

GAZ MÉTRO INTERROGATORIES FOR TRANSCANADA PIPELINES LIMITED

GMI #1

Reference: Supplemental Evidence of TransCanada Pipelines Limited dated August 16, 2013 (**TransCanada's Supplemental Evidence**), page 3, lines 11 and 12

Preamble: TransCanada affirms:

"TransCanada has given notice to Union and Gaz Metro that they too proceed in the face of TransCanada's contractual rights in relation to Segment A."

Request: a) Please provide a copy of the notice to which TransCanada refers in the preamble.

GMI #2

Reference: TransCanada's Supplemental Evidence, page 4, lines 29 to 35

Preamble: TransCanada affirms:

"In summary, the cumulative negative impact on TransCanada's revenues between November 1, 2015 and December 31, 2017 from the loss of long-haul revenues – and thus the potential exposure of Ontario gas consumers when TransCanada's TSA is disposed of after that date – will be approximately \$960 million, including carrying costs. In considering the exposure of Ontario gas consumers to the costs of the applied-for projects, the unavoidable cost of the redundant facilities (estimated above to be approximately \$310 million) must be added, and this for the dubious savings claimed by the LDCs as discussed below."

Request: a) Please explain how TransCanada calculated the amount of \$960 million included in the preamble, including the calculation method and the data used.

GMI #3

Reference: TransCanada's Supplemental Evidence, page 10, lines 10 to 14

Preamble: TransCanada affirms:

"TransCanada disputes the claim that the GTA project increases supply diversity. Although the project may increase access to additional US sourced supply at the Dawn Hub, such as via the proposed Nexus project, the majority of that supply must still come to the GTA on the Union system. As noted above, this makes the Enbridge franchise more dependent on only one transportation path, the Union system."

- Request:**
- a) Please define what TransCanada means by “supply diversity”.
 - b) How does TransCanada evaluate the supply diversity with respect to transportation?

GMI #4

Reference: TransCanada’s Supplemental Evidence, page 10, lines 23 to 27 and page 16, line 15 at page 17, line 2

Preamble: TransCanada affirms:

“7. WCSB supply is understated

The supply analysis put forward by Enbridge and Union in their applications provides a misleading characterization of the WCSB as a potential source for Eastern LDC supply.

TransCanada conducts detailed WCSB supply analysis and is providing its views on the future potential for WCSB gas supply as follows.

[...]

Although west coast LNG export facilities will access some of this supply, there will be ample volumes remaining to securely supply eastern markets for decades to come as the ultimate potential re source base has tripled since 2005 when the eastern LDCs were largely accessing WCSB supply.”

- Request:**
- a) Please provide the list of the current and expected west coast LNG export projects accessing the WCSB supply known to TransCanada and their respective in-service dates and volumes of gas supplied from the WCSB.
 - b) Please explain what is TransCanada’s current and expected annual intra-Alberta consumption for gas supplied from the WCSB, including the consumption relating to oil sands production. Please provide the data and the analysis in support of this evaluation.
 - c) Please provide TransCanada’s current and expected annual WCSB supply available to flow on the Mainline between 2013 and 2023. Please provide the data and the analysis in support of this evaluation.
 - d) Is it TransCanada’s position that the WCSB supply available to flow on the Mainline will increase over the next ten years? In the affirmative, please provide all the data and analysis in support of this conclusion.