

BY EMAIL and RESS

July 4, 2013

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 27th Floor Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2012- 0175 - West Coast Huron - Supplementary Interrogatories

We are counsel to the School Energy Coalition ("SEC"). Enclosed please find SEC's Supplementary Interrogatories in the above-noted proceeding.

Yours very truly, **Jay Shepherd P.C.**

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein

cc: Applicant and Intervenors (by email)

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act 1998*, Schedule B to the *Energy Competition Act*, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by West Coast Huron Energy for an Order or Orders approving just and reasonable distribution rates and other service charges for the distribution of electricity, effective May 1, 2013.

SUPPLEMENTARY INTERROGATORIES

ON BEHALF OF THE

SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION

1-SEC-23s

[1-VECC-1] Please provide a full response to Interrogatory 1-VECC-1.

2-SEC-24s

[2-Staff-4] Please explain how the "results of the damage and indirect impacts from the tornado" require the burying of all wiring, primary and secondary on South Str. from Elgin Ave to the Square in 2015?

2-SEC-25s

[2-Staff-7] Please provide a detailed explanation for the difference between the 2012 actual and budgeted capital amounts.

2-SEC-26s

[2-SEC-5] Please provide an update to the expected completion dates for all 2013 capital projects.

4-SEC-27s

[4-Staff-29] Please explain why costs related to answering interrogatories where not included in the Applicant's original regulatory budget.

4-SEC-28s

[4-AMPCO-24] Please explain the \$141,000 'Consulting Fees' cost for 2012 and 2013.

4-SEC-29s

[4-SEC-21, Ex, 4/2/4] Please provide a full response to Interrogatory 4-SEC-21. Exhibit 4/2/4 does not provide a descriptive analysis of the OM&A cost drivers.

5-SEC-30s

[5-Staff-35] Please provide an update on the \$500,000 loan from the Applicant's affiliate scheduled to be paid out in June.

5-SEC-31s

[5-VECC-33] The Applicant states that Infrastructure Ontario rates were not significantly different from WCHE demand facility rates. Please explain in greater detail why the Applicant believes that considering the rates set out in Appendix 26 would seem to be significantly lower than the WCHE demand facility rate.

5-SEC-32s

[5-AMPCO-54] Please confirm the Applicant's actual ROE has been greater than the Board's allowed ROE from 2010-2012? Please explain the drivers for the actual ROE being greater than the Board's allowed ROE.

Submitted by the School Energy Coalition on this 4^h day of July, 2013

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein Counsel for the School Energy Coalition