

PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, ON, Canada K1N 7B7 Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: <u>mjanigan@piac.ca</u> . http://www.piac.ca

> Michael Janigan Counsel for VECC (613) 562-4002 x26

February 15, 2013

VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Tillsonburg Hydro Inc. 2012 Distribution Rate Application (EB-2012-0168) Questions for Technical Conference

Set out below are specific questions that the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) will be seek a response to at the February 27thTechnical Conference.

VECC continues to review the evidence and may at the Technical Conference (or before if possible) have further questions of clarification on all the issues responded to in the interrogatories.

Yours truly,

Michael Janigan Counsel for VECC

•

cc. Tillsonburg - Steven T. Lund - slund@tillsonburg.ca

TILLSONBURG HYDRO INC. 2013 RATE APPLICATION (EB-2012-0168) VECC TECHNICAL CONFERENCE QUESTIONS

NB: Numbering continues from last VECC interrogatory

3.0 LOAD FORECAST (Exhibit 3)

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 38

Reference: Energy Probe #14, Attachment 1 Staff #2

- a) Please confirm that the kWhs and kWsreported in Attachment 1 as "2012 Actuals Ending November 30, 2012 Normalized" are the actual values for the period.
- b) Please explain how the "normalized" monthly values reported in Staff #2 were calculated.

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 39

Reference: Staff #8 b) and c) VECC #13

- a) Now that the actual 2011 CDM impacts are available would it be more appropriate to include these results in the determination of the CDM gross-up? If not, why not?
- b) Please revise the Table provided in response to VECC #13 f) so that in column 6 the 2011-2014 CDM Target adjustment is based on 20% (not 30%).
- c) The response provided to VECC #13 g) does not address the question posed. Please provide a response.

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 40

Reference: Staff #10 Exhibit 3, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Attachment 1, pages 11-12

 a) Please explain why Customer #1 was in the GS 500-1499 class up to December 2007 when its average monthly demand was 5,400 kW until May 2007.

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 41

Reference: Staff #11 VECC #10 f) Energy Probe #16 b)

a) Please provide a revised 2013 forecast for the GS 50-499 and GS >1500 classes to reflect Customer 3 continuing as a GS>1500 customer?

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 42

Reference: VECC #12 Energy Probe #14)

a) Please confirm that the customer counts provided in response to VECC #12 a) are average annuals for 2012 and 2013.

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 43

Reference: VECC #14

- a) The file referenced in response to part (b) does not appear on the OEB web-site. Please provide an electronic copy.
- b) Please clarify if the 2006-2011 CDM kW savings used to produce Table 3-7 were based on:
 - i. The OPA's reported kW CDM savings for each class, or
 - ii. The OPA's reported kWh CDM saving for each class and adjusted to kW using the historical kW/kWh ratio for each customer class
- c) If the response to part (b) is approach (i) then please provide the response requested in VECC #14 c).

3.0 OTHER REVENUE (Exhibit 3)

3.0 -VECC TCQ- 44

Reference: Energy Probe #20 b)

a) Please confirm that the Interest and Other Income values for 2013 do not include any interest related to regulatory accounts.

7.0 COST ALLOCATION (Exhibit 7)

7.0 -VECC TCQ- 45

Reference: VECC #28 c)

a) Please provide a revised version of the 2013 CA model using the primary/secondary splits set out in response to VECC #28 c).

7.0 -VECC TCQ- 46

Reference: VECC #30

a) Reference is made in the response to a "2009 corrected" CA model. Is the 2009 CA model filed in response to VECC #30 a) the one used for the 2009 rate application or has it been "corrected" in some manner? If the later, what changes were made?

7.0 -VECC TCQ- 47

Reference: VECC #26 d)

 a) Please confirm that meter costs for all of the meter types used in Sheet I7.1 are the current costs for each type of meter (including installation). If not, how were they determined?

7.0 – VECC TCQ - 48

Reference: IRR File CA Model_20130125.xls

 a) In conjunction with the interrogatory responses, Tillsonburg filed above referenced new CA Model run for 2013. Please indicate what inputs were changed for this new 2013 CA Model run versus the 2013 CA Model filed with the original application.

8.0 RATE DESIGN (Exhibit 8)

8.0 -VECC TCQ- 49

Reference: Energy Probe #31 b) VECC #35 a) and b)

 a) Please update the bill impacts provided in response to VECC #35 a) and b) to reflect the revised fix/variable rates now proposed per Energy Probe #31 b).

End of Document