June 27, 2013

VIA RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board PO Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4



Avocats et agents de brevets et de marques de commerce

Norton Rose Fulbright Canada S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l. 1, Place Ville Marie, bureau 2500 Montréal (Québec) H3B 1R1 CANADA

F: +1 514.286.5474 nortonrosefulbright.com

Eric Dunberry +1 514.847.4492 Eric.Dunberry@nortonrosefulbright.com

Your reference

Our reference 01000241-0066

EB-2012-0451 Enbridge GTA Project
EB-2012-0433 Union Parkway West Project
EB-2013-0074 Union Brantford-Kirkwall Parkway D

Dear Ms. Walli:

We write in response to Enbridge's letter of June 27 suggesting a case by case analysis for the granting of a filing extension. For purposes of clarity, we wish to add the following to our earlier correspondence. We believe that the rule of procedural fairness is, in and of itself, a valid and sufficient ground to permit Gaz Métro to file its evidence simultaneously, as initially ordered by the Board, and that allowing different Intervenors to file evidence at different dates is inconsistent with this rule and a source of potential prejudice, including for Gaz Métro. Simultaneous filing will not in any way delay these proceedings or prejudice Applicants.

Yours very truly,

Eric Dunberry

ED/jb

c.c.: All parties via RESS