March 5, 2013

Filed on RESS Sent By Regular Mail

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4



Barristers & Solicitors / Patent & Trade-mark Agents

Norton Rose Canada LLP Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower, Suite 3800 200 Bay Street, P.O. Box 84 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2Z4 CANADA

F: +1 416.216.3930 **nortonrose.com**

Your reference EB-2012-0031 Richard J. King +1 416.216.2311 richard.king@nortonrose.com

Our reference 01015413-0030

Dear Ms. Walli:

Hydro One Transmission Rates 2013-2014 (EB-2012-0031)

On behalf of the Association of Power Producers of Ontario ("APPrO"), please find enclosed APPrO's Undertaking J.3.1 from the oral hearing held on February 26, 2013.

Yours very truly,

Original signed by

Richard J. King Partner

RJK/mnm

Enclosure

Filed: March 4, 2013 EB-2012-0031 Exhibit J3.1 Page 1 of 1

UNDERTAKING

Undertaking

TO CALCULATE THE TOTAL COMMODITY COST TO AN EXPORT CUSTOMER OF 1,000 MEGAWATTS AT A TRANSMISSION RATE OF \$2 AND AT A TRANSMISSION RATE OF \$5.80, USING A REASONABLE WEIGHTED AVERAGE VALUE FOR HOEP

Response

For an export customer that exports 1,000 MWh in a month, that export customer's monthly bill with a \$2/MWh ETS would be \$31,630. If the ETS is increased to \$5.80/MWh, the export customer's monthly bill increases to \$35,430. This is a monthly bill increase of 12.0%.

This is to be compared to HONI's Undertaking J2.2, which showed that moving the tariff the other way (i.e., reducing the ETS from \$2/MWh to zero) would increase the average small residential monthly bill by \$0.19, or 0.13%.

Thus, the "worst-case" impacts to the two customer classes of the ETS changes being discussed is an increase of 0.13% to residential customers (when ETS decreased) and an increase of 12.0% (when ETS increased) to export customers, which is more than 9100% greater in percentage terms.

DOCSTOR: 2646560\1

We assumed: (a) an HOEP of \$26.30/MWh, based on the most recent MSP Annual Report; (b) an uplift fee of \$3.33/MWH, as per the CRA Study; and (c) no congestion costs. We also assumed that trading volume would not decrease in response to a higher ETS.