



Fogler, Rubinoff LLP

Lawyers

77 King Street West

Suite 3000, PO Box 95

TD Centre

Toronto, ON M5K 1G8

t: 416.864.9700 | f: 416.941.8852

foglers.com

April 11, 2013

Reply To: Thomas Brett
Direct Dial: 416.941.8861
E-mail: tbrett@foglers.com
Our File No. 132527

VIA EMAIL AND REGULAR MAIL

Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2013-0024

Please find attached BOMA's Interrogatories of Enersource Inc. in this case. Should you have any questions about any of the Interrogatories, please contact Marion Fraser at (416) 941-9729.

Yours truly,

FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP

Thomas Brett

TB/dd

cc: Gia DeJulio, Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc.
Marion Fraser, Fraser & Company

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 3
1998, c.15, Sched. B, as amended;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enersource Hydro
Mississauga Inc. for an Order or Orders approving just and
reasonable rates and other service charges for the distribution of
electricity, effective May 1, 2013.

BOMA Interrogatories

Reference: Tab 2, Page 3 of 11

Consistent with Enersource's prior LRAM applications, and as indicated in Enersource's evidence in EB-2011-0100, none of the load reductions resulting from the above programs were reflected in the load forecast underpinning 2011 and 2012 rates.

1. Does Enersource anticipate including expected load reductions in its load forecasts in the future? If so, when? If not, please explain why not?

Reference: Tab 2, Page 5 of 11

In accordance with the Board's CDM Guidelines, Enersource calculated the volumes lost from CDM programs using the latest input assumptions at the time of the third party assessment. For 2006 to 2010 OPA-funded programs, Enersource adopted the OPA's "2006 – 2010 Final OPA Conservation Program Results – Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc.". These results are presented in Tab 3, Schedule 4. Enersource used the latest OPA Measures and Assumptions list to calculate lost volumes for the other CDM program measures where such information was available.

2. Please provide the original input assumptions at the time of program design or adoption and indicate the variance from the OPA latest Measures and Assumptions List.

Reference: Tab 2, Page 6 of 11

For custom programs where published measures were not available, Enersource used the latest information based on customer-provided engineering calculations. This methodology is in accordance with the Board's CDM Guidelines and consistent with Enersource's applications in EB-2009-0400, EB-2010-0078, and EB-2011-5 0100, which were approved, as filed, by the Board.

3. In such cases, did Enersource or the OPA conduct any third party verification if customer provided engineering calculations? If not, why not?
4. Are CDM Staff at Enersource familiar with measurement and monitoring techniques based on metered data before project implementation, and thereafter, on annual

measurement of savings such as those used in Enbridge Gas Distributions performance based programs?

Reference: Tab3, Schedule 5, Page 1 of 9

Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc (Enersource), as part of its reporting commitment to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB), requested SeeLine Group Ltd. (SeeLine) to conduct an independent third party review of its 2011 and 2012 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (LRAM) claims as related to both the Third Tranche of Market Adjustment Revenue Requirement (MARR) programming activities and Board Approved Incremental Funding Programs with the intent of verifying the various input assumptions and calculations and ensuring that Enersource is using the correct values as provided by the Ontario Power Authority (OPA).

5. Did SeeLine Group Ltd. conduct any review of custom projects at the customer premises or of any project documentation submitted by the customer?