

EXHIBIT LIST

A – ADMINISTRATIVE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>A1</u>	1	1	Exhibit List	R. Bourke
	2	1	Application	R. Bourke
	3	1	List of Specific Approvals Requested	R. Bourke
	4	1	Draft Issues List	R. Bourke
	5	1	Procedural Orders / Motions / Correspondence	
	6	1	Accounting Orders	K. Culbert
		2	Accounting for Rate Regulated Operations – Current and Future Changes	K. Culbert J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	7	1	List of Non-Compliance with Uniform System of Accounts and Reference to Accounting Orders	K. Culbert
	8	1	Map of Distribution System	R. Bourke
	9	1	List of Affiliate Transactions for the 2013 Test Year, 2012 Bridge Year and 2011 Historic Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	10	1	Utility Organization Charts	R. Bourke S. Trozzi
	11	1	Corporate Organization Charts	R. Bourke J. Jozsa
	12	1	Planned Changes in Corporate or Operational Structure	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa

EXHIBIT LIST

A – ADMINISTRATIVE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>A1</u>	13	1	Status of Board Directives from Previous Board Decisions and / or Orders	R. Bourke
	14	1	Conditions of Service	T. Ferguson S. McGill
		2	Changes to the Schedule of Service Charges - Rider G	S. McGill M. Torriano
	15	1	Curriculum Vitae of Company Witnesses	R. Bourke
		2	Curriculum Vitae of Manuel Monteiro	R. Bourke
		3	Curriculum Vitae of Company Witnesses	R. Bourke
		4	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Rebecca Honeyfield	R. Bourke
		5	Curriculum Vitae of Steven A. Fenrick and Lullit Getachew	R. Bourke
		6	Curriculum Vitae of Company Witnesses	R. Bourke

A2 - Overview

<u>A2</u>	1	1	Summary of Application	R. Bourke
		2	Concentric Study - Benchmarking Study	J. Coyne J. Lieberman Concentric

EXHIBIT LIST

A – ADMINISTRATIVE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>A2</u>	1	3	PSE - Productivity Study	S. Fenrick L. Getachew PSE
	2	1	2013 Regulatory Budget Assumptions and Guidelines Directive	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		2	Budget Process Flowchart	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		3	Approval Process	S. Kancharla R. Lei
	3	1	Changes in Methodology - Other Post - Employment Benefits	K. Culbert J. Jozsa
		2	Changes in Methodology - Pension Expense	K. Culbert J. Jozsa S. Kancharla A. Patel B. Yuzwa
	4	1	Drivers of Sufficiency/Deficiency	K. Culbert

A3 – Finance

<u>A3</u>	1	1	Interim Financial Statements - Enbridge Gas Distribution - 2011 Historic Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
		2	Interim Financial Statements - Enbridge Inc. - 2011 Historic Year	J. Jozsa S. Kancharla B. Yuzwa
	2	1	Financial Statements - Utility Proforma Statements for Bridge and Test Year	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

A – ADMINISTRATIVE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>A3</u>	3	1	Financial Statements – Enbridge Gas Distribution Historic 2010 Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	4	1	Annual Report (Actual) and Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) – Enbridge Gas Distribution Historic 2010 Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
		2	Annual Report (Actual) and Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) – Enbridge Gas Distribution Historic 2011 Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	5	1	Reconciliation of Utility Income to Audited EGDI Consolidated Income 2011 Historic Year	K. Culbert
	6	1	Annual / Audited Financial Reports (Historic) Enbridge Inc. – 2010 Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
		2	Annual / Audited Financial Reports (Historic) Enbridge Inc. – 2011 Year	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	7	1	Applicant Subsidiaries	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	8	1	10-K Filing – Parent Companies	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	9	1	DBRS and S&P Reports	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	10	1	Prospectus and Information Circulars	J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa

EXHIBIT LIST

B – RATE BASE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>B1 - Written Evidence</u>				
<u>B1</u>	1	1	Rate Base Evidence and Summaries	K. Culbert
		2	Rate Base - Year to Year Summary	K. Culbert
	2	1	Rate Base - Capital Budget	L. Au S. Kancharla D. Kelly R. Lei
		2	Details of Capital Expenditures and Justification for Major Capital Projects over \$500,000 - 2011 through 2013	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		3	Comparison of Capital Expenditures by Year	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
	3	1	Asset Plan	L. Chiotti L. Lawler
		2	Asset Plan and 2013 Capital Budget	L. Chiotti L. Lawler
		3	Leave to Construct Projects	E. Chin N. MacNeil
	4	1	Information Technology Capital Budget	B. Misra H. Wong
	5	1	Storage Capital Expenditure	B. Pilon

EXHIBIT LIST

B – RATE BASE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
----------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------

Special Studies and Reports

<u>B2</u>	1	1	Economic Feasibility Procedure and Policy	F. Ahmad P. Squires
	2	1	2012 - 2021 Asset Plan	L. Chiotti L. Lawler

Test Year

<u>B3</u>	1	1	Ontario Utility Rate Base – Comparison of 2013 Test Year to 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		2	Property, Plant and Equipment Summary Statement – Average of Monthly Averages 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		3	Working Capital Components of Average of Monthly Averages 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
	2	1	Utility Capital Expenditures Comparison 2013 Test Year and 2012 Estimate	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		2	2013 Capital Expenditures by Project (Projects Exceeding \$500,000)	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		3	Gross Customer Additions and Average Cost per Customer Addition Budget 2013 and 2012 Estimate	F. Ahmad L. Au D. Kelly
		4	System Expansion Monitoring - 2013 Test Year	F. Ahmad P. Squires

EXHIBIT LIST

B – RATE BASE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>Bridge Year</u>				
<u>B4</u>	1	1	Ontario Utility Rate Base Comparison of 2012 Bridge Year to 2011 Historic Year	K. Culbert
		2	Property, Plant, and Equipment Summary Statement – Average of Monthly Averages 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		3	Working Capital Components of Average of Monthly Averages 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
	2	1	Comparison of Utility Capital Expenditures 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		2	2012 Capital Expenditures by Project (Projects Exceeding \$500,000)	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		3	Gross Customer Additions and Average Cost per Customer Addition 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	F. Ahmad L. Au D. Kelly
		4	System Expansion Monitoring 2012 Bridge Year	F. Ahmad P. Squires

Historic Year & Trend Data

<u>B5</u>	1	1	Ontario Utility Rate Base Comparison of 2011 Historic to 2007 Board Approved	K. Culbert
-----------	---	---	--	------------

EXHIBIT LIST

B – RATE BASE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>B5</u>	1	2	Property, Plant, and Equipment Summary Statement - Average of Monthly Averages 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
		3	Working Capital Components of Average of Monthly Averages 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
	2	1	Comparison of Utility Capital Expenditures 2011 Historic and 2007 Board Approved	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		2	2011 Capital Expenditures by Project (Projects Exceeding \$500,000)	L. Au D. Kelly R. Lei
		3	Gross Customer Additions and Average Cost per Customer Addition Actual 2011 and 2011 Board Approved	F. Ahmad L. Au D. Kelly
		4	System Expansion Portfolio - 2011 Historic	F. Ahmad P. Squires

EXHIBIT LIST

C – OPERATING REVENUE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>Written Evidence</u>				
<u>C1</u>	1	1	Operating Revenue Summary	K. Culbert
	2	1	Revenue Forecast	R. Lei S. Qian
	3	1	Gas Volume Budget	R. Lei S. Qian
		2	Updated Gas Volume Budget	R. Lei S. Qian
	4	1	Transactional Services	J. Denomy V. Krauchek
	5	1	Other Service and Late Payment Penalty Revenue	S. McGill M. Torriano

Special Studies and Reports

C2	1	1	Key Economic Assumptions	H. Sayyan M. Suarez
	2	1	Average Use Forecasting Model	H. Sayyan M. Suarez
	3	1	Budget Degree Days	H. Sayyan M. Suarez
		2	Updated Budget Degree Days	H. Sayyan M. Suarez

EXHIBIT LIST

C – OPERATING REVENUE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>Test Year</u>				
<u>C3</u>	1	1	Utility Operating Revenue 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		2	Comparison of Utility Operating Revenue Budget 2013 and Estimate 2012	R. Lei S. Qian
	2	1	Customers, Volumes and Revenues by Rate Class - 2013 Budget	R. Lei S. Qian
		2	Comparison of Average Customer Numbers by Rate Class 2013 Budget and 2012 Estimate	R. Lei S. Qian
		3	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Volume by Rate Class 2013 Budget and 2012 Estimate	R. Lei S. Qian
		4	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Revenue by Rate Class 2013 Budget and 2012 Estimate	R. Lei S. Qian
	3	1	Details of Other Revenue Budget 2013 and Estimate 2012	R. Lei S. Qian
	4	1	Transactional Services 2013 Test Year Budget Revenue and Cost Components	J. Denomy V. Krauchek
	5	1	NGV Rate of Return 2013 Test Year	F. Ahmad K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

C – OPERATING REVENUE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>Bridge Year</u>				
<u>C4</u>	1	1	Utility Operating Revenue 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		2	Comparison of Utility Operating Revenue 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		3	Comparison of Utility Operating Revenue 2012 Estimate and Board 2007 Budget Approved	R. Lei S. Qian
	2	1	Customers, Volumes and Revenues by Rate Class - 2012 Estimate	R. Lei S. Qian
		2	Comparison of Customer Numbers by Rate Class 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		3	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Volume by Rate Class 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		4	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Revenue by Rate Class 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		5	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Volume by Rate Class 2012 Estimate and 2007 Board Approved	R. Lei S. Qian
	3	1	Details of Other Revenue 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian

EXHIBIT LIST

C – OPERATING REVENUE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>C4</u>	3	2	Details of Other Revenue 2012 Estimate and 2007 Board Approved	R. Lei S. Qian
	4	1	Transactional Services 2012 Bridge Year Estimate vs. 2007 Board Approved Budget Revenue and Cost Components	V. Krauchek J. Sarnovsky
	5	1	NGV Rate of Return 2012 Bridge Year	F. Ahmad K. Culbert

Historic Year and Trend Data

<u>C5</u>	1	1	Utility Operating Revenue 2011 Historic (Estimate)	K. Culbert
		2	Comparison of Utility Operating Revenue 2011 Historic Year and 2007 Board Approved	R. Lei S. Qian
	2	1	Customers, Volumes and Revenues by Rate Class –2011 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		2	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Volume by Rate Class 2011 Historic and 2010 Historic	R. Lei S. Qian
		3	General Service System-Wide Normalized Average Use	R. Lei S. Qian
	4	Comparison of Gas Sales and Transportation Volume by Rate Class 2011 Historic and 2007 Board Approved	R. Lei S. Qian	

EXHIBIT LIST

C – OPERATING REVENUE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>C5</u>	2	5	General Service Average Uses Historical Normalized Actual and Board Approved Fiscal and Calendar Years	R. Lei S. Qian
		6	Large Volume (Contract) Customer Demand Historical Normalized Actual and Board Approved Fiscal and Calendar Years	R. Lei S. Qian
	3	1	Details of Other Revenue 2011 Historic vs. 2007 Board Approved	R. Lei S. Qian
	4	1	Transactional Services 2011 Historic vs. 2007 Board Approved Budget Revenue and Cost Components	J. Denomy V. Krauchek
	5	1	NGV Rate of Return 2011 Historic Year	F. Ahmad K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>Written Evidence</u>				
<u>D1</u>	1	1	Operating Cost Summary	K. Culbert
	2	1	Gas Costs, Transportation and Storage	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		2	Status of Transportation Contracts	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		3	Design Criteria Evidence	J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky
		4	Curtailment Compliance Report	B. Manwaring
	3	1	Operating Maintenance Costs	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		2	Employee Expenses and Workforce Demographics	S. Trozzi
	4	1	Corporate Cost Allocation (“CAM”)	K. Culbert J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
		2	Updated Corporate Cost Allocation (“CAM”)	K. Culbert J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	5	1	Depreciation Rate Change	L. Au J. Jozsa D. Kelly
	6	1	Municipal Taxes	B. Remington

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>D1</u>	7	1	Demand Side Management Budget	A. Mandyam
	8	1	Deferral and Variance Accounts	K. Culbert R. Small
		2	Not Used	
	9	3	Deferral and Variance Account Forecast Balances	K. Culbert
		1	Open Bill Access	K. Lakatos-Hayward S. McGill
	10	1	Finance Department - O&M Budget	J. Jozsa S. Kancharla R. Lei
	11	1	Not Used	
	12	1	CIS / Customer Care – A Review of the Treatment of CIS/Customer Care Costs as a Result of the ADR Settlement in EB-2011-0226	K. Culbert K. Lakatos-Hayward S. McGill
2		EB-2011-0226 Settlement Agreement Enbridge Customer Care and CIS Costs 2013 to 2018 - September 2, 2011	K. Lakatos-Hayward S. McGill	

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>D1</u>	13	1	Energy Supply, Storage Development and Regulatory – O&M Budget	K. Culbert B. Pilon J. Sarnovsky R. Small
	14	1	Law Department – O&M Budget	
	15	1	Operations – O&M Budget	W. Akkermans J. Alton D. Lapp J. Sanders
	16	1	Information Technology – O&M Budget	T. Adesipo B. Misra H. Wong
	17	1	Business Development and Corporate Strategy	L. Kennedy P. Squires
	18	1	Human Resources – O&M Budget	S. Trozzi
	19	1	Not Used	
	20	1	Pipeline Integrity and Safety – O&M Budget	J. Briggs C. Hanlon L. Lawler
	21	1	Public and Government Affairs – O&M Budget	C. Meyer M. Sousa
	22	1	Non Departmental Expenses – O&M Budget	S. Trozzi

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>D1</u>	23	1	Not Used	
	24	1	Regulatory Adjustments and Eliminations – CAM Elimination to Adjust for RCAM	K. Culbert J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
		2	Updated Regulatory Adjustments and Eliminations - CAM Elimination to Adjust for RCAM	K. Culbert J. Jozsa B. Yuzwa
	25	1	Service Quality Requirements (“SQR’s”)	T. Ferguson K. Lakatos-Hayward M. Torriano M. Wagle

Special Studies and Reports

<u>D2</u>	1	1	Regulatory Corporate Cost Allocation (“RCAM”) Update	J. Hails MNP LLP
	2	1	Depreciation Study	J. Spanos Gannett Fleming
		2	Schedule Depreciation Rates	L. Au D. Kelly J. Jozsa
	3	1	Compensation Study – A Comparison of the EGDI Compensation Program	Mercers Consultant
	4	1	Gas System Design Criteria Analysis For Enbridge Gas Distribution	R. Honeyfield Navigant Consultant
		2	Analysis of Peak Gas Day Design Criteria	R. Honeyfield Navigant Consultant

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>	
<u>D2</u>	5	1	Regulated Unregulated Storage Cost Allocation	R. Feingold Black & Veatch	
	6	1	Unaccounted For Gas Study	I. Chan	
	7	1	IFRS - <i>Benchmarking Conversion Costs</i> Including US-GAAP Implementation	M. Picard KPMG	
		2	Review of Enbridge Gas Distribution Overhead Allocations for IFRS March 5, 2010	E. Rawlinson Ernest & Young	
	8	1	2011 Distributed Energy, Green Energy Initiatives and Fuel Cells Activities	T. Maclean	
	9	1	EB-2011-0008 - 2010 Earnings Sharing Mechanism Settlement Agreement - June 28, 2011	R. Bourke	
	10	1	EB-2007-0615 - 2008 to 2012 IR Settlement Agreement - February 4, 2008	R. Bourke	
	<u>Test Year</u>				
	<u>D3</u>	1	1	Cost of Service 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		2	1	Cost of Service Comparison of Utility Cost and Expenses Budget 2013 and Estimate 2012	S. Kancharla R. Lei
2			Operating and Maintenance Expense by Department 2013 Test Year	S. Kancharla R. Lei	

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>	
<u>D3</u>	2	3	Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type - 2013 Test Year vs. 2012 Bridge Year	S. Kancharla R. Lei	
		4	Salaries and Wages and FTE Forecast 2013 Test Year	S. Kancharla R. Lei S. Trozzi	
	3	1	Summary of Gas Cost to Operations	J. Sarnovsky D. Small	
		2	Summary of Storage and Transportation Costs Fiscal 2013	J. Sarnovsky D. Small	
		3	Peak Day Supply Mix	J. Sarnovsky D. Small	
		4	Monthly Pricing Information	J. Sarnovsky D. Small	
		5	Gas Supply/Demand	J. Sarnovsky D. Small	
	4	1	Unbilled and Unaccounted-for Gas Volumes	H. Sayyan M. Suarez	
	<u>Bridge Year</u>				
	<u>D4</u>	1	1	Cost of Service 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
2		1	Cost of Service Comparison of Utility Costs and Expenses 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	S. Kancharla R. Lei	
		2	2	Not Used	

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>D4</u>	2	3	Operating and Maintenance Expense by Department 2012 Estimate	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		4	Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type 2012 Estimate and 2011 Historic	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		5	Salaries and Wages and FTE Estimate 2012 Bridge Year	S. Kancharla R. Lei S. Trozzi
	3	1	Summary of Gas Cost to Operations 2012 Bridge Year	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		2	Summary of Storage & Transportation Costs Fiscal 2012	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		3	Peak Day Supply Mix – 2012 Forecast	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	4	1	Unbilled and Unaccounted-for Gas Volumes	H. Sayyan M. Suarez

Historic Year and Trend Data

<u>D5</u>	1	1	Cost of Service 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
	2	1	Cost of Service Comparison of Utility Costs and Expenses Actual 2011 and 2007 Board Approved	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		2	Operating and Maintenance Expense by Department 2011 Historic	S. Kancharla R. Lei

EXHIBIT LIST

D – OPERATING COST

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>D5</u>	2	3	Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type 2011 Historic and 2007 Board Approved	S. Kancharla R. Lei
		4	Salaries and Wages and FTE 2011 Historic	S. Kancharla R. Lei S. Trozzi
		5	O&M Variances 2007 - 2011	S. Kancharla R. Lei
	3	1	Summary of Gas Cost to Operations 2011 Historic Year	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		2	Summary of Storage & Transportation Costs Fiscal 2011 Historic	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
		3	Canadian Peak Day Supply Mix 2011 Historic	J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	4	1	Unbilled and Unaccounted-for Volumes 2011 Historic vs. 2007 Board Approved	H. Sayyan M. Suarez

EXHIBIT LIST

E – COST OF CAPITAL

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>Written Evidence</u>				
<u>E1</u>	1	1	Cost of Capital Summary	K. Culbert
	2	1	Cost of Capital	D. Yaworsky
<u>Special Studies and Reports</u>				
<u>E2</u>	1	1	Return on Equity Calculation for 2013	R. Fischer M. Lister S. Murray
		2	Capital Structure: Equity Ratio	R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky
	2	1	Concentric Energy Advisors : Equity Thickness Evaluation & Recommendation	J. Coyne J. Lieberman Concentric
<u>Test Year</u>				
<u>E3</u>	1	1	Revenue Deficiency Calculation And Required Rate Of Return 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		2	Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Costs of Term Debt 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		3	Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		4	Preference Shares Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

E – COST OF CAPITAL

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>E3</u>	1	5	Unamortized Preference Share Issue Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert

Bridge Year

<u>E4</u>	1	1	Cost of Capital 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		2	Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost of Term Debt 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		3	Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		4	Preference Shares Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		5	Unamortized Preference Shares Issue Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert

Historic Year and Trend Data

<u>E5</u>	1	1	Revenue Sufficiency Calculation And Required Rate Of Return 2011 Historical Year (Estimate)	K. Culbert
		2	Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost of Term Debt 2011 Historic	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

E – COST OF CAPITAL

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>E5</u>	1	3	Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
		4	Preference Shares Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
		5	Unamortized Preference Share Issue Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2011 Historic	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

F – REVENUE SUFFICIENCY/DEFICIENCY

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
----------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------

Written Evidence

<u>F1</u>	1	1	Revenue (Deficiency) / Sufficiency Summary	K. Culbert
-----------	---	---	--	------------

Test Year

<u>F3</u>	1	1	Cost of Capital 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		2	Utility Income 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		3	Utility Rate Base 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert

Bridge Year

<u>F4</u>	1	1	Revenue Sufficiency Calculation and Required Rate of Return 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		2	Utility Income 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert
		3	Utility Rate Base 2012 Bridge Year	K. Culbert

Historic Year and Trend Data

<u>F5</u>	1	1	Revenue Sufficiency and Recalculated Rate of Return 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
		2	Utility Income 2011 Historic	K. Culbert
		3	Utility Rate Base 2011 Historic	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

G – COST ALLOCATION

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>Written Evidence</u>				
<u>G1</u>	1	1	2013 Cost Allocation Methodology	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
<u>Test Year</u>				
<u>G2</u>	1	1	Fully Allocated Cost Study - 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
	2	1	Revenue to Cost/Rate of Return Comparisons	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		2	Revenue to Cost/Rate of Return Comparisons Excluding Gas Supply Commodity	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
	3	1	Functionalization of Utility Rate Base	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		2	Functionalization of Utility Working Capital	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		3	Functionalization of Utility Net Investments	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		4	Functionalization of Utility O&M	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
	4	1	Classification of Rate Base	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		2	Classification of Net Investment	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		3	Classification of O&M Costs	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk

EXHIBIT LIST

G – COST ALLOCATION

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>	
<u>G2</u>	5	1	Allocation of Rate Base	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk	
		2	Allocation of Return & Taxes	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk	
		3	Allocation of Total Cost of Service	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk	
	6	1	1	Rate Base Functionalization Factors	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			2	Classification of Gas Costs to Operations	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			3	Allocation Factors	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			4	Allocation of DSM Program Costs General Costs Including Fringe Benefits and A&G	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
	7	1	1	Tecumseh – Functionalization and Classification of Rate Base	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			2	Tecumseh – Functional Allocation of Cost of Service - 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			3	Tecumseh – Classification of Cost of Service 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
			4	Tecumseh Gas Rate Derivation 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk

EXHIBIT LIST

G – COST ALLOCATION

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>G2</u>	7	5	Tecumseh Gas Isolation of Transmission Related Rate Base 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		6	Tecumseh Gas Isolation of Transmission Related Operating Cost 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk
		7	Functionalization of Short Cycle Net Revenues to In/Ex Franchise Customers 2013 Test Year	A. Kacicnik M. Kirk

EXHIBIT LIST

H – RATE DESIGN

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
----------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------

Written Evidence

<u>H1</u>	1	1	2013 Proposed Rates	J. Collier A. Kacicnik
-----------	---	---	---------------------	---------------------------

Test Year

<u>H2</u>	1	1	Revenue Comparison – Current Revenue vs. Proposed Revenue	J. Collier
	2	1	Proposed Revenue Recovery by Rate Class	J. Collier
	3	1	Summary of Proposed Rate Change by Rate Class	J. Collier
	4	1	Calculation of Gas Supply Charges by Rate Class	J. Collier
	5	1	Detailed Revenue Calculations by Rate Class	J. Collier
	6	1	Rate Handbook	J. Collier
	7	1	Annual Bill Comparison	J. Collier

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>	
I	<u>USGAAP</u>	1.1 to 1.7	Board Staff	EGDI	
		2.1 to 2.2	APPrO	EGDI	
		7.1 to 7.3	Energy Probe	EGDI	
	<u>B1</u>		1.1 to 1.6	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
			2.1 to 2.3	APPrO Interrogatories	EGDI
			3.1 to 3.2	BOMA Interrogatories	EGDI
			4.1 to 4.4	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
			5.1 to 5.16	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
			7.1 to 7.5	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
			8.1 to 8.2	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI
14.1 to 14.9			SEC Interrogatories	EGDI	
20.1 to 20.4	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI			
<u>B2</u>		1.1 to 1.16	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI	
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI	
		4.1 to 4.5	CME Interrogatories	EGDI	
		5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI	
		8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>B3</u>		1.1 to 1.3	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI	

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>B3</u>	3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.3	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>B4</u>	1.1 to 1.5	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		2.1	APPrO Interrogatory	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>B5</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.3	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
7.1		Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI	
8.1		FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>B6</u>	8.1 to 8.12	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI	
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>B7</u>	5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>C1</u>		1.1 to 1.3	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		4.1	CME Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>C2</u>		5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.2	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		8.1 to 8.2	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI
		11.1	Just Energy Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>C3</u>		7.1 to 7.4	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>C4</u>		1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.3	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.2	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>C5</u>	1.1 to 1.9	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		2.1	APPrO Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.2	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>C6</u>		1.1 to 1.5	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		2.1 to 2.2	APPrO Interrogatories	EGDI
		4.1 to 4.2	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.7	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.2	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		8.1 to 8.2	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>C7</u>		1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.2	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		11.1	Just Energy Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>D1</u>		1.1 to 1.17	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>D1</u>	3.1 to 3.5	BOMA Interrogatories	EGDI
		4.1 to 4.5	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.11	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.5	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.11	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.5	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D2</u>	1.1 to 1.2	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		4.1 to 4.3	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		8.1 to 8.10	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D3</u>	1.1 to 1.14	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
5.1		CCC Interrogatory	EGDI	
7.1 to 7.2		Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI	
8.1 to 8.4		FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI	
20.1		VECC Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>D4</u>	1.1 to 1.14	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI	

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>D4</u>	4.1 to 4.5	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.2	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D5</u>	1.1 to 1.9	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		3.1	BOMA Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.5	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D6</u>	20.1 to 20.2	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D7</u>	2.1	APPrO Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EDGI
	<u>D8</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EDGI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>D9</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>D10</u>	1.1 to 1.4	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D11</u>	1.1 to 1.12	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>D11</u>	11.1 to 11.13	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.11	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
		23.1 to 23.7	GEC Interrogatories	EGDI
		24.1 to 24.17	HVAC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>D12</u>		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.3	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>D13</u>		1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>D14</u>		1.1 to 1.2	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.3	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>D15</u>		1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.4	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>D16</u>		1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>D16</u>	14.1 to 14.4	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
	<u>D17</u>	1.1 to 1.3	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.3	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>D18</u>		1.1 to 1.3	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.4	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>D19</u>		1.1 to 1.2	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.2	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.4	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>D20</u>		1.1 to 1.2	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.5	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
<u>D21</u>		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.2	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>D22</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>D23</u>	5.2	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		14.1	SEC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>D24</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>DV1</u>	5.1 to 5.4	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.2	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		8.1 to 8.2	FRPO Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>DV2</u>	1.1 to 1.5	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		4.1	CME Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>E1</u>	1.1 to 1.2	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.6	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.4	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
		21.1 to 21.2	CME et al Interrogatories (CME, CCC, SEC, VECC)	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>E2</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI
		2.1 to 2.2	APPrO Interrogatories	EGDI
		4.1 to 4.3	CME Interrogatories	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1 to 7.3	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI
		14.1 to 14.8	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI
		20.1 to 20.5	VECC Interrogatories	EGDI
		21.1 to 21.12	CME et al Interrogatories (CME, CCC, SEC, VECC)	EGDI
		22.1 to 22.52	EGDI of CME et al (Dr. L. Booth)	CME et al
		<u>E3</u>	1.1 to 1.4	Board Staff Interrogatories
7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory			EGDI
21.1 to 21.3	CME et al Interrogatories (CME, CCC, SEC, VECC)			EGDI
<u>F1</u>	5.1 to 5.6	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI	
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>F2</u>	5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI	
		4.1	CME Interrogatory	EGDI

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>F2</u>	20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
	<u>G1</u>	2.1	APPrO Interrogatory	EGDI
		5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI
		7.1	Energy Probe Interrogatory	EGDI
		20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI
<u>H1</u>	1.1	Board Staff Interrogatory	EGDI	
	4.1 to 4.2	CME Interrogatories	EGDI	
	5.1	CCC Interrogatory	EGDI	
	7.1 to 7.3	Energy Probe Interrogatories	EGDI	
	14.1 to 14.2	SEC Interrogatories	EGDI	
	20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>O1</u>	8.1	FRPO Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>O2</u>	5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI	
<u>O3</u>	1.1 to 1.31	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI	
	4.1	CME Interrogatory	EGDI	
	5.1 to 5.5	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI	
	20.1	VECC Interrogatory	EGDI	
<u>O4</u>	5.1 to 5.2	CCC Interrogatories	EGDI	

EXHIBIT LIST

I - INTERROGATORIES and RESPONSES

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
I	<u>O5</u>	1.1 to 1.4	Board Staff Interrogatories	EGDI

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT1</u>	1	To explain how Tecumseh office facility allocations will be handled; what methodology will be used; how the evidence be updated, to the extent that there are any changes.	J. Sanders
	2	To confirm whether Sombra is included in category (line 106) and, if so, to indicate whether there are any methodological or realignment changes that being made with respect to inclusion of non-utility assets.	J. Sanders
	3	To provide the increase in deliverability as a result of the KVT compressor pressure upgrade on a percentage basis.	J. Sanders
	4	To list schedules to the Black & Veatch report that will be provided in future regulatory filings, and what previous schedules will no longer be filed.	K. Culbert J. Sanders
	5	To provide breakdown of capital budget between utility and non-utility for the metering replacement in the 3-D seismic referenced in Exhibit I-B4-8.1 using the Black & Veatch Schedule 5 methodology.	J. Sanders
	6	To confirm whether Company has a document called "Interactive Assessment Report" and corresponding spreadsheet, or to confirm that it is actually the document dated a month later.	L. Chiotti

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT1</u>	7	To ask Woodhouse to provide further explanation for Exhibit I, Issue B2, Schedule 4.4, Attachment 1, Page 8 of 14, Section 5.1, Item 6, In Woodhouse Report.	L. Chiotti
	8	To file Envision benefits to produce the results for 2011	M. Torriano
	9	To determine the impact in the 2013 Test Year working cash derivation of changing the forecast storage net lag cost back to the 2007 Board-Approved.	K. Culbert
	10	To produce online version of Union's current priority of service schedule.	J. Sanders
	11	To provide response as to what budget item on line 15 is of D1, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 4 of 29, and why it is increasing.	J. Alton J. Briggs K. Culbert M. Torriano
	12	To provide things not done in 2008, 2009 and 2010 that resulted in decline in O&M costs from roughly \$180 million to \$170 million, and, for those things not done in those three years under the IRM, how much of those are being done in 2013, with reference to Exhibit I, D1, Schedule 1.16.	J. Briggs L. Chiotti K. Culbert S. Kancharla J. Sanders M. Torriano
	13	With reference to Gannett Fleming Report, at Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 60 of 158, to explain duration and amount of doubling up in measurement and regulation inspectors category.	J. Alton J. Briggs K. Culbert M. Torriano

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT1</u>	14	With reference to Gannett Fleming report, at Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 59 of 158, to explain table and curves, and whether 40-year life is still appropriate.	L. Kennedy - Gannett Fleming Inc.
	15	To reconcile the figures in Exhibit I, Issue D1, Schedule 20.3 and 20.4 showing the total costs for the categories by year and clarifying what is included, what is not included.	K. Culbert S. Kancharla
	16	To provide, by year, total compensation broken down between base, incentive, both short term and long term, benefits separated and pension separated.	K. Culbert S. Kancharla
	17	To extend the table at Exhibit I, Tab D1, Schedule 20.5 (page 3) to the right to include all years where RCAM had a charge from EI for SBC.	K. Culbert B. Yuzwa
	18	To supply the business case or supporting documentation that MNP would have reviewed in their evaluation of the HR Enterprise Business Solution in their 2012 report review.	J. Hails - MNP B. Yuzwa
	19	To ask MNP what they evaluated in their assessment of the service schedule for employee development and capital financing services.	J. Hails - MNP B. Yuzwa
	20	To provide Schedule 8 of T2 2011 Tax Return.	K. Culbert S. Kancharla B. Yuzwa
	21	To reconcile SLAs and Gazifère outbound service costs in this schedule (Exhibit I, Issue D6, Schedule 20.1, Attachment 4).	K. Culbert B. Yuzwa

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT1</u>	22	To provide impact on 2013 revenue requirement of a plus or minus 20 percent sensitivity analysis for the equity return for pension costs; a plus or minus 1 percent sensitivity analysis on the yield curve; a description of the impact of the sensitivity analysis on actuarial gains and losses that could be incorporated into future rates.	K. Culbert A. Patel S. Trozzi B. Yuzwa M. Monteiro, - Mercers Canada
	23	To provide qualitative description of Exhibit A2, Tab 3, Schedule 1 to explain in more detail the larger numbers and how and why the balances flowed into account over time.	K. Culbert A. Patel S. Trozzi B. Yuzwa M. Monteiro - Mercers Canada
	24	To confirm whether there is an amendment subsequent to April 1, 2012 that includes provisions for low-income, and if so, file a version of it.	K. Culbert
	25	To provide RFP.	S. Kancharla M. Lister M. Bartos - Concentric J. Coyne - Concentric J. Simpson - Concentric
	26	To confirm whether EDGI has adopted all of the recommendations in the PSE study; if not, what parts it has not adopted and why.	S. Kancharla M. Lister P. Squires M. Bartos - Concentric J. Coyne - Concentric J. Simpson - Concentric

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT1</u>	27	To provide the benchmarking studies referred to in Exhibit I, Schedule 1.7, on a confidential basis.	S. Kancharla M. Lister P. Squires M. Bartos - Concentric J. Coyne - Concentric J. Simpson - Concentric
	28	On a best-efforts basis, to complete tables associated with question 3 of Exhibit K1.3.	S. Kancharla M. Lister P. Squires M. Bartos - Concentric J. Coyne - Concentric J. Simpson - Concentric
<u>JT2</u>	1	To provide an explanation of how non-utility storage is paying for LUF, and an explanation of how Enbridge utility is paying for LUF.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	2	To provide the volumes of peaking supply that were contracted in 2011 and 2012.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	3	To provide monthly amounts for the past 18 months, what amount of firm transport was available to CDA and EDA using either TCPL's index of customers or the open seasons that TCPL released earlier this summer.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	4	To revise tables provided in Issue D3 Schedule 1.13 to net out the effect of removing spot purchases not needed as a result of having excess firm transport from January to March.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	5	To review TCPL STSN transportation service from Niagara or Kirkwall to the Niagara area to displace long-haul transport that otherwise would have gone there and use 50,000 gjs in the CDA as an alternative.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	6	To confirm that BGA balances flow to the PGVA and to explain whether they flow to system gas customers or all customers.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	7	To provide the quantity of delivery service under different types listed in part(c) of Exhibit 1, Issue D2, Schedule 8.7 for the last three years	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	8	To provide answers as to whether Enbridge puts on the public record the storage space and deliverability that it has, and whether it separates this out between utility and non-utility	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	9	For Exhibit I, B1, Schedule 7.1, response to (d), split out three cost elements of monthly gas storage for volumes used and costs supplied.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	10	To advise whether TCPL tariff allows assignment of peak day supplies	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	11	On a monthly basis for the last 18 months, to provide the percentage of capacity in use at Lisgar and suction side of Parkway	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	12	To provide any transcript of or correspondence with Mr. Daniel.	K. Culbert J. Denomy J. Sarnovsky D. Small
	13	To file the document describing the scope and project budget of Concentric's representation of Enbridge Gas Distribution	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric
	14	For Issue E2, Energy Probe Interrogatory No. 2, Schedule 7.2, to review the list of risks; and for each risk, state if they have increased since 2007; and, if so, to provide a reference for the increase	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric
	15	To reconcile the August and the September answers to Interrogatory E2, 7.3.	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	16	To update two VECC Interrogatories, E2, 20.1 and 20.3.	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric
	17	To update Table 10 in pre-filed Concentric evidence with the latest estimates for last three years of interest coverage ratios, FFO, etc. and to show sources	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky
	18	To map risks listed in Exhibit E2, Schedule 20.1, Appendix B, page B2 to the risks in the May 12 DBRS report	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric
	19	To map Gaz Met on Appendix B, Figure 10	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	20	To inquire how many of the Canadian utilities have DSM programs and also are able to have access to a shared savings mechanism incentive	K. Culbert R. Fischer M. Lister D. Yaworsky J. Coyne – Concentric J. Lieberman – Concentric
	21	To confirm whether meters have less accuracy at very low flows and much more accuracy at medium to high flows.	I. Chan
	22	To provide manufacturer's accuracy curve for residential and small commercial meters.	I. Chan
	23	To provide typical reason for a meter failing.	I. Chan
	24	This Undertaking number was not used.	I. Chan
	25	To confirm whether roughly half the gas delivered in aggregate through the year goes directly to the meter for consumption and the other half goes to storage for balancing purposes.	I. Chan D. Small
	26	To provide reference to NGEIR proceeding on forecast for unaccounted-for gas for bundled and unbundled customers	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez
	27	To confirm 4.2 percentage used in Exhibit I, D1, 1.14, page 3.	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	28	To advise what 200 degree days would have impact on the revenue and revenue forecast.	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez
	29	To check for and produce if possible CGA study of unaccounted for gas within the LAST five years	I. Chan
	30	To provide a response to the following question: To the extent that the Enbridge 3-D seismic program determines there has been a migration of gas to the A1 structure, would Enbridge recognize that the gas that has migrated would have been lost and unaccounted for, and, therefore, funded by ratepayers and not the shareholder?	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla J. Sanders M. Suarez
	31	This Undertaking number was not used.	
	32	To calculate the impact on residential average use consumption and the resulting impact on the revenue requirement of having no gas price increase in 2013.	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez
	33	To provide the impact on the deficiency of 100 degree day change in each of the Central, Niagara and Eastern regions	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez
	34	To provide the regression statistics for the Niagara weather zone when the time variable is excluded.	I. Chan K. Culbert A. Kacicnik S. Kancharla M. Suarez

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	APPrO 1	Please confirm that there is no field testing or field maintenance conducted on smaller general service rate meters.	I. Chan
	APPrO 2	Please also confirm that general service rate meters are replaced once the sample tests of similar meters of the same vintage fail the accuracy tests.	I. Chan
	APPrO 3	Please confirm that axial flow or turbine meters are used for measurement of large industrial contract loads, and further that these axial flow meters are tested for accuracy at least annually.	I. Chan
	APPrO 4	Please confirm that large gas generators generally operate at or above 50% of the daily contract demand level	I. Chan A. Kacicnik
	APPrO 5	Please confirm that EGD corrects for temperature, pressure and supercompressibility for large Rate 125 customers taking high pressure gas?	I. Chan
	APPrO 6	Does Enbridge correct for supercompressibility for other billing accounts or rate classes, if so please identify the nature of the accounts that have this correction factor applied.	I. Chan
	APPrO 7	For gas losses resulting from third party damage to pipelines, please confirm that the vast majority of damages, where the damage results in gas venting to atmosphere, occurs on smaller lower pressure pipelines other than the X-HP mains?	I. Chan
	APPrO 8	What is the leak survey frequency on typical distribution piping and the leak survey frequency X-HP mains?	I. Chan A. Kacicnik

EXHIBIT LIST

J – UNDERTAKINGS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>JT2</u>	EP 1	Please provide the 2013 forecasts, as opposed to the equation specifications, for the western region central weather zone, the northern region central weather zone, and the Niagara weather zone, both with and without the time variable in the equations.	M. Suarez H. Sayyan

EXHIBIT LIST

K – MISCELLANEOUS EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Contents</u>
<u>KT1</u>	1	List of EDGI Technical Conference witness panels.
	2	FRPO Technical Conference questions.
	3	Board Staff document entitled: "Efficiency Gains, Questions for Enbridge, EB-2011-0354."

EXHIBIT LIST

L – INTERVENOR EVIDENCE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>L</u>	21		Evidence of Dr. Laurence Booth On behalf of CME et al (CME, CCC, SEC, VECC)	

INTERVENOR SUBMISSIONS ON EXPERT JOINT WRITTEN STATEMENT

<u>L</u>	1		Submission of Board Staff	
	4		Submission of CME	
	5		Submission of CCC	
	14		Submission of SEC on Equity Thickness	
	20		Submission of VECC	
	22		Expert Joint Written Statement of Laurence D. Booth James M. Coyne Julie F. Lieberman	
	25		CCC/CME Joint Submission	
	26		Submission of EGD I	

M – IMPACT STATEMENTS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>M1</u>	1	1	Impact Statement No. 1	K. Culbert
		2	Change in Revenue Requirement 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert

EXHIBIT LIST

M – IMPACT STATEMENTS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>M1</u>	1	3	Utility Rate Base 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		4	Utility Income 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		5	Ontario Utility Capital Structure 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
<u>M2</u>	1	1	Impact Statement No 2	K. Culbert
		2	Change in Revenue Requirement 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		3	Utility Rate Base 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		4	Utility Income 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert
		5	Utility Capital Structure 2013 Test Year	K. Culbert

N – SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Witness</u>
<u>N</u>	1	1	Settlement Agreement 2013 Rate Application	
		2	Supplementary Settlement Agreement Re: Issue D11 (OBA Agreement) 2013 Rate Application	