

www.cohenhighley.com

REPLY TO:

London

One London Place 255 Queens Ave., 11th Floor London, ON N6A 5R8 T (519) 672-9330 F (519) 672-5960 Kitch ener

55 King St. West Suite 1002 Kitchener, ON N2G 4W1 T (226) 476-4444 F (519) 576-2830 Sarnia

1350 L'Heritage Dr. Sarnia, ON N7S 6H8 T (519) 344-2020 F (519) 672-5960

Harris W. Cohen (1946 - 2000)

Paul G. Vogel\*\*

J. Brian Philips

Paul R. Steacy Bradley W. Stone

Joseph J. M. Hoffer

Vicki J. Edgar

tain D. D. Sneddon

Lucy Lee

Kimberly L. Doucett

R Tyler Hortie

Ovistian A. Jannetta

Lori J. Sprott

Kristin A. Ley

Alexandra Rosu

Kim Newman Ken B. Fraser

Lorin J. MacDonald

Laura M. McKeen

Erin M. O'Leary

Gene P. Chiareto

Jaime K. Bell

Benjamin G. Blay

Landon Hang

## Counsel

Gerald H. L. Fridman Q.C., F.R.S.C.

Gordon B. Carmichael

John W. Makins

Frank A. Highley

\*\* Certified by the Law Society as a specialist in Civil Litigation. May 17, 2013

## VIA EMAIL (boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca)

Kristen Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor, Toronto ON, M5P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: Ritzema response to Varna reply evidence of Applicant in EB-2012-0442

The Ritzemas have reviewed the Applicant's response of May 8, 2013 with regard to the intersection of Centennial Rd and Division Line, the proposed route for the transmission line, and related pole placement that could potentially affect the portion of land (the "deviation area") which has been created as a result of a traveled portion of Centennial Rd. not following the original road allowance.

The Ritzemas are pleased that the Applicant is prepared to accommodate their wishes when considering the route laying east of Division Line and the placement of poles for same.

At this point, the Ritzemas understand the following information to be accurate:

- 1) That the proposed route WEST of Division Line will be on the NORTH side of Centennial Rd;
- 2) That the proposed route EAST of Division Line (portion that will be in front of Ritzema Farm) will be on the SOUTH side of Centennial Rd; and
- 3) That there is only ONE planned pole placement within the Deviation Area.

Based on this information, the Ritzemas do not object to the placement of one pole in the Deviation Area and do not see it necessary to require the Applicant to redirect its route as far north as the traveled portion of Centennial Rd.

Rather, the Ritzemas are prepared to agree to the placement of one pole in the deviation area based on the following parameters:

- 1) That the pole be placed SOUTH of the traveled portion of Centennial Rd;
- 2) That the pole be placed NORTH of the waterway that meanders through the Deviation Area; and
- 3) That the Applicant consults on-site with the Ritzemas in order to ascertain a mutually agreeable location for the pole within the aforementioned boundaries.

The Ritzemas find this to be a reasonable compromise as it will afford them some control over the placement of the pole in consideration of ongoing agricultural activities directly adjacent to the area, while allowing the Applicant to continue with its proposed route without requirement of a drastic deviation from its original plan.

Yours very truly,

**COHEN HIGHLEY LLP** 

Gene Chiarello

Email: chiarello@cohenhighley.com

/lm