

June 20, 2013

VIA E-MAIL & COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor, Box 2319
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: K2 Wind Ontario Limited Partnership;
Further Response to Residents Group Interrogatory No. 2;
Board File Number: EB-2012-0458**

We are writing on behalf of K2 Wind Ontario Limited Partnership (“**K2 Wind**”) and in accordance with the Ontario Energy Board’s (“**Board**”) Decision on Motions and Procedural Order No. 5, dated June 14, 2013, to file K2 Wind’s further response to Residents Group Interrogatory No. 2. The following supplementary response reconciles K2 Wind’s response to Board Staff Interrogatory No. 5 which states that a “final design” of the Project has already been determined, with K2 Wind’s response to Residents Group Interrogatory No. 2, which states that the “final detailed cross-section design” for road crossings will be made available for review and comment by the ACW Township Public Works Department, prior to construction.

The use of the term “final design” in K2 Wind’s response to Board Staff Interrogatory No. 5 was not intended to suggest that K2 Wind had finalized every aspect of its Project design, including detailed designs for every road crossing. Rather, and as explained in response to Residents Group Interrogatory No. 2, the detailed engineering plans and drawings for road crossings will be completed by the Engineering, Procurement and Construction Contractor (“**EPC Contractor**”).

As K2 Wind noted in its response to Board Staff Interrogatory No. 5, detailed engineering plans and drawings are not typically available at this stage of project development. K2 Wind has completed a *preliminary design* and is in the process of selecting an EPC Contractor; detailed final engineering and design will take place post-selection. The EPC Contractor will be responsible for completing the detailed final design of the project as well as for carrying out activities related to procurement, installation, interconnection, testing and commissioning. Ultimately, it is the EPC Contractor, acting on behalf of K2 Wind, who will certify that the final design is in compliance with all applicable codes and standards.

In light of the above and in retrospect, K2 Wind should not have referred to “final design” in its response to Board Staff Interrogatory No. 5.

Yours very truly

Dentons Canada LLP

(signed) Helen T. Newland

Helen T. Newland
HTN/ko

cc: Maureen Helt
Leila Azaiez
Ontario Energy Board

Boris de Jonge
Capital Power Corporation

Tam Wagner
IESO

Paul F. Wendelgass
K2 Wind Ontario Inc.

Anita & Paul Frayne
ACW Residents Group