ENBRIDGE 2013 Rates

Technical Conference EB-2011-0354

EXHIBIT LIST

Exh. K Exhibits Filed at the Technical Conference

September 6, 2012

NO EXHIBITS WERE FILED

September 5, 2012

- 1.1 LIST OF EDGI TECHNICAL CONFERENCE WITNESS PANELS.
- 1.2 FRPO TECHNICAL CONFERENCE QUESTIONS.
- 1.3 BOARD STAFF DOCUMENT ENTITLED: "EFFICIENCY GAINS, QUESTIONS FOR ENBRIDGE, EB-2011-0354."

Undertakings

September 5, 2012

J	Undertakings	Response Filed
1.1	TO EXPLAIN HOW TECUMSEH OFFICE FACILITY ALLOCATIONS WILL BE HANDLED; WHAT METHODOLOGY WILL BE USE; HOW THE EVIDENCE BE UPDATED, TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE ARE ANY CHANGES.	September 13, 2012
1.2	TO CONFIRM WHETHER SOMBRA IS INCLUDED IN CATEGORY AND, IF SO, TO INDICATE WHETHER THERE ARE ANY METHODOLOGICAL OR REALIGNMENT CHANGES THAT BEING MADE WITH RESPECT TO INCLUSION OF NON-UTILITY ASSETS.	September 11, 2012
1.3	TO PROVIDE THE INCREASE IN DELIVERABILITY AS A RESULT OF THE KVT COMPRESSOR PRESSURE UPGRADE ON A PERCENTAGE BASIS.	September 11, 2012

J	Undertakings	Response Filed
1.4	TO LIST SCHEDULES TO THE BLACK & VEATCH REPORT THAT WILL BE PROVIDED IN FUTURE REGULATORY FILINGS, AND WHAT PREVIOUS SCHEDULES WILL NO LONGER BE FILED.	September 11, 2012
1.5	TO PROVIDE BREAKDOWN OF CAPITAL BUDGET BETWEEN UTILITY AND NON-UTILITY FOR THE METERING REPLACEMENT IN THE 3-D SEISMIC REFERENCED IN	September 11, 2012
1.6	TO CONFIRM WHETHER COMPANY HAS A DOCUMENT CALLED "INTERACTIVE ASSESSMENT REPORT" AND CORRESPONDING SPREADSHEET, OR to CONFIRM THAT IT IS ACTUALLY THE DOCUMENT DATED A MONTH LATER.	September 11, 2012
1.7	TO ASK WOODHOUSE TO PROVIDE FURTHER EXPLANATION FOR EXHIBIT I, ISSUE B2, SCHEDULE 4.4, ATTACHMENT 1, PAGE 8 OF 14, SECTION 5.1, ITEM 6, IN WOODHOUSE REPORT.	September 11, 2012
1.8	TO FILE ENVISION BENEFITS TO PRODUCE THE RESULTS FOR 2011.	September 27, 2012
1.9	TO DETERMINE THE IMPACT IN THE 2013 TEST YEAR WORKING CASH DERIVATION OF CHANGING THE FORECAST STORAGE NET LAG COST BACK TO THE 2007 BOARD-APPROVED	September 11, 2012
1.10	TO PRODUCE ONLINE VERSION OF UNION'S CURRENT PRIORITY OF SERVICE SCHEDULE.	September 11, 2012
1.11	TO PROVIDE RESPONSE AS TO WHAT BUDGET ITEM ON LINE 15 IS OF D1, TAB 3, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 4 OF 29, AND WHY IT IS INCREASING.	September 11, 2012
1.12	TO PROVIDE THINGS NOT DONE IN 2008, 2009 AND 2010 THAT RESULTED IN DECLINE IN O&M COSTS FROM ROUGHLY 180 MILLION TO ROUGHLY 170 MILLION, AND, FOR THOSE THINGS NOT DONE IN THOSE THREE YEARS UNDER THE IRM, HOW MUCH OF THOSE ARE BEING DONE IN 2013, WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT I, D1, SCHEDULE 1.16	September 12, 2012
1.13	WITH REFERENCE TO GANNETT FLEMING REPORT AT EXHIBIT D2, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 60 OF 58, TO EXPLAIN DURATION AND AMOUNT OF DOUBLING UP IN MEASUREMENT AND REGULATION INSPECTORS CATEGORY.	September 11, 2012
1.14	WITH REFERENCE TO GANNETT FLEMING REPORT AT EXHIBIT D2, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 59 OF 158, TO EXPLAIN TABLE AND CURVES, AND WHETHER 40-YEAR LIFE IS STILL APPROPRIATE.	September 11, 2012
1.15	TO RECONCILE THE FIGURES IN EXHIBIT I, ISSUE, D1, SCHEDULE 20.3 AND 20.4 SHOWING THE TOTAL COSTS FOR THE CATEGORIES BY YEAR AND CLARIFYING WHAT IS INCLUDED, WHAT IS NOT INCLUDED.	September 11, 2012
1.16	TO PROVIDE, BY YEAR, TOTAL COMPENSATION BROKEN DOWN BETWEEN BASE, INCENTIVE, BOTH SHORT TERM AND LONG TERM, BENEFITS SEPARATED AND PENSION SEPARATED.	September 11, 2012
1.17	TO COMPARE THE TABLE AT THE BOTTOM RCAM, EGD DIRECT LTIP COSTS, ON ISSUE 1, SCHEDULE 20.5, PAGE 3 OF 4 TO PROJECTION FILED IN 2008 AS PART OF EVIDENCE ON LTIP ESTIMATION.	September 14, 2012

J	Undertakings	Response Filed
1.18	TO SUPPLY THE BUSINESS CASE OR SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION THAT MNP WOULD HAVE REVIEWED IN THEIR EVALUATION OF the HR ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SOLUTION IN THEIR 2012 REPORT REVIEW.	September 13, 2012
1.19	TO ASK MNP WHAT THEY EVALUATED IN THEIR ASSESSMENT OF THE SERVICE SCHEDULE FOR EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT AND CAPITAL FINANCING SERVICES.	September 13, 2012
1.20	TO PROVIDE SCHEDULE 8 OF T2 2011 TAX RETURN.	September 11, 2012
1.21	TO RECONCILE SLAS AND GAZIFÈRE OUTBOUND SERVICE COSTS IN THIS SCHEDULE.	September 11, 2012
1.22	TO PROVIDE IMPACT ON 2013 REVENUE REQUIREMENT OF A PLUS OR MINUS 20 PERCENT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR THE EQUITY RETURN FOR PENSION COSTS; A PLUS OR MINUS 1 PERCENT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS ON THE YIELD CURVE; A DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACT OF THE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS ON ACTUARIAL GAINS AND LOSSES THAT COULD BE INCORPORATED INTO FUTURE RATES.	September 11, 2012
1.23	TO PROVIDE QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT A2, TAB 3, SCHEDULE 1 TO EXPLAIN IN MORE DETAIL THE LARGER NUMBERS AND HOW AND WHY THE BALANCES FLOWED INTO ACCOUNT OVER TIME.	September 11, 2012
1.24	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THERE IS AN AMENDMENT SUBSEQUENT TO APRIL 1, 2012 THAT INCLUDES PROVISIONS FOR LOW- INCOME, AND IF SO, FILE A VERSION OF IT.	September 11, 2012
1.25	TO PROVIDE RFP.	September 11, 2012
1.26	TO CONFIRM WHETHER EDGI HAS ADOPTED ALL OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE PSE STUDY; IF NOT, WHAT PARTS IT HAS NOT ADOPTED AND WHY.	September 11, 2012
1.27	TO PROVIDE THE BENCHMARKING STUDIES REFERRED TO IN EXHIBIT I, SCHEDULE 1.7, ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS.	September 12, 2012
1.28	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, TO COMPLETE TABLES ASSOCIATED WITH QUESTION 3 OF EXHIBIT K1.3.	September 17, 2012
	September 6, 2012	
2.1	TO PROVIDER AN EXPLANATION OF HOW NON-UTILITY STORAGE IS PAYING FOR LUF, AND AN EXPLANATION OF HOW ENBRIDGE UTILITY IS PAYING FOR LUF.	September 11, 2012
2.2	TO PROVIDE THE VOLUMES OF PEAKING SUPPLY THAT WERE CONTRACTED IN 2011 AND 2012.	September 11, 2012
2.3	TO PROVIDE MONTHLY AMOUNTS FOR THE PAST 18 MONTHS, WHAT AMOUNT OF FIRM TRANSPORT WAS AVAILABLE TO CDA AND EDA USING EITHER TCPL'S INDEX OF CUSTOMERS OR THE OPEN SEASONS THAT TCPL RELEASED EARLIER THIS SUMMER.	September 11, 2012
2.4	TO REVISE TABLES PROVIDED IN ISSUE D3 SCHEDULE 1.13 TO NET OUT THE EFFECT OF REMOVING SPOT PURCHASES NOT NEEDED AS A RESULT OF HAVING EXCESS FIRM TRANSPORT FROM JANUARY TO MARCH.	September 11, 2012

J Undertakings **Response Filed** TO REVIEW TCPL STSN TRANSPORTATION SERVICE FROM 2.5 September 11, 2012 NIAGARA OR KIRKWALL TO THE NIAGARA AREA TO DISPLACE LONG-HAUL TRANSPORT THAT OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE GONE THERE AND USE 50,000 GJS IN THE CDA AS AN ALTERNATIVE. TO CONFIRM THAT BGA BALANCES FLOW TO THE PGVA AND DO 2.6 September 11, 2012 THEY FLOW TO SYSTEM GAS CUSTOMERS OR ALL CUSTOMERS. 2.7 TO PROVIDE THE QUANTITY OF DELIVERY SERVICE UNDER September 11, 2012 DIFFERENT TYPES LISTED IN PART(C) OF EXHIBIT 1, ISSUE D2, SCHEDULE 8.7 FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS 2.8 TO PROVIDE ANSWERS AS TO WHETHER ENBRIDGE PRODUCES September 11, 2012 REGULARLY ITS SPACE AND DELIVERABILITY, AND, TWO, WHETHER IT SEPARATEs this OUT BETWEEN UTILITY AND NON-UTILITY FOR EXHIBIT I, B1, SCHEDULE 7.1, RESPONSE TO (D), SPLIT OUT 2.9 September 11, 2012 THREE COST ELEMENTS OF MONTHLY GAS STORAGE FOR VOLUMES USED AND COSTS SUPPLIED. 2.10 TO ADVISE WHETHER TCPL TARIFF ALLOWS ASSIGNMENT OF September 11, 2012 PEAK DAY SUPPLIES. 2.11 ON A MONTHLY BASIS FOR THE LAST 18 MONTHS, to PROVIDE September 11, 2012 THE PERCENTAGE OF CAPACITY IN USE AT LISGAR AND SUCTION SIDE OF PARKWAY. TO PROVIDE ANY TRANSCRIPT OF OR CORRESPONDENCE WITH 2.12 September 11, 2012 MR. DANIEL. 2.13 TO FILE THE DOCUMENT DESCRIBING THE SCOPE AND PROJECT September 12, 2012 BUDGET OF CONCENTRIC'S REPRESENTATION OF ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION. 2.14 FOR ISSUE E2, ENERGY PROBE INTERROGATORY No. 2, September 11, 2012 SCHEDULE 7.2, to REVIEW THE LIST OF RISKS; AND FOR EACH RISK, STATE IF THEY HAVE INCREASED SINCE 2007; AND, IF SO, to PROVIDE A REFERENCE FOR THE INCREASE. 2.15 TO RECONCILE THE AUGUST AND THE SEPTEMBER ANSWERS TO September 11, 2012 INTERROGATORY E2, 7.3. 2.16 TO UPDATE TWO VECC INTERROGATORIES, E2, 20.1 AND 20.3. September 11, 2012 2.17 TO UPDATE TABLE 10 IN PRE-FILED CONCENTRIC EVIDENCE September 12, 2012 WITH THE LATEST ESTIMATES FOR LAST THREE YEARS OF INTEREST COVERAGE RATIOS, FFO, ETC. AND TO SHOW SOURCES. 2.18 TO MAP RISKS LISTED IN Exhibit E2, SCHEDULE 20.1, APPENDIX B, September 11, 2012 PAGE B2 TO THE RISKS IN THE MAY 12 DBRS REPORT. 2.19 TO MAP GAZ MET ON APPENDIX B, FIGURE 10. September 11, 2012 2.20 TO INQUIRE HOW MANY OF THE CANADIAN UTILITIES HAVE DSM September 11, 2012 PROGRAMS AND ALSO ARE ABLE TO HAVE ACCESS TO A SHARED SAVINGS MECHANISM INCENTIVE. 2.21 TO CONFIRM WHETHER METERS HAVE LESS ACCURACY AT September 11, 2012 VERY LOW FLOWS AND MUCH MORE ACCURACY AT MEDIUM TO HIGH FLOWS. 2.22 TO PROVIDE MANUFACTURER'S ACCURACY CURVE FOR September 11, 2012 RESIDENTIAL AND SMALL COMMERCIAL METERS.

J	Undertakings	Response Filed
2.23	TO PROVIDE TYPICAL REASON FOR A METER FAILING.	September 11, 2012
2.24 2.25	[UNUSED] TO CONFIRM WHETHER ROUGHLY HALF THE GAS DELIVERED IN AGGREGATE THROUGH THE YEAR GOES DIRECTLY TO THE METER FOR CONSUMPTION AND THE OTHER HALF GOES TO STORAGE FOR BALANCING PURPOSES.	September 11, 2012
2.26	TO PROVIDE REFERENCE TO NGEIR PROCEEDING ON FORECAST FOR UNACCOUNTED-FOR GAS FOR BUNDLED AND UNBUNDLED CUSTOMERS.	September 11, 2012
2.27	TO CONFIRM 4.2 PERCENTAGE USED IN EXHIBIT I, D1, 1.14, PAGE 3.	September 11, 2012
2.28	TO ADVISE WHAT 200 DEGREE DAYS WOULD HAVE IMPACT ON THE REVENUE AND REVENUE FORECAST.	September 11, 2012
2.29	TO CHECK FOR AND PRODUCE IF POSSIBLE CGA STUDY OF UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS WITHIN THE LAST FIVE YEARS	September 11, 2012
2.30	TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: TO THE EXTENT THAT THE ENBRIDGE 3-D SEISMIC PROGRAM DETERMINES THERE HAS BEEN A MIGRATION OF GAS TO THE A1 STRUCTURE, WOULD ENBRIDGE RECOGNIZE THAT THE GAS THAT HAS MIGRATED WOULD HAVE BEEN LOST AND UNACCOUNTED FOR, AND, THEREFORE, FUNDED BY RATEPAYERS AND NOT THE SHAREHOLDER?	September 11, 2012
2.31	[UNUSED]	
2.32	TO CALCULATE THE IMPACT ON RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE USE CONSUMPTION AND THE RESULTING IMPACT ON THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT OF AN 18 PERCENT INCREASE IN 2013 WITH A FLAT OR ZERO PERCENT INCREASE IN 2013.	September 11, 2012
2.33	TO PROVIDE THE IMPACT ON THE DEFICIENCY OF 100 DEGREE DAY CHANGE IN EACH OF THE CENTRAL NIAGARA AND EASTERN REGIONS.	September 12, 2012
2.34	TO PROVIDE THE REGRESSION STATISTICS FOR THE NIAGARA WEATHER ZONE WHEN THE TIME VARIABLE IS EXCLUDED.	September 11, 2012