
AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors

Fred Cass
Direct: 416.865.7742
E-mail: fcass@airdberlis.com

July 26, 2013

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor, Box 2329
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. GTA Project
Board File Numbers: EB-2012-0451, EB-2012-0433, EB-2013-0074

We are writing to respond to the letter sent to the Board by counsel for TransCanada PipeLines Limited (TransCanada) in connection with this matter on July 24, 2013. By way of the letter of July 24th, TransCanada indicated its intention to revise the written evidence that it filed in this proceeding. TransCanada also proposed a delay of the hearing date that the Board has only just established in Procedural Order No. 6, which was issued on July 23, 2013.

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (Enbridge) respectfully urges the Board not to allow any delay to the hearing date that the Board has just established, in order to accommodate TransCanada. In this regard, Enbridge notes that TransCanada's request for a delay is made essentially because the hearing of a TransCanada application to the National Energy Board (NEB) is scheduled to start on September 3, 2013. As the Board is aware, parties to cases before this Board are frequently and indeed commonly involved in multiple proceedings that have overlapping schedules. These parties are expected to, and they do, successfully manage their participation in such proceedings. Enbridge, for example, is involved in multiple proceedings before this Board at this time and also will be participating in the NEB matter that is referred to in TransCanada's letter.

Enbridge's GTA Project is intended to serve a number of important gas distribution purposes. In order to deliver the benefits of the GTA Project in a timely manner, Enbridge respectfully requested a decision of this Board by September of 2013 to

allow design, planning and procurement to proceed so that the facilities will be in service by November of 2015.¹ Unfortunately, there have already been delays to the schedule for this proceeding caused by the actions of TransCanada. Specifically, TransCanada's actions restricting access to transmission capacity in Eastern Canada gave rise to a motion in this case by Union Gas Limited and Gaz Métro Limited Partnership and left Enbridge with no option but to terminate its arrangement with TransCanada, change Segment A of the proposed facilities and revise the evidence in this case.

Further delay to the start of the hearing for this proceeding will prejudice Enbridge and its ratepayers by jeopardizing the timely realization of the benefits provided by the GTA Project. By comparison, there will be no real prejudice to TransCanada if the hearing proceeds on the date set out in Procedural Order No. 6. It is important to note that the changes to the GTA Project proposed in Enbridge's evidence update filed on July 22, 2013 allow the project to accommodate the eventual resolution of market access issues, including the supply sourcing that was envisaged in Enbridge's arrangement with TransCanada.²

The following is an example of a schedule that will maintain the hearing start date established by Procedural Order No 6, without causing any real prejudice to TransCanada:

| | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| ~ | Interrogatories on updated Enbridge evidence ³ | August 2 nd |
| ~ | Interrogatory responses by Enbridge ⁴ | August 12 th |
| ~ | Revised TransCanada evidence ⁵ | August 16 th |
| ~ | Interrogatories on revised TransCanada evidence ⁶ | August 20 th |
| ~ | Interrogatory responses by TransCanada ⁷ | August 26 th |
| ~ | Settlement Conference ⁸ | August 28 th |
| ~ | Filing of Settlement Proposal ⁹ | September 4 th |
| ~ | Pre-Hearing Conference ¹⁰ | September 5 th |
| ~ | Start of Hearing ¹¹ | September 12 th . |

¹ Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 8, Page 1.

² Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 9, page 2, as updated.

³ No change from Procedural Order No. 6.

⁴ Moved ahead from Procedural Order No. 6.

⁵ New.

⁶ New.

⁷ New.

⁸ Moved back from Procedural Order No. 6.

⁹ Moved back from Procedural Order No. 6.

¹⁰ No change from Procedural Order No. 6.

¹¹ No change from Procedural Order No. 6.

July 26, 2013
Page 3

For all of these reasons, Enbridge respectfully submits that there should be no change to the date for the start of the hearing established by Procedural Order No. 6.

If you have any questions in this regard, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours truly,

AIRD & BERLIS LLP



Fred D. Cass

FDC/

c.c. Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
All EB-2012-0451, EB-2012-0433 and EB-2013-0074 Participants