.102.418 | 30          | 17          | 135.87        | 31                   | 0           | 352        | 65.680.929 |
| Aug-09 | 69.576.190 | 37          | 53          | 135.46        | 31                   | 0           | 320        | 68.258.097 |
| Sep-09 | 62.658.163 | 109         | 6           | 135.05        | 30                   | 1           | 336        | 60.704.727 |
| Oct-09 | 66.216.102 | 344         | 0           | 134.63        | 31                   | 1           | 336        | 67.136.885 |
| Nov-09 | 67,775,538 | 413         | 0           | 134.22        | 30                   | 1           | 320        | 66,738,726 |
| Dec-09 | 78.843.515 | 717         | 0           | 133.81        | 31                   | 0           | 352        | 80.328.034 |
| Jan-10 | 82.338.464 | 794         | 0           | 134.14        | 31                   | 0           | 320        | 81.074.430 |
| Feb-10 | 72.436.556 | 650         | 0           | 134.47        | 28                   | 0           | 304        | 72.820.691 |
| Mar-10 | 70.342.533 | 470         | 0           | 134.81        | 31                   | 1           | 368        | 71.493.918 |
| Apr-10 | 60.688.722 | 244         | 0           | 135.14        | 30                   | 1           | 320        | 62.797.890 |
| May-10 | 65.376.291 | 133         | 23          | 135.47        | 31                   | 1           | 320        | 63.891.667 |
| Jun-10 | 65,409,585 | 32          | 24          | 135.81        | 30                   | 0           | 352        | 65,066,831 |
| Jul-10 | 75.030.142 | 6           | 125         | 136.14        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 75.728.988 |
| Aug-10 | 71,658,733 | 15          | 66          | 136.48        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 69,916,371 |
| Sep-10 | 62,552,017 | 125         | 17          | 136.81        | 30                   | 1           | 336        | 62,630,241 |
| Oct-10 | 63,558,469 | 288         | 0           | 137.15        | 31                   | 1           | 320        | 65,717,369 |
| Nov-10 | 68,996,385 | 472         | 0           | 137.49        | 30                   | 1           | 336        | 69,526,791 |
| Dec-10 | 79,658,366 | 734         | 0           | 137.83        | 31                   | 0           | 368        | 82,253,128 |
| Jan-11 | 83,591,644 | 878         | 0           | 138.03        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 84,610,303 |
| Feb-11 | 74,181,456 | 718         | 0           | 138.24        | 28                   | 0           | 304        | 75,320,226 |
| Mar-11 | 76,852,077 | 623         | 0           | 138.44        | 31                   | 1           | 368        | 76,078,129 |
| Apr-11 | 65,680,577 | 351         | 0           | 138.65        | 30                   | 1           | 320        | 66,192,022 |
| May-11 | 64,269,545 | 143         | 10          | 138.86        | 31                   | 1           | 336        | 64,156,905 |
| Jun-11 | 66,475,177 | 32          | 25          | 139.06        | 30                   | 0           | 352        | 65,847,970 |
| Jul-11 | 75,911,509 | 0           | 121         | 139.27        | 31                   | 0           | 320        | 75,255,991 |
| Aug-11 | 70,746,256 | 11          | 47          | 139.48        | 31                   | 0           | 352        | 69,122,522 |
| Sep-11 | 64,010,688 | 95          | 16          | 139.69        | 30                   | 1           | 336        | 62,412,739 |
| Oct-11 | 64,615,695 | 274         | 0           | 139.89        | 31                   | 1           | 320        | 65,975,324 |
| Nov-11 | 67,802,485 | 407         | 0           | 140.10        | 30                   | 1           | 352        | 69,130,651 |
| Dec-11 | 74,682,133 | 606         | 0           | 140.31        | 31                   | 0           | 336        | 78,448,838 |

|        |           |             |             |               | Number of |             |            |            |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|
|        |           | Heating     | Cooling     | Ontario Real  | Days in   | Spring Fall | Number of  | Predicted  |
|        | Purchased | Degree Days | Degree Days | GDP Monthly % | Month     | Flag        | Peak Hours | Purchases  |
| Jan-12 |           | 820         | 0           | 140.52        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 83,736,370 |
| Feb-12 |           | 712         | 0           | 140.73        | 29        | 0           | 320        | 77,731,705 |
| Mar-12 |           | 600         | 0           | 140.94        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 75,453,318 |
| Apr-12 |           | 336         | 0           | 141.15        | 30        | 1           | 320        | 66,387,682 |
| May-12 |           | 183         | 8           | 141.36        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 66,097,056 |
| Jun-12 |           | 46          | 37          | 141.57        | 30        | 0           | 336        | 67,416,201 |
| Jul-12 |           | 11          | 80          | 141.78        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 72,467,887 |
| Aug-12 |           | 22          | 48          | 141.99        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 70,054,070 |
| Sep-12 |           | 90          | 11          | 142.20        | 30        | 1           | 304        | 61,083,493 |
| Oct-12 |           | 294         | 1           | 142.41        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 68,411,887 |
| Nov-12 |           | 456         | 0           | 142.62        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 70,892,316 |
| Dec-12 |           | 697         | 0           | 142.83        | 31        | 0           | 304        | 80,014,454 |
| Jan-13 |           | 820         | 0           | 143.13        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 84,929,531 |
| Feb-13 |           | 712         | 0           | 143.42        | 28        | 0           | 304        | 76,324,126 |
| Mar-13 |           | 600         | 0           | 143.72        | 31        | 1           | 320        | 74,845,829 |
| Apr-13 |           | 336         | 0           | 144.02        | 30        | 1           | 352        | 68,251,160 |
| May-13 |           | 183         | 8           | 144.31        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 66,753,902 |
| Jun-13 |           | 46          | 37          | 144.61        | 30        | 0           | 320        | 67,479,425 |
| Jul-13 |           | 11          | 80          | 144.91        | 31        | 0           | 352        | 73,776,389 |
| Aug-13 |           | 22          | 48          | 145.21        | 31        | 0           | 336        | 70,156,140 |
| Sep-13 |           | 90          | 11          | 145.50        | 30        | 1           | 320        | 62,430,974 |
| Oct-13 |           | 294         | 1           | 145.80        | 31        | 1           | 352        | 69,166,013 |
| Nov-13 |           | 456         | 0           | 146.10        | 30        | 1           | 336        | 71,053,155 |
| Dec-13 |           | 697         | 0           | 146.41        | 31        | 0           | 320        | 81,420,907 |