

PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, CanadaK1N 7B7

Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. http://www.piac.ca

Michael Janigan Counsel for VECC (613) 562-4002 x26

July 10, 2013

VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) Written Interrogatories EB-2013-0109 Union Gas Limited

As per Procedural Order No. 1 we have attached the interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) with respect to the above-noted proceeding. We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Yours truly,

Michael Janigan Counsel for VECC

cc: Union Gas – Karen Hockin – <u>khockin@uniongas.com</u>

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Union Gas Limited for an order or orders clearing certain noncommodity related deferral accounts and sharing utility earnings pursuant to a Board approved earnings sharing mechanism.

Interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition

Interrogatory #1

References: Exhibit B, Tab 4, pp 3-4 Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1

The referenced pages state:

"The total rate class impacts associated with both Union's proposal and the alternative treatment are provided in Schedule 1. Column (e) shows the difference between the two treatments. Where there is a positive number in column (e), the rate class benefits more from Union's proposal than the alternative treatment. Where there is a negative number in column (e), the rate class benefits more from the alternative treatment than Union's proposal."

- a) Please confirm that the "alternative treatment" referred to above is the accounting treatment of FTRAM revenues consistent with the Board's EB-2012-0087 Decision. If unable to so confirm, please explain fully.
- b) With respect to the referenced schedule, please confirm that under Union's proposal (as compared to the "alternative"), all sales service customers in the South (M1, M2, M4, M5, and M10) and all sales service customers in the North (Rate 01, 10, 20 sales service and bundled DP, and 25) are worse off. If unable to so confirm, please explain fully.

End of Document